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Notation, Numbers, and Units

This chapter is only meant to introduce the notation we will use in the remainder of these notes and provide some useful reference information. At the end of this Appendix, you will find useful tables and online references.

We have tried to be as consistent as possible through these notes in using the same symbols and units for the same physical quantities and constants. In some cases, we have used slightly different symbols or fonts where confusion may arise (e.g., \( \mathcal{P} \) for power, and \( P \) for pressure), or introduced subscripts to differentiate between similar quantities (e.g., \( \Phi_B \) for magnetic flux, and \( \Phi_E \) for electric flux). The tables at the end of this chapter are not necessarily exhaustive, but list most of the symbols and notation used throughout these notes.

When there is more than one equivalent unit that can be used, the preferred one (if any) is in bold. Of course, there are many other units in use in different fields for all of these quantities. We are not interested in those specialized units, but only standard SI units. Note that all units can be traced back to a combination of kg, m, s, and C - for example, electric field strength is \([\text{N/C}] = [\text{V/m}] = \text{m-kg/s}^2 \cdot \text{C} \).

1.1 Useful Constants

Again, the list at the end of this chapter is not exhaustive, but can provide a quick reference for the most commonly used constants. The Google\textsuperscript{TM} calculator is quite good for constants not listed here - try searching for “boltzmann constant” for example. You can also specify units if you like - try searching for “boltzmann constant in joules per kelvin.”

1.2 Colored Boxes

You will notice that there are a great many colored boxes in the text, meant to set off what we view as particularly important information for easy reference. In fact, there is a color-coding scheme of sorts to help you identify what sort of information is contained in the boxes.

- These boxes contain useful formulas introduced in the text.
- These boxes tell you the units of various quantities, values of physical constants, and formulas you should already known.
- These stylish boxes pose questions based on the surrounding material, give additional tidbits of information (like useful web pages), and provide real-world examples. Some of them also provide problem-solving hints.
1.3 How we handle numbers

coming soon. forget commas, learn to love scientific notation. [unit]

1.3.1 Scientific Notation

1.4 Units

Almost without exception, we will use the International System of Units - SI units (Système international d’unités), the modern form of the metric system. The SI consists of a set of units, together with a set of prefixes to indicate powers of ten. In the end, there are only seven base SI units, and from these seven base units many others can be derived. When there is potential for confusion between variables or symbols and units, we will often enclose the units in square brackets, e.g., [m] or [kg \cdot m/s^2].

In the tables at the end of this chapter, we list the base SI units and a few common “derived” units. Keep in mind that unless a problem specifically uses non-SI units (such as miles, inches, or pounds), and asks for an answer in non-SI units (a very rare phenomenon), you should always report your answers in SI units. A table at the end of this chapter lists the few non-SI units which are generally accepted for use alongside SI units.

A prefix can be added to a particular unit (either base or derived) to indicate a power of ten multiple of the original unit. The most common prefixes are also in the tables at the end of the chapter. For instance, “kilo-” or “k” indicates a multiple of one thousand or 10^3, so 1 km means one kilometer, 1 \times 10^3 m or 1000 m. Similarly, “milli-” or “m” indicates one one-thousandth (10^{-3}), so 1 mm means one millimeter, 1 \times 10^{-3} m or 0.001 m. Prefixes are never combined - a millionth of a kilogram is a milligram not a microkilogram.


1.4.1 Dimensional Analysis

Guidelines: Treat units like variables. Only add like terms. When a unit is divided by itself, the division yields a unitless one. When two different units are multiplied, the result is a new unit, referred to by the combination of the units. For instance, in SI, the unit of speed is metres per second (m/s). See dimensional analysis. A unit can be multiplied by itself, creating a unit with an exponent (e.g., m^2/s^2).

Some units have special names, however these should be treated like their equivalents. For example, one newton (N) is equivalent to one kg \cdot m/s^2. This creates the possibility for units with
multiple designations, for example: the unit for surface tension can be referred to as either N/m (newtons per metre) or kg/s² (kilograms per second squared).

Dimensional analysis can be a powerful tool to see if things look correct or not. For example, consider the following equation, Newton’s general law of gravitation. What are the units of \( G \)? Here \( F \) is force in kilogram-meters per second squared \( \left( \frac{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}}{\text{s}^2} \right) \), \( M \) and \( m \) are masses in kilograms (\( \text{kg} \)), and \( r \) is a distance in meters (\( \text{m} \)). With dimensional analysis, we can check:

\[
F = \frac{GMm}{r^2} \quad \Rightarrow G = \frac{Fr^2}{Mm} = \frac{\left[\frac{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}}{\text{s}^2}\right] \cdot [\text{m}^2]}{\left[\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{s}^2}\right] \cdot \frac{\text{kg} \cdot [\text{m}^2]}{\text{kg}^2}} = \frac{\left[\frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{s}^2}\right]}{\text{kg}} = \frac{[\text{m}^3]}{[\text{kg} \cdot \text{s}^2]} = \frac{[\text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2]}{\text{kg}^2}
\]
Table 1.1: Notation and Symbols Used. Preferred units in **bold**, when relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>⃗Z</td>
<td>Vector - both <strong>magnitude</strong> and <strong>direction</strong></td>
<td>those of the quantity represented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnitude of a vector ⃗Z</td>
<td>those of the quantity represented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_x</td>
<td>x-component of vector ⃗Z</td>
<td>those of the quantity represented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[X]</td>
<td>unit of measurement</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔZ</td>
<td>change in the quantity Z</td>
<td>those of the quantity represented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>small quantity</td>
<td>those of the quantity represented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≈</td>
<td>approximately</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~</td>
<td>roughly</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≡</td>
<td>equal by definition</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⃗r</td>
<td>Radial position</td>
<td>meters [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>radial distance</td>
<td>meters [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_{12}</td>
<td>distance from point 1 to point 2</td>
<td>meters [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̂r</td>
<td>radial unit vector</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̂θ</td>
<td>angular position</td>
<td>radians [rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>angle</td>
<td>radians [rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̂r</td>
<td>angular unit vector</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̂i, ̂j, ̂k</td>
<td>Alternative unit vectors for ̂x, ̂y, ̂z directions</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̂r</td>
<td>Unit vector for the radial direction</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̂θ</td>
<td>Unit vector in the angular direction</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x, y, z, d</td>
<td>Cartesian distance</td>
<td>meters [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l, w, h</td>
<td>length, width, height</td>
<td>meters [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̇v</td>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>meters per second [m/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̇a</td>
<td>acceleration</td>
<td>meters per second squared [m/s²]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω</td>
<td>Angular velocity</td>
<td>radians per second [rad/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>1/seconds [s⁻¹] or Hertz [Hz]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>Angular acceleration</td>
<td>radians per second squared [rad/s²]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>seconds [s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>meters squared [m²]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>meters cubed [m³]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Celcius or Kelvin [C or K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̇F</td>
<td>Force</td>
<td>Newtons [N]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̇τ</td>
<td>Torque</td>
<td>Newton-meters [N-m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̇p</td>
<td>Momentum</td>
<td>kilogram-meters per second [kg-m/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ</td>
<td>time constant</td>
<td>seconds [s]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1.2: Notation and Symbols Used (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Newtons per square meter (\text{[N/m}^2) or Pascals (\text{[Pa]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>Kilograms (\text{[kg]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(W)</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Newton-meters (\text{[N-m]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{P})</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Joules per second (\text{[J/s]}) or Watts (\text{[W]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{E})</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Joules (\text{[J]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PE) or (U)</td>
<td>Potential Energy</td>
<td>Joules (\text{[J]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KE) or (K)</td>
<td>Kinetic Energy</td>
<td>Joules (\text{[J]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\vec{E})</td>
<td>Electric field strength</td>
<td>Newtons per Coulomb (\text{[N/C]}) or Volts per meter (\text{[V/m]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q) or (q)</td>
<td>Electric Charge</td>
<td>Coulombs (\text{[C]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_E) or (\lambda)</td>
<td>Linear charge density</td>
<td>Coulombs per meter (\text{[C/m]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sigma_E) or (\sigma)</td>
<td>Surface charge density</td>
<td>Coulombs per square meter (\text{[C/m}^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_E)</td>
<td>Volume charge density</td>
<td>Coulombs per cubic meter (\text{[C/m}^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Phi_E)</td>
<td>Electric Flux</td>
<td>Newton-area per Coulomb (\text{[N-m}^2\text{/C]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\kappa) or (\epsilon_r)</td>
<td>Dielectric constant</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi_E)</td>
<td>Electric Susceptibility</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V)</td>
<td>Electric Potential or Voltage</td>
<td>Joules per Coulomb (\text{[J/C]}) or Volts (\text{[V]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{E})</td>
<td>EMF</td>
<td>Joules per Coulomb (\text{[J/C]}) or Volts (\text{[V]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>Capacitance</td>
<td>Coulomb per volt (\text{[C/V]}) or Farad (\text{[F]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I)</td>
<td>Electric current</td>
<td>Coulombs per second (\text{[C/s]}) or Amperes (\text{[A]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J)</td>
<td>Electric current density</td>
<td>Amperes per square meter (\text{[A/m}^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)</td>
<td>Electrical resistance</td>
<td>Volts per Ampere (\text{[V/A]}) or Ohms (\text{[\Omega]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho)</td>
<td>Electrical resistivity</td>
<td>Ohm-meters (\text{[\Omega-m]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_{\rho})</td>
<td>Temperature coefficient of resistivity</td>
<td>1/degrees Celsius (\text{[C}^{-1}) or Kelvin (\text{[K}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\vec{B})</td>
<td>Magnetic Field Strength</td>
<td>Webers per square meter (\text{[W/m}^2) or Teslas (\text{[T]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Phi_B)</td>
<td>Magnetic Flux</td>
<td>Tesla-area (\text{[T/m}^2) or Webers (\text{[W]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu_r)</td>
<td>Relative permeability</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I)</td>
<td>Radiation Intensity</td>
<td>Power per unit area (\text{[W/m}^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda)</td>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>meters (\text{[m]})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>Index of refraction</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1.3: Useful Constants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravitational acceleration on Earth</td>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>$9.81$</td>
<td>m/s$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit of electric charge</td>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>$1.60 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coulomb’s constant</td>
<td>$k_e$</td>
<td>$8.99 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>N·m$^2$/C$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permittivity of free space</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_0$</td>
<td>$8.85 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>C$^2$/N·m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability of free space</td>
<td>$\mu_0$</td>
<td>$1.26 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>T·m/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of light in a vacuum</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$3.00 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planck’s constant</td>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>$6.63 \times 10^{-34}$</td>
<td>J·s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4.14 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>eV·s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boltzmann’s constant</td>
<td>$k_B$</td>
<td>$1.38 \times 10^{-23}$</td>
<td>J/K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$8.62 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>eV/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1.4: SI Base units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>meter</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilogram</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>second</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ampere</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>electrical current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kelvin</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mole</td>
<td>mol</td>
<td>amount of substance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>candela</td>
<td>cd</td>
<td>luminous intensity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1.5: Example SI Derived units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Derived unit</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>equivalent to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>radian</td>
<td>angle</td>
<td>rad</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square meter</td>
<td>area</td>
<td>m²</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic meter</td>
<td>volume</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td>m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>speed, velocity</td>
<td>meter per second</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acceleration</td>
<td>meter per second squared</td>
<td>m/s²</td>
<td>m/s²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mass density</td>
<td>kilogram per cubic meter</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>force</td>
<td>newton</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>kg/m/s²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pressure</td>
<td>pascal</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>N/m² = kg/m·s²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>energy, work, quantity of heat</td>
<td>joule</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>kg·m²/s² = N·m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>power</td>
<td>watt</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>J/s·m² = kg·m³·s²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electric charge</td>
<td>coulomb</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A·s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electric potential difference, electromotive force</td>
<td>volt</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>W/A = m²·kg/s³·A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capacitance</td>
<td>farad</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C/V = A²·s²/kg²·m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electric resistance</td>
<td>ohm</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>V/A = m²·kg/s³·A²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnetic flux</td>
<td>weber</td>
<td>Wb</td>
<td>V·s = m²·kg/s²·A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnetic flux density</td>
<td>tesla</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Wb/m² = kg/s²·A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1.6: Acceptable non-SI unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value in SI units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>minute (time)</td>
<td>min</td>
<td>1 min = 60 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hour</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>1 h = 60 min = 3600 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1 d = 24 h = 86400 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree (angle)</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>1 ° = (\pi/180) rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liter</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1 L = 10⁻³ m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metric ton</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>1 t = 10³ kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electron volt</td>
<td>eV</td>
<td>1 eV = 1.60 \times 10⁻¹⁹ J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unified mass unit</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>1 u = 1.66 \times 10⁻²⁷ kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1.7: Prefixes for Powers of Ten

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power</th>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-15}$</td>
<td>femto</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-12}$</td>
<td>pico</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>nano</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-6}$</td>
<td>micro</td>
<td>µ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>milli</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-2}$</td>
<td>centi</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>kilo</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^6$</td>
<td>mega</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>giga</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{12}$</td>
<td>tera</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.4 Units

**Magnetism**

**Constants:**

\[
\begin{align*}
&k_e = 8.98755 \times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{C}^{-2} \\
&\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{T} \cdot \text{m/A} \\
&e_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{12} \text{C}^2/\text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2 \\
&\epsilon = 1.60218 \times 10^{-19} \text{C} \\
&h = 6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \text{J} \cdot \text{s} = 4.1357 \times 10^{-15} \text{eV} \cdot \text{s} \\
&\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi} \\
&c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}} = 2.99792 \times 10^8 \text{m/s} \\
&m_e^- = 9.10938 \times 10^{-31} \text{kg} = 0.510998 \text{MeV}/c^2 \\
&m_p^+ = 1.67262 \times 10^{-27} \text{kg} = 938.272 \text{MeV}/c^2 \\
&m_n^0 = 1.67493 \times 10^{-27} \text{kg} = 939.565 \text{MeV}/c^2 \\
1 \text{u} = 931.494 \text{MeV}/c^2
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&h\epsilon = 1239.84 \text{eV} \cdot \text{nm} \\
&\frac{h}{m_e} = 2.42631 \times 10^{-12} \text{m}
\end{align*}
\]

**Quadratic formula:**

\[
0 = ax^2 + bx + c \implies x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}
\]

**Basic Equations:**

- \( \mathbf{F}_\text{net} = ma \) Newton’s Second Law
- \( \mathbf{F}_\text{cent} = -\frac{mv^2}{r} \) Centripetal
- KE = \( (\gamma - 1) mc^2 = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \) Kinetic energy
- \( KE_{\text{initial}} + PE_{\text{initial}} = KE_{\text{final}} + PE_{\text{final}} \)

**Magnetism**

\[
\begin{align*}
|\mathbf{F}_\text{B}| &= q|\mathbf{E}| \sin \theta_{\mathbf{B}} \text{ charge } q \\
|\mathbf{E}_\text{B}| &= BI \sin \theta \text{ wire} \\
|\mathbf{E}| &= BIAN \sin \theta \text{ torque current loop} \\
\mathbf{B} &= \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi r} \text{ wire} \\
\mathbf{E}_\text{B} &= \frac{\mu_0 N I}{2\pi r} \text{ solenoid} \\
\frac{|\mathbf{F}_{12}|}{I} &= \frac{\mu_0 I_1 I_2}{2\pi d} \text{ 2 wires, force per length}
\end{align*}
\]

**Current:**

\[
\begin{align*}
I &= \frac{\Delta Q}{M} = nqAv_d \\
J &= \frac{I}{A} = nqv_d \\
v_d &= -\frac{e\tau}{m} E \quad \tau = \text{scattering time} \\
\vartheta &= \frac{m}{ne^2\tau} \\
\Delta V &= \frac{\varrho I}{A} = RI \\
R &= \frac{\varrho}{A} = \frac{\Delta V}{I} \\
\mathcal{E} &= I\Delta V = I^2R = IV \text{ power}
\end{align*}
\]

**Electric Potential:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta V &= V_B - V_A = \frac{\Delta PE}{q} \\
q\Delta V &= \Delta PE \\
\Delta PE &= q\Delta V = -q|\mathbf{E}||\mathbf{A}\cdot \cos \theta = -qE_2\Delta x \\
\text{↑ constant E field} \\
V_{\text{point charge}} &= k_e \frac{q}{r} \\
PE_{\text{pair of point charges}} &= k_e \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}} \\
PE_{\text{system}} &= \text{sum over unique pairs of charges} \\
-W &= \Delta PE = q(V_B - V_A)
\end{align*}
\]

**Optics:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon &= \frac{hf}{\lambda} = \frac{1239.84 \text{eV} \cdot \text{nm}}{\lambda (\text{nm})} \\
n &= \text{speed of light in vacuum} = \frac{c}{v} \\
\lambda_1 &= \frac{v_1}{c/n_1} = \frac{n_2}{n_1} \text{ refraction} \\
\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_1}{n_1} &= \frac{\lambda_2 \lambda_2}{n_2} \text{ refraction} \\
n_1 \sin \theta_1 &= n_2 \sin \theta_2 \text{ Snell’s refraction} \\
n_1 \sin \theta_k &= n_2 \sin 90^\circ = n_2 \text{ total internal refl.} \\
\lambda f &= c \\
M &= \frac{h'}{h} = \frac{q}{p} \\
\frac{1}{f} &= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{R}
\end{align*}
\]

**EM Waves:**

\[
\begin{align*}
c &= \frac{\lambda f}{|\mathbf{E}|} \\
I &= \frac{\text{photons}}{\text{time}} \frac{\text{energy}}{\text{photon}} \frac{1}{\text{Area}} \\
I &= \frac{\text{energy}}{\text{time} \cdot \text{area}} = \frac{E_{\text{max}}B_{\text{max}}}{2\varrho_0} = \text{power (}\mathcal{E}\text{)} \frac{1}{\text{area}} = \frac{E_{\text{max}}^2}{2\varrho_0 c}
\end{align*}
\]
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Electric Force & Field

\[ \vec{F}_e = q \vec{E} \]
\[ \vec{E} = k_e \frac{|q|}{r^2} \]
\[ \Phi_E = |\vec{E}| A \cos \theta_{EA} \]
\[ \Phi_E = \frac{Q_{inside}}{\varepsilon_0} \]
\[ \Delta PE = -W = -q|\vec{E}| |\Delta \vec{x}| \cos \theta = -q \vec{E}_x \Delta x \]
\[ \uparrow \text{ constant E field} \]

Capacitors:

\[ Q_{\text{capacitor}} = C_{\text{parallel plate}} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A}{d} \]
\[ E_{\text{capacitor}} = \frac{1}{2} Q \Delta V \]
\[ C_{\text{eq. par}} = C_1 + C_2 \]
\[ C_{\text{eq. series}} = C_1 C_2 \]
\[ C_{\text{with dielectric}} = \kappa C_{\text{without}} \]

Resistors:

\[ I_{\text{source}} = \frac{\Delta V}{R + r} \]
\[ \Delta V_{\text{source}} = \Delta V_{\text{rated}} \frac{R}{r + R} \]
\[ I_1 \text{ source} = I_{\text{source}} = I_{\text{rated}} \frac{r}{r + R} \]
\[ R_{\text{eq. series}} = R_1 + R_2 \]
\[ R_{\text{eq. par}} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2} \]

Vectors:

\[ |\vec{F}| = \sqrt{F_x^2 + F_y^2} \]
\[ \theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{F_y}{F_x} \right) \]

Relativity

\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \]
\[ \Delta t = \gamma \Delta t_p \]
\[ L = L_p / \gamma \]
\[ p = \gamma m v \]
\[ v_1 = \frac{v_2 + v_3}{1 + v_2 v_3 / c^2} \]
\[ KE = \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} m c^2 \]
\[ E_{\text{tot}} = \gamma m c^2 = KE + mc^2 \]
\[ E_{\text{rest}} = mc^2 \]
\[ E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4 \]

Units

\[ 1 \text{T} \cdot \text{m/A} = 1 \text{N}/A^2 \]
\[ 1 \text{T} \cdot \text{m}^2 = 1 \text{V} \cdot \text{s} \]
\[ 1 \text{T} = 1 \text{kg}/\text{A} \cdot \text{s}^2 \]
\[ 1 \text{eV} = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{J} \]
\[ 1 \text{J} = 1 \text{N} \cdot \text{m} = 1 \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{s}^2 \]
\[ 1 \text{N} = 1 \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}/\text{s}^2 \]
\[ 1 \text{W} = 1 \text{J}/\text{s} = 1 \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{s}^3 \]
\[ 1 \text{F} = 1 \text{C}/\text{V} \]
\[ 1 \text{C} = 1 \text{A}/\text{s} \]
\[ 1 \text{N/C} = 1 \text{V}/\text{m} \]

Induction:

\[ \Phi_B = B \cdot A = B A \cos \theta_{BA} \]
\[ \Delta V = -N \frac{\Delta \Phi_B}{\Delta t} \]
\[ L = \frac{N \Delta \Phi_B}{\Delta t} = \frac{N \Phi_B}{I} \]
\[ \Delta V = |\vec{V}||\vec{B}| = |\vec{E}| t \text{ motional voltage} \]

ac Circuits

\[ \tau = L/R \text{ RL circuit} \]
\[ \tau = RC \text{ RC circuit} \]
\[ X_C = \frac{1}{2\pi f C} \text{ “resistance” of a capacitor for ac} \]
\[ X_L = 2\pi f L \text{ “resistance” of an inductor for a} \]

Nuclear

\[ E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4 \]
\[ \alpha \text{ particle} = \frac{4}{3} \alpha_\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \text{He} \]
\[ \beta \text{ particle} = 0_1^0 \beta = e^- \]

Binding Energy = \[ \sum_{\text{nucleons}} mc^2 - m_{\text{atomic}} c^2 \]

Quantum & Atomic

\[ \lambda_{\text{out}} - \lambda_{\text{in}} = \frac{h}{m_e c} (1 - \cos \theta) \]
\[ \lambda = \frac{h}{|\vec{p}|} = \frac{h}{\gamma mv} \approx \frac{h}{mv} \]
\[ \Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{h}{4\pi} \]
\[ \Delta E \Delta \lambda \geq \frac{h}{4\pi} \]
\[ E_n = -13.6 \text{eV/n}^2 \]
\[ E_i - E_f = -13.6 \text{eV} \left( \frac{1}{n_i^2} - \frac{1}{n_f^2} \right) = hf \text{ Hydrogen only} \]
\[ mvr = nh \]
\[ \nu^2 = \frac{n^2 h^2}{m^2 c^2} = \frac{k_e e^2}{m_e r} \]
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Relativity
Relativity

Nearly all of the mechanical phenomena we observe around us every day have to do with objects moving at speeds rather small compared to the speed of light. The Newtonian mechanics you learned in previous courses handled these cases extraordinarily well. As it turns out, however, Newtonian mechanics breaks down completely when an object’s speed is no longer negligible compared to the speed of light. Not only does Newtonian mechanics fail in this situation, it fails spectacularly, leading to a variety of paradoxical situations.

The resolution to these paradoxes is given by the theory of relativity, one of the most successful and accurate theories in all of physics, which we will introduce in this chapter. Nature is not always kind, however, and the consequences of relativity seem on their face to flout common sense and our view of the world around us. We are used to the notion that our position changes with time when we are in motion, but relativity implies that passage of time itself changes when we are in motion. Nevertheless, we shall see that relativity is an inescapable consequence of a few simple principles and experimental facts. Moreover, as it turns out, this new description of nature is critical for properly understanding electricity and magnetism, optics, and nuclear physics ... most of the rest of this course!

2.1 Frames of Reference

Describing motion properly usually requires us to choose a coordinate system, and an origin from which to measure position. Why this is so is more clear when we consider the difference between distance and displacement. For example, we can say that a person moves through a displacement of 10 meters, \( \Delta x = 10 \text{ m} \), in a particular direction, e.g., to the right. This does not describe the position of the person at all, only the change in that person’s position over some time interval.

Describing position itself requires us to choose first a coordinate system (such as cartesian, spherical, etc.), and also an origin for this coordinate system to define our “zero” position. The essential difference is that displacement is independent of the coordinate system we choose, but position is not. Without choosing a coordinate system, we can only say that the person has run 10 m in a certain time interval, moving from \( x_i \) to \( x_f \).

As a concrete example, consider Fig. 2.2. This illustrates...
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a person moving 10 m to the right, which perfectly describes a displacement $\Delta x$. We will choose an $x-y$ cartesian coordinate system, which we will call $O$, with its origin at the person’s starting point. In this system, we can describe the initial and final positions $P_i^O$ and $P_f^O$ in this coordinate system as $P_i^O = (0, 0)$ and $P_f^O = (x_f, 0) = (\Delta x, 0)$. This is shown in Fig. 2.2(a). The displacement is the same as it was without a coordinate system. In this chapter we will use the convention that superscripts refer to the coordinate system in which the quantity in question was measured.

![Figure 2.2: Displacement is independent of the coordinate system we choose, but position is not. (a) Without choosing a coordinate system, we can only say that the person has run 10 m in a certain time interval, moving from $x_i$ to $x_f$. (b) If we choose an $x-y$ coordinate system $O$ centered with its origin on the person’s starting point $x_i$, we can describe the initial and final positions as $P_i^O = (x_i, 0)$ and $P_f^O = (x_f, 0)$. The displacement is the same. (c) If we choose a new coordinate system $O'$, identical to $O$ except shifted downward by $y_i$ and to the left by $x_i$, now the initial and final positions are $P_i^{O'} = (x_i, y_i)$ and $P_f^{O'} = (x_f, y_f)$. Still, the displacement is the same.](image)

What happens if we if we instead choose a different coordinate system $O'$, Fig. 2.2(c), identical to $O$ except that its origin is shifted downward by $y_i$ and to the left by $x_i$? Now the initial and final positions of the person are $P_i^{O'} = (x_i, y_i)$ and $P_f^{O'} = (x_f, y_f)$. Still, the displacement $\Delta x$ is the same, as you can easily verify. No matter whether we observe the person from the $O$ or $O'$ system, we would describe the same displacement, even though the actual positions are completely different.

In special relativity, this simple situation no longer holds - observers in different coordinate systems do not necessarily describe even the same displacement, much less the same position. Fortunately, the corrections of special relativity to the Newtonian mechanics you have already learned are only appreciable at very high velocities (non-negligible compared to the speed of light), and for most every day situations our usual intuition is still valid.

In any case, particularly those cases where relativistic effects are important, it is crucially important that we specify in which coordinate system quantities have been measured. We will continue to do this with a superscript of some sort to specify the coordinate system, and a subscript of some sort to further describe what is being measured within that system. When we only have two frames, like the example above, we will often just use a prime (’) to tell them apart. In the previous example, this means we would use $P_f'$ instead of $P_f^{O'}$, and just $P_i$ instead of $P_i^O$. It seems pedantic now, but careful bookkeeping is the only thing saving us from terrible confusion later!
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Coordinate system notation examples:

\[ x_{\text{final}}^O = x_f^O \] final \( x \) position of an object measured in the \( O \) coordinate system

\[ v_{\text{car}}^{O'} \equiv v_{\text{car}}' \] velocity of a car measured in the \( O' \) coordinate system

\[ P_f^{O'} \equiv P_f' = (x'_f, y'_f) \] final position of an object measured in the \( O' \) coordinate system

Finally, a word on terminology. In relativity, it is common to use “reference frame” in place of “coordinate system,” to make explicit the fact that our coordinate system and origin are the point of reference from which we measure physical quantities. We will use both phrasings interchangeably from here on out.

2.2 Moving Frames of Reference

What about one observer measuring in a coordinate system moving at constant velocity relative to another? For example, take Fig. 2.3. A girl holding balloons is standing on the ground, and a bully on a skateboard throws a dart at her balloons. The bully is moving at a velocity \( v_{\text{bully}} \) relative to the girl, and he throws the dart at a velocity \( v_{\text{dart}} \) relative to himself. What is the dart’s speed relative to the girl?

First of all, we have to be more explicit about specifying which quantity is measured in which frame. The velocity of the bully on the skateboard is measured relative to the girl standing on the ground, in the \( O \) system, so we write \( v_{O_{\text{bully}}} \). When we talk about the dart, however, things are a bit less clear. The bully on the skateboard would say that the velocity of the dart is \( v_{O'_{\text{dart}}} \), since he would measure its velocity relative to \textit{himself} in the \( O' \) frame. The girl would measure the velocity of the dart relative to \textit{herself} in the \( O \) frame, \( v_{O_{\text{dart}}} \). Clearly, \( v_{O'_{\text{dart}}} \neq v_{O_{\text{dart}}} \) – in principle, the

\[ \vec{v}_{\text{bully}} \]
\[ \vec{v}_{\text{dart}} \]
\[ \vec{v}_{\text{girl}} = 0 \]

Figure 2.3: A girl holding balloons is standing on the ground, at rest in reference frame \( O \) \( (v_{O_{\text{girl}}} = 0) \). Meanwhile a bully on a skateboard throws a dart at her balloons. The bully is moving at a velocity \( v_{O_{\text{bully}}} \) relative to the girl’s reference frame, and he throws the dart at a velocity \( v_{O'_{\text{dart}}} \) relative to himself (the \( O' \) frame). What is the dart’s speed as measured by the girl? Drawings by C. LeClair
two cannot agree on what the velocity of the dart is! Of course, that is a bit of an exaggeration. In this simple everyday case, relative motion is fairly easy to understand, and we can intuitively see exactly what is happening. Our intuition will start to fail us shortly, however, so it is best we proceed carefully.

Explicitly labeling the velocity with the reference frame in which it is measured helps keep everything precise, and helps us find a way out of this conundrum. It may seem like baggage now, but ambiguity would cost us dearly later. Just to summarize, here is how we will keep the velocities straight:

\[
\begin{align*}
    v^O_{\text{bully}} &= \text{velocity of bully measured from the ground} \\ 
    v^O_{\text{dart}} &= \text{velocity of dart measured from the skateboard} \\ 
    v^O_{\text{dart}} &= \text{velocity of the dart measured by the girl}
\end{align*}
\]

Whenever we are only dealing with two different coordinate systems, we will trim down the notation a bit. We will just call one system the “primed” system, and add a superscript to all quantities, and leave the other one as the “unprimed” system, and drop the ‘\(O\)’. Which one we call “primed” and which one is “unprimed” makes no difference, it is after all just notation and bookkeeping.

What does the girl on the ground, in the \(O\) system really observe? Intuitively, we expect this her to see the dart moving at a velocity \(v_{\text{dart}}\) which is that of the dart relative to the skateboard plus that of skateboard relative to the ground:

\[
\begin{equation}
    v_{\text{dart}} = v'_{\text{dart}} + v_{\text{bully}}
\end{equation}
\]

The bully, in the \(O'\) system (who threw the dart in the first place), just sees \(v'_{\text{dart}}\). Just to be concrete, let’s say that the bully on the skateboard moves with \(v_{\text{bully}} = 3 \text{ m/s}\), and he throws the dart with \(v'_{\text{dart}} = 2 \text{ m/s}\). Then the girl sees the dart coming at her balloons at \(5 \text{ m/s}\).

2.2.1 Lack of a Preferred Reference Frame

Even in the simple example above, velocity depends on your frame of reference. This simple example is completely arbitrary in a sense, though, and implies much more about relative motion. If these two observers can’t agree on the velocity of the dart, as measured in their own reference frames, who is to say what the absolute reference frame should be? After all, isn’t the ground itself moving due to the rotation of the earth about the sun? And isn’t the sun moving relative to the center of the galaxy? Nothing is absolutely at rest, we cannot pick any special frame of reference to define
absolute unique velocities.

Still, we might think be tempted to think that there is some sort of reference frame we are forgetting, one that is truly at rest. For instance, what about empty space itself? Can we define absolute coordinates and absolute motion relative to specific points in space? This is a tempting thought, particularly if we make an analogy with sound waves.

As you know from Mechanics, sound is really nothing more than (longitudinal) oscillations of matter, a sort of density wave in a material. We will find out in later Chapters that light is also a wave. If they are both waves, perhaps the nature of sound can help explain the nature of light? Sound can be propagated through matter, or even through air, but it requires a medium to be transmitted – no sound is transmitted in a vacuum. Could we view light as the vibrations of space itself, or of some all-pervasive “fluid” filling all of space? Certainly light waves also need a medium in which to propagate, so the reasoning goes. This all-pervasive fluid would provide a “background” frame of reference, allowing us to measure absolute velocity, somewhat like measuring the velocity of a boat by how fast water moves past its side.

Indeed, this was a very attractive viewpoint through the early 20th century, and the so-called “luminiferous æther” was the term used to describe the all-pervasive medium for the propagation of light. It fact, is a testable idea – this is a crucial point which makes the idea a true scientific theory. How do we test it? If space itself has a background medium within which light propagates, then we should be able to measure the velocity of the earth through this medium as it revolves around the sun. The earth moving through the æther fluid would experience some “drag,” again just like a boat moving through water.

![Figure 2.4:](image)

Unfortunately, this idea just isn’t right. It has been disproven countless times by experiments, and replaced by the far more successful theory of relativity. Light waves are not like sound waves. Light is in one sense a wave, but a more modern viewpoint treats light as a stream of particles that have a “wave-like nature.”\(^1\) Particles do not need a medium to travel, and therefore neither does light. \textit{There is no æther, and there is no preferred frame of reference. All motion is relative.}

\(^1\)We will explore this dual nature of light in Chapter \(10\)
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2.2.2 Relative Motion

Fine. There is no preferred reference frame or coordinate system, and all motion is relative. So what? The example of Fig. 2.3 was plainly understandable. It is disturbingly easy to come up with examples which are not so plainly understandable, however, which is one motivation for the theory of relativity in the first place. Consider the two rockets in empty space traveling toward each other in Fig. 2.5, separated by a distance $\Delta x$. The pilot of rocket 1 might say he or she is traveling at a speed $v_1$ in his or her own reference frame ($O$), and the pilot of rocket 2 may claim he or she is traveling at a speed $v'_2$ in their own $O'$. Without specifying what point they are measuring their velocity relative to, can we say who is moving at what speed?

We have to imagine that we are deep in empty space, with nothing around either rocket to provide a landmark or point of reference. The occupants of rocket 1 would feel as though they are sitting still, and observe rocket 2 coming toward them, covering a distance $(v_1 + v_2)\Delta t$ in a time interval $\Delta t$. The occupants of rocket 2, on the other hand, would think they are sitting still, and would observe rocket 1 coming toward them, also covering a distance $(v_1 + v_2)\Delta t$ in a time interval $\Delta t$.

Without any external reference point, or an absolute frame of reference, not only can we not say with what speed each rocket is moving, we can’t even say who is moving! If we decide that rocket 1 is our reference frame, then it is sitting still, and rocket 2 is moving toward it. But we could just as easily pick rocket 2 as our reference frame. Specifying who is moving, and with what speed, is meaningless without a proper origin or frame of reference.

Has anything really changed physically? No. An analogy of sorts is to think about driving along side other cars on the highway, keeping pace with them. You might report your speed as 60 mi/hr. Relative to what? Clearly, in this case it is implied that the ground beneath you provides a reference frame, and you are talking about your velocity relative to the earth. You wouldn’t say you are traveling at 60 mi/hr relative to the other cars (we hope) – your speed relative to the other cars is zero if you are staying along side them. Indeed, if you look out your window, the cars next to you appear to be sitting still. This is only true at constant velocity – we can easily detect accelerated motion, or an accelerated frame of reference due to the force experienced. This is the realm of general relativity, Sect. 2.5.

In the end, one of the fundamental principles of special relativity is that the description of relative constant velocity does not matter, so far as the laws of physics are concerned. The laws of
physics apply the same way to all objects in uniform (non-accelerated) motion, no matter how we measure the velocity. We cannot devise an experiment to measure uniform motion absolutely, only relative to a specific chosen frame of reference. More succinctly:

**Principle of relativity:**
All laws of nature are the same in all uniformly moving (non-accelerating) frames of reference. No frame is preferred or special.

As another simple example, Fig. 2.6, consider Joe and Moe running at different (constant) speeds in the same direction, initially separated by a distance \(d_0\). Without specifying any particular common frame of reference, we must be able to describe their relative motion, or how the separation between Joe and Moe changes with time, even though we can’t speak of their absolute velocities in any sense.

Let’s say we arbitrarily choose Joe’s position at \(t = 0\) as our reference point. It is easy then to write down what Joe and Moe’s positions are at any later time interval \(\Delta t\):

\[
x_{\text{Joe}} = v_{\text{Joe}} \Delta t \quad \quad x_{\text{Moe}} = d_0 + v_{\text{Moe}} \Delta t
\]

We can straightforwardly write down the separation between them (their relative displacement) as well:

\[
\Delta x_{\text{Moe-Joe}} = x_{\text{Moe}} - x_{\text{Joe}} = d_0 + v_{\text{Moe}} \Delta t - v_{\text{Joe}} \Delta t = d_0 + (v_{\text{Moe}} - v_{\text{Joe}}) \Delta t
\]

Sure enough, their relative displacement only depends on their relative velocity, \(v_{\text{Moe}} - v_{\text{Joe}}\). Further, both Joe and Moe would agree with this, since we could arbitrarily choose Moe’s position at \(t = 0\) as our reference point, and we would end up with the same answer. Since there is nothing special about either position, we can choose any point whatsoever as a reference, and wind up with the same result. We end up with the same physics no matter what reference point we choose, which one we choose is all a matter of convenience in the end.
Choosing a coordinate system:

1. Choose an origin. This may coincide with a special point or object given in the problem - for instance, right at an observer’s position, or halfway between two observers. Make it convenient!

2. Choose a set of axes, such as rectangular or polar. The simplest are usually rectangular or Cartesian $x$-$y$-$z$, though your choice should fit the symmetry of the problem given - if your problem has circular symmetry, rectangular coordinates may make life difficult.

3. Align the axes. Again, make it convenient - for instance, align your $x$ axis along a line connecting two special points in the problem. Sometimes a thoughtful but less obvious choice may save you a lot of math!

4. Choose which directions are positive and negative. This choice is arbitrary, in the end, so choose the least confusing convention.

This seems simple enough, but if we think about this a bit longer, more problems arise. Who measures the initial separation $d_0$, Joe or Moe? Who keeps track of the elapsed time $\Delta t$? Does it matter at all, can the measurement of distance or time be affected by relative motion? Of course, the answer is an awkward ‘yes’ or we would not dwell on this point. If we delve deeper on the problem of relative motion, we come to the inescapable conclusion that not only is velocity a relative concept, our notions of distance and time are relative as well, and depend on the relative motion of the observer. In order to properly understand these deeper ramifications, however, we need to perform a few more thought experiments.

### 2.2.3 Invariance of the Speed of Light

Already, relativity has forced us to accept some rather non-intuitive facts. This is only the beginning! A more fundamental and far-reaching principle of relativity is that the speed of light is a constant, independent of the observer. No matter how we measure it, no matter what our motion is relative to the source of the light, we will always measure its velocity to be the same value, $c$. Light does not obey the principle of relative motion!

**Speed of Light in a Vacuum:**

\[ c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \]

There is a relatively simple way to experimentally demonstrate that this seems to be true, depicted in Fig. 2.7. The earth itself is in constant motion in its orbit around the sun, moving at $\sim 3 \times 10^4 \text{ m/s}$ measured relative to distant stars (this in itself is a measurable quantity). Imagine now that we carefully set up three lasers, each oriented in a different direction relative to earth’s orbital velocity – one parallel (A), one antiparallel (B), and one at a right angle (C). We will further
set up each laser to emit short pulses of light, and carefully measure the time between pulses. In this way, we can determine the speed of the light coming out of each laser.

Based on simple Newtonian mechanics and velocity addition, we would expect to measure a slightly different velocity for each laser. In case A, we would expect the Earth’s velocity to add to that of light, \( v_A = v_{\text{light}} + v_{\text{orbit}} \), while in case B, it should subtract, \( v_B = v_{\text{light}} - v_{\text{orbit}} \). In case C, we have to add vectors, \( \vec{v}_C = \vec{v}_{\text{light}} + \vec{v}_{\text{orbit}} \), but the idea is the same.

The effect should be small (\( \sim 0.01 \% \)), but easily measurable. No effect is observed, the speed of light is always the same value \( c \). This experiment has been performed with increasingly fantastic precision over the last 100 years, and no matter what direction we shine the light, we always measure the same speed! (The current best limit on the constancy of the speed of light is about 1 part in \( 10^{16} \).) One straightforward result of this experiment is that the idea of an Æther is clearly not right, as we discussed above. There are much more far-reaching consequences, which we must consider carefully. First, let us re-iterate this idea more formally:

**The speed of light is invariant**

The speed of light in free space is independent of the motion of the source or observer. It is an invariant constant.

This is not just idle speculation or theory, it has been confirmed again and again by careful experiments. These experiments have established, for instance, that the speed of light does not depend on the wavelength of light, on the motion of the light source, or the motion of the observer. As examples, lack of a wavelength dependence can be strongly ruled out by astronomical observations of gamma ray bursts (to better than 1 part in \( 10^{15} \)), while binary pulsars can rule out any dependence on source motion. The lack of a dependence on observer motion was disproved along with the æther (Sec. 2.2.1), which also proved that light requires no medium for propagation.

As an example of this, we turn again to Joe and Moe (Fig. 2.8). Joe is in a rocket \((O')\), traveling at 90% of the speed of light \((v = 0.9c)\), while Moe is on the ground \((O)\) with a flashlight. Moe shines the flashlight parallel to Joe’s trajectory in the rocket. On first sight, we would think that Moe would measure the speed of the light leaving the flashlight as \( c \), while Joe would measure \( v = c - 0.9c = 0.1c \).

\[ ii \text{Throughout this chapter, we refer to the speed of light in a vacuum.} \]
Both Joe and Moe measure the same speed of light $c$, despite their relative motion! What if we gave Joe the flashlight inside the rocket? No difference, both Joe and Moe measure the speed of the light to be $c$. Think back to our example of relative motion in Fig. 2.3. It doesn’t seem to make sense that light behaves differently, but that is how it is. As we shall see shortly, our normal intuitions about everyday phenomena at relatively low velocities is no longer valid when velocities approach that of light. The physics is fundamentally different, and our Newtonian instincts are in the end only a low-speed approximation to reality. By the end of this chapter, though, we will be armed with the proper tools to analyze this situation correctly from both viewpoints.

2.2.4 Principles of special relativity

From our discussions so far, relativity when non-accelerating (inertial) reference frames are considered has two basic principles which underpin the entire theory:

**Principles of special relativity**

1. **Special principle of relativity**: Laws of physics look the same in all inertial (non-accelerating) reference frames. There are no preferred inertial frames of reference.

2. **Invariance of $c$**: The speed of light in a vacuum is a universal constant, $c$, independent of the motion of the source or observer.

This theory of relativity restricted to inertial reference frames is known as the *special theory of relativity*, while the more general theory of relativity which also handles accelerated reference frames is simply known as the *general theory of relativity* (which we will touch on in Sect. 2.5).

The second postulate of special relativity - the invariance of the speed of light - can actually be considered as a consequence of the first according to some mathematical formulations of special relativity. That is, the constancy of the speed of light is required in order to make the first postulate true. We will continue to hold it up as a second primary postulate of special relativity, however, as some of the more non-intuitive consequences of special relativity are (in our view) more readily apparent when one keeps this fact in mind.

The first principle of relativity essentially states that all physical laws should be exactly the same in any vehicle moving at constant velocity as they are in a vehicle at rest. As a consequence,
at constant velocity we are incapable of determining absolute speed or direction of travel, we are only able to describe motion relative to some other object. This idea does not extend to accelerated reference frames, however. When acceleration is present, we feel fictitious forces that betray changes in velocity that would not be present if we were at rest. All experiments to date agree with this first principle: physics is the same in all inertial frames, and no particular inertial frame is special.

The principle of relativity is by itself more general than it appears. The principle of relativity describes a symmetry in the laws of nature, that the laws must look the same to one observer as they do to another. In physics, any symmetry in nature also implies a conservation law, such as conservation of energy or conservation of momentum. If the symmetry is in time, such that two observers at different times must observe the same laws of nature, then it is energy that must be conserved. If two observers at different physical locations must observe the same laws of physics (i.e., the laws of physics are independent of spatial translation), it is linear momentum that must be conserved. The relativity principles imply deep conservation laws about space and time that make testable predictions — predictions which must be in accordance with experimental observations in order to be taken seriously. Relativity is not just a principle physicists have proposed, it is a postulate that was in the required in order to describe nature as we see it. The consequences of these postulates will be examined presently.

2.3 Consequences of Relativity

We have our principles laid forth, and their rationale clearly provided by our series of thought experiments. All experimental results to date are on the side of these two principles. So, enough already, what are the consequences of these two innocent-looking principles? In some sense you may ask what the big deal is. How often do we deal with objects traveling close to the speed of light? The glib answer is “plenty” — we use light itself pretty much constantly! The more formal answer is that the invariance of the speed of light and the principles of relativity force us to modify our very notions of perception and reality. It is not just fiddling with a few equations to handle special high-velocity cases, we must reevaluate some of our deepest intuitions and physical models. Many books have been written about the implications that relativity has had on philosophy in fact ... however, we will stick to physics.

2.3.1 Lack of Simultaneity

The speed of light is more than just a constant, it is a sort of ‘cosmic speed limit’ — no object can travel faster than the speed of light, and no information can be transmitted faster than the speed of light. If either were possible, causality would be violated: in some reference frame, information could be received before it had been sent, so the ordering of cause-effect relationships would be reversed. It is a bit much to go into, but the point is this: the speed of light is really a speed limit, because if it were not, either cause and effect would not have their usual meaning, or sending
information backward in time would be possible. Neither is an easily-stomached possibility. A more readily grasped consequence of all of this is that we must give up on the notion of two events being simultaneous in any absolute sense – whether events are viewed as simultaneous depends on one’s reference frame! It should seem odd that a seemingly simple principle like the speed of light being constant would muck things up so much, but in fact we can demonstrate that this must be true with a simple thought experiment.

Imagine that Joe is flying in a spaceship at \( v = 0.9c \) (we will call his reference frame \( O' \)), and Moe is observing him on the ground (in frame \( O \)), as shown in Fig. 2.9. Joe, sitting precisely in the middle of the ship, turns on a light at time \( t = 0 \) also in the middle of the spaceship. A small amount of time \( \Delta t \) later, Joe’s superhuman eyes observe the rays of light reach the front and the back of the spaceship simultaneously. So far this makes sense – if the light is exactly in the middle of the ship, light rays from the bulb should reach the front and back at the same time.

Now, what will Moe on the ground see? From his frame \( O \), Moe sees the light emitted from the bulb at \( t = 0 \). The ship and the light bulb are both moving relative to Moe at \( v = 0.9c \), but we have to be careful. First, Moe observes the same speed of light as Joe, even though the bulb is moving. Once the light bulb is turned on, the first light leaves the bulb at \( v = c \) and diverges radially outward from its point of creation. As this first light leaves the bulb, however, the ship is still moving forward. The front of the ship moves away from the point of the light’s creation, while the back moves toward it.

**Consequence of an invariant speed of light:**

Events that are simultaneous in one reference frame are not simultaneous in another reference frame moving relative to it – and no particular frame is preferred. Simultaneity is not an absolute concept.

In some sense, once the light is created, it isn’t really in either reference frame – it is traveling at \( v = c \) no matter who observes it. The ship moved forward, but the point at which the light was created

---

**Footnote:** You might think nothing, as we neglected to mention that Joe’s ship is transparent.
created did not. We attempt to depict this in Fig. 2.9, where from Moe’s point of view, after a
time $\Delta t$ the light rays emitted from the bulb seem to have emanated from a point somewhat behind
the rocket – a distance $c\Delta t$ behind it. Thus, after some time, Moe sees the light hit the back of the
ship first! Joe and Moe seem to observe different events, and they can not agree on whether the
light hits the front and the back of the ship simultaneously. Events which are simultaneous in Joe’s
reference frame are not in Moe’s reference frame, moving relative to him. Think about how this
plays out from Joe and Moe’s reference frames carefully. It is strange and non-intuitive, but if we
accept the speed of light as invariant, the conclusion is inevitable.

2.3.2 Time Dilation

Now we have already seen that the constancy of the speed of light has some rather unintuitive and
bizarre consequences. For better or worse, it gets stranger! Not only is our comfortable notion of
simultaneity sacrificed, our concept of the passage of time itself must be “corrected.” Just as the
notion of two events being simultaneous or not depend on one’s frame of reference, the relative
passage of time also depends on the frame of reference in which the measurement of time is made.
Again, to illustrate this, we will perform a thought experiment.

First, we need a way to measure the passage of time. The constancy of the speed of light
fortunately provides us with a straightforward – if not necessarily experimentally simple – manner in
which to do this. We will measure the passage of time by bouncing light pulses between two parallel
mirrors, carefully placed a distance $d$ apart. Since we know the speed of light is an immutable
constant, so long as the space between the mirrors remains fixed at $d$, the round-trip time $\Delta t$ for a
pulse of light to start at one mirror, bounce off the second, and return to the first will be a constant.
The light pulse travels the distance $d$ between the mirrors, and back again, at velocity $c$, so the

$$ \Delta t = \frac{2d}{c} $$

Now, let’s imagine Joe is performing this experiment in a boxcar moving at velocity $v$ relative
to the ground, as shown in Fig. 2.10. We will label Joe’s own reference frame inside the boxcar as $O'$, such that the boxcar moves in the $x'$ direction. Both Joe, the mirrors, and the light source are
stationary relative to one another, and the mirrors and light source have been carefully positioned
a distance $d$ apart such that the light pulses propagate vertically in the $y'$ direction. In Joe’s
reference frame, he can measure the passage of time by measuring the number of round trips that
an individual light pulse makes between the two mirrors. For one round trip, Joe would measure a
time interval

$$ \Delta t'_{\text{Joe}} = \frac{2d}{c} $$

So far so good. Since Joe is not moving relative to the mirrors, nothing unusual happens –
assuming he has superhuman vision, he just sees the light pulses bouncing back and forth between
the mirrors, Fig. 2.10, straight up and down, and counts the number of round trips. Moe monitors
this situation from the ground, in his own reference frame $O$. Thankfully, the boxcar is transparent, and Moe is able to see the light pulses and mirrors as well as the boxcar, moving at a velocity $v$ from his point of view.

\[
|\vec{v}| = 0.9c
\]

Figure 2.10: left: Joe is traveling in a (transparent) boxcar, and he bounces laser beams between two mirrors inside the boxcar. Since the distance between the mirrors is known, and the speed of light is constant, he can measure time in this way. Joe measures the round trip time it takes the light to bounce from the bottom mirror, to the top, and back again. right: Moe observes the mirrors from the ground. From his frame $O$, the boxcar and mirrors are moving but the light is not. He therefore sees the light bouncing off of the mirrors at an angle. Using geometry and the constant speed of light, Moe also measures a round trip time interval, but since the path he observes for the light is different, he measures a different time interval than Joe.

What does Moe see inside the boxcar? From his point of view, a light pulse is created at the bottom mirror while the whole assembly moves in the $x$ direction – mirrors, light pulse, and all! Just like in the example of the light being flicked on in a space ship, the boxcar and mirrors have moved, but the point at which the light was created has not – Moe appears to see the light traveling at an angle. A light pulse is created at the bottom mirror, and it travels upward horizontally to reach the top mirror some time later, a bit further along the $x$ axis. Rather than seeing the pulses going straight up and down, from Moe’s point of view, they zig-zag sideways along the $x$ axis, as shown in Fig. 2.10b.

So what? We know the speed of light is a constant, so both Joe and Moe must see the light pulses moving at a velocity $c$, even though they appear to be moving in along a different trajectory. If Moe also uses the light pulses’ round trips to measure the passage of time, what time interval does he measure? The speed of light is constant, but the apparent distance covered by the light pulses is larger in Moe’s case. Not only has the light traveled in the $y$ direction a distance $2d$, over the course of one round trip it has also moved horizontally due to the motion of the boxcar. If the light has apparently traveled farther from Moe’s point of view, and the speed of light is constant, then the apparent passage of time from Moe’s point of view must also be greater!

Just how long does Moe observe the pulse round trip to be? Let us examine one half of a round trip, the passage of the light from the bottom mirror to the top. In that interval, from either reference frame, the light travels a vertical distance of $d$. From Joe’s reference frame $O'$, the light does not travel horizontally, so the entire distance covered is just $d$, and he measures the time interval $\frac{1}{2}\Delta t'_{Joe} = d/c$. From Moe’s reference frame, the car has also travelled horizontally. Since he sees the car moving at a velocity $v$, he would say that in his time interval $\frac{1}{2}\Delta t'_{Moe}$ for one half round
trip, the car has moved forward by $\frac{1}{2}v\Delta t_{\text{Moe}}$. Thus, Moe would see the light cover a horizontal distance of $\frac{1}{2}v\Delta t_{\text{Moe}}$ and a vertical distance $d$, as shown in Fig. 2.11.

![Figure 2.11: Velocity addition for light pulses leading to time dilation. Within the boxcar (frame $O'$), Joe observes the light pulses traveling purely vertically, covering a distance $d$. On the ground (frame $O$), Moe sees the light cover the same vertical distance, but also sees them move horizontally due to the motion of the boxcar at velocity $v$ in his reference frame. The total distance the light pulse travels, according to Moe, is then the Pythagorean sum of the horizontal and vertical distances.](image)

Thus, according to Moe, total distance that the light pulse covers in one half of a round trip is the Pythagorean sum of the horizontal and vertical distances.

\[
\text{(distance observed by Moe)}^2 = d^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}v\Delta t_{\text{Moe}}\right)^2
\]  

(2.5)

Further, he must also observe the speed of light to be $c$ just as Joe does. If he measures the passage of time by counting the light pulses as Joe does, then he would say that after one half round trip, the light has covered this distance at a speed $c$, and would equate this with a time interval in his own reference frame $\Delta t$. Put another way, he would say that the distance covered by the light in one half round trip is just $\frac{1}{2}c\Delta t_{\text{Moe}}$, in which case we can rewrite the equation above:

\[
\left(\frac{1}{2}c\Delta t_{\text{Moe}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}c\Delta t'_{\text{Joe}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}v\Delta t'_{\text{Moe}}\right)^2
\]  

(2.6)

Now we see that if the speed of light is indeed constant, there is no way that the time intervals measured by Joe and Moe can be the same! The pulse seems to take longer to make the trip from Moe’s perspective, since it also has to travel sideways, not just up and down. Solely due to the constant and invariant speed of light, Joe and Moe must measure different time intervals, and Moe’s must be the longer of the two. We can solve the equation above to find out just what time interval Moe measures:
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\[
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{1}{2}c\Delta t_{\text{Moe}}\right)^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}c\Delta t'_{\text{Joe}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}v\Delta t_{\text{Moe}}\right)^2 \\
\left(\Delta t_{\text{Moe}}\right)^2 &= \left(\Delta t'_{\text{Joe}}\right)^2 + v^2 \left(\Delta t\right)^2 \\
\left(\Delta t_{\text{Moe}}\right)^2 (c^2 - v^2) &= c^2 \left(\Delta t'_{\text{Joe}}\right)^2 \\
\Delta t_{\text{Moe}} &= \frac{\Delta t'_{\text{Joe}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \\
\implies \Delta t_{\text{Moe}} &= \Delta t'_{\text{Joe}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \equiv \Delta t'_{\text{Joe}} \gamma 
\end{align*}
\]

Here we defined a dimensionless quantity \( \gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2} \) to simplify things a bit, we’ll return to that shortly. So long as \( v < c \), the time interval that Moe measures is always larger than the one Joe measures, by an amount which increases as the boxcar’s velocity increases. This is a general result in fact: the time interval measured by an observer in motion is always longer than that measured by a stationary observer. Typically, we say that the moving observer measures a dilated time interval, hence this phenomena is often referred to as time dilation. The time dilation phenomena is symmetric – if Moe also had a clock on the ground, Joe would say that Moe’s clock runs slow by precisely the same amount. It is only the relative motion that matters.

**Time dilation**

The time interval \( \Delta t \) between two events at the same location measured by an observer moving with respect to a clock is always larger than the time interval between the same two events measured by an observer stationary with respect to the clock. The ‘proper’ time \( \Delta t_p \) is that measured by the stationary observer.

\[
\Delta t'_{\text{moving}} = \gamma \Delta t_{\text{stationary}} = \gamma \Delta t_p \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} 
\]

In other words, time is stretched out for a moving observer compared to one at rest.

In the example above, it is Moe who is in a reference frame moving relative to our light ‘clock’ and Joe is the stationary observer. Therefore, Joe measures the ‘proper’ time interval, while Moe measures the dilated time interval. Incidentally, for discussions involving relativity, we basically assume that there is always a clock sitting at every possible point in space, constantly measuring time intervals, even though this is clearly absurd. What we really mean is the elapsed time that a clock at a certain position would read, if we had one there. For the purpose of illustration, it is just simpler to presume that everyone carries a fantastically accurate clock at all times.
Caveat for time dilation

The analysis above used to derive the time dilation formula relies on both observers measuring the same events taking place at the same physical location at the same time, such as two observers measuring the same light pulses. When timing between spatially separated events or dealing with questions of simultaneity, we must follow the formulas developed in Sect. 2.3.4.

The quantity dimensionless quantity $\gamma$ is the ratio of the time intervals measured by the observers moving (Moe) and stationary (Joe) relative to the events being timed. This quantity, defined by Eq. 2.14, comes up often in relativity, and it is called the Lorentz factor. Since $c$ is the absolute upper limit for the velocity of anything, $\gamma$ is always greater than 1. So long as the relative velocity of the moving observer is fairly small relative to $c$, the correction factor is negligible, and we need not worry about relativity (e.g., at a velocity of 0.2$c$, the correction is still only about 2%). In some sense, the quantity $\gamma$ is sort of a gauge for the importance of relativistic effects – if $\gamma \approx 1$, relativity can be neglected, while if $\gamma$ is much above 1, we must include relativistic effects like time dilation. Figure 2.12 provides a plot and table of $\gamma$ versus $v/c$ for reference. Note that as $v$ approaches $c$, $\gamma$ increases extremely rapidly.

**Lorentz factor $\gamma$:**

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \geq 1 \quad (2.14)$$

$\gamma$ is dimensionless, and $\gamma \geq 1$ for $v \leq c$. $\gamma$ approaches 1 for low velocities, and increases rapidly as $v$ approaches $c$. If $\gamma \approx 1$, one can safely neglect the effects of relativity.

In the case above, for velocities much less than $c$, when $\gamma \approx 1$, Eq. 2.13 tells us that both Joe and Moe measure approximately the same time interval, just as our everyday intuition tells us. In fact, for most velocities you might encounter in your everyday life, the correction factor $\gamma$ is only different from 1 by a miniscule amount, and the effects of time dilation are negligible. They are note, however, unmeasurable or unimportant, as we will demonstrate in subsequent sections – time dilation has been experimentally verified to an extraordinarily high degree of precision, and does have some everyday consequences.

2.3.2.1 Example: The Global Positioning System (GPS)

Before we discuss the stranger implications of time dilation, it is worth discussing at least one practical example in which the consequences of time dilation are important: the global positioning system. As you probably know, the Global Positioning System (GPS) is a network of satellites in medium earth orbit that transmit extremely precise microwave signals that can be used by a receiver to determine location, velocity, and timing. Each GPS satellite repeatedly transmits a
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$v$ [m/s]</th>
<th>$\frac{v}{c}$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\frac{1}{\gamma}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.00005</td>
<td>0.99995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.25 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.85 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.97 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.983 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.995 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>0.0447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.996 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.998 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.12: The Lorentz factor $\gamma$ and its inverse for various velocities in table and graph form. The inset to the graph shows an expanded view for low velocities.

message containing the current time, as measured by an onboard atomic clock, as well as other parameters necessary to calculate its exact position. Since the microwave signals from the satellites travel at the speed of light (microwaves are just a form of light, Sect. 9.5), knowing time difference between the moment the message was sent and the moment it was received allows an observer to determine their distance from the satellite. A ground-based receiver collects the signals from at least four distinct GPS satellites and uses them to determine its four space and time coordinates - $(x, y, z$ and $t)$.

How does relativity come into play? The 31 GPS satellites currently in orbit are in a medium earth orbit at an altitude of approximately 20,200 km, which give them a velocity relative to the earth’s surface of 3870 m/s. This means that the actual atomic clocks responsible for GPS timing on the satellites are moving at nearly 4000 m/s relative to the receivers on the ground calculating position. Therefore, based on our discussion above, we would expect that the satellite-based GPS clocks would measure longer time intervals that those on the earth – the GPS clocks should run slow, a problem for a system whose entire principle is based on precise timing.

How big is this effect? We already know enough to calculate the timing difference. Let us assume that (somehow) at $t = 0$ we manage to synchronize a GPS clock with a ground-based one. From that moment, we will measure the elapsed time as measured by both clocks until the earth-based clock reads exactly 24 hours. We will call the earth-based clock’s reference frame $O$, and that on the GPS satellite $O'$, and label the time intervals correspondingly. Since are on the ground in

\[ v = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{r}} \]

You may remember from studying gravitation the the orbital speed can be found from Newton’s general law of gravitation and centripetal force, $v = \sqrt{GM/r}$, where $G$ is the universal gravitational constant, $M$ is the mass of the earth, and $r$ is the radius of the orbit, as measured from the earth’s center.
the earth’s reference frame, obviously we consider the earth-based clock to be the stationary one, measuring the proper time, and the GPS clock is moving relative to us. Applying Eq. \(2.13\) the elapsed time measured by the GPS clock and an earth-bound clock are related by a factor \(\gamma\):

\[
\Delta t'_{\text{GPS}} = \gamma \Delta t_{\text{Earth}} \tag{2.15}
\]

The difference between the two clocks is then straightforward to calculate, given the relative velocity of the satellite of \(v = 3870 \text{ m/s} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-5} c\):

\[
time \text{ difference} = \Delta t_{\text{Earth}} - \Delta t_{\text{GPS}} \tag{2.16}
\]
\[
= \Delta t_{\text{Earth}} - \gamma \Delta t_{\text{Earth}} \tag{2.17}
\]
\[
= \Delta t_{\text{Earth}} (1 - \gamma) \tag{2.18}
\]
\[
= \Delta t_{\text{Earth}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \right] \tag{2.19}
\]
\[
= \left[ 24 \text{ h/day} \cdot 60 \text{ min/h} \cdot 60 \text{ s/min} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (1.3 \times 10^{-5})^2}} \right] \tag{2.20}
\]
\[
\approx \left[ 86400 \text{s/day} \right] \left[ -8.32 \times 10^{-11} \right] \tag{2.21}
\]
\[
\approx -7.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{s/day} = -7.2 \mu\text{s/day} \tag{2.22}
\]

A grand total of about 7\(\mu\)s slow over an entire day (about 0.3\(\mu\)s per hour), only about 80 parts per trillion \((8 \times 10^{-11})\) per day! This may not seem like a lot, until one again considers that the GPS signals are traveling at the speed of light, and even a small error in timing can translate into a relatively large error in position. Remember, it is the travel time of light signals that determines distance in GPS. If time dilation were not accounted for, a receiver using that signal to determine distance would have an error given by the time difference multiplied by the speed of light. If we presume that, conservatively, position measurements are taken only once per hour:

\[
\text{position difference in one hour} = \text{time difference in one hour} \times c \tag{2.23}
\]
\[
= \left[ -3.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{s/h} \right] \left[ 3.0 \times 10^8 \text{m/s} \right] \tag{2.24}
\]
\[
\approx 90 \text{ m/h} \tag{2.25}
\]

In the end, GPS must be far more accurate than this, and the effects of special relativity and time dilation must be accounted for, along with those of general relativity\(^5\) (Sect. \(2.5\)). Both effects together amount to a discrepancy of about +38\(\mu\)s per day. Since the orbital velocity of the
satellites is well-known and essentially constant, the solution is simple: the frequency standards for the atomic clocks on the satellites are precisely adjusted to run slower and make up the difference. Though time dilation seems a rather ridiculous notion at first, it has real-world consequences we are familiar with, if unknowingly so.

**Time dilation on a 747**

The cruising speed of a 747 is about 250 m/s. After a 5 hour flight at cruising speed, by how much would your clock differ from a ground-based clock? How about after a year?

Using the same analysis as above, your clock would differ by about \(6 \times 10^{-9} \) s (6 ns) after five hours, and still only 10 µs after one year. Definitely not enough to notice, but enough to measure - current atomic clocks are accurate to \(\sim 10^{-10} \) s/day (\(\sim 0.1 \) ns/day). In fact, in 1971 physicists performed precisely this sort of experiment to test the predictions of time dilation in relativity, and found excellent agreement.

2.3.2.2 Example: The Twin ‘Paradox’

Now that we have a realistic calculation under our belt, let us consider a more extreme example. We will take identical twins, Joe and Moe, and send Moe on a rocket into deep space while Joe stays home. At the start of Moe’s trip, both are 25 years old. Moe boards his rocket, and travels at \(v = 0.95c\) to a distant star, and back again at the same speed. According to Joe’s clock on earth, this trip takes 40 years, and Joe is 65 years old when Moe returns. Moe, on the other hand, has experienced time dilation, since relative to the earth’s reference frame and Joe’s clock he has been moving at 0.95c. Moe’s clock, therefore, runs more slowly, registers a smaller delay:

\[
\Delta t_{\text{Joe}} = 40 \text{ yr} \quad (2.26)
\]
\[
\Delta t'_{\text{Moe}} = \gamma \cdot 40 \text{ yr} \quad (2.27)
\]
\[
= \frac{40 \text{ yr}}{\sqrt{1 - (0.95c)^2}} \quad (2.28)
\]
\[
\approx 12.5 \text{ yr} \quad (2.29)
\]

It would seem, then that while Joe is 65 years old when Moe returns, having aged 40 years, Moe is 37.5 years old, having aged only 12.5 years! On the other hand, one of the principles of relativity is that there is no preferred frame of reference, it should be equally valid to use the clock on Moe’s rocket ship as the proper time. From Moe’s point of view, the earth is moving away from him at 0.95c. In his reference frame, Joe’s earth-bound clock should run slow, and Moe should be older than Joe!

This is the so-called Twin ‘Paradox’ of special relativity. In fact, it is not a paradox, but a misapplication of the notion of time dilation. The principles of special relativity we have been
discussing are only valid for non-accelerating reference frames. In order for Moe to move from the earth’s reference frame to the moving reference frame of the rocket ship at 0.95c and back again, he had to have accelerated during the initial and final portions of the trip, plus at the very least to turn around. The reference frame of the earth is for all intents and purposes not accelerating, but the reference frame on the ship is, and our calculation of the time dilation factor is not complete.

While the earth-bound clocks to run slow from the ship’s point of view so long as the velocity of the spaceship is constant, during the accelerated portions of the trip the earth-bound clocks actually run fast and gain time compared to the rocket’s clocks. An analysis including accelerated motion is beyond the scope of this text, but the gains of the earth-bound clock during the accelerated portion of the trip more than make up for the losses during the constant velocity portion of the trip, and no matter who keeps track, Joe will actually be younger than Moe from any reference frame. In short, there is no ‘paradox’ so long as the notions of relativity are applied carefully within their limits.

Inertial reference frames:
The principles of special relativity we have been discussing are only valid in inertial or non-accelerating reference frames. When accelerated motion occurs, a more complex analysis must be used.

### 2.3.3 Length Contraction

If the passage of time itself is altered by relative motion, what else must also be different? If the elapsed time interval depends on the relative motion of the clock and observer, then at constant velocity one would also begin to suspect that distance measurements must also be affected. After all, so far we have mostly talked about time in terms of objects or pulses of light traversing specific distances at constant velocity. Naturally, in order to explore this idea we need another thought experiment. Once again, it needs to involve a spaceship.

This time, the experiment is simple: a spaceship departs from earth toward a distant star, Fig. 2.13. In accordance with our discussion above, we stipulate that we only consider the portion of the ship’s journey where it is traveling at constant velocity, and there is no acceleration to worry about. According to observations on the earth, the star is a distance $L$ away, and the spaceship is traveling at a velocity $v$. From the earth’s reference frame $O$, the amount of time the trip should take $\Delta t_E$ is easy to calculate:

$$\Delta t_E = \frac{L}{v} \quad (2.30)$$

Fair enough. On the spaceship, however, the passage of time is slowed by a factor $\gamma$ due to time dilation, and from their point of view, the trip takes less time. Since our spaceship is not accelerating in this example (it doesn’t even have to turn around), we can readily apply Eq. 2.13.
2.3 Consequences of Relativity

Figure 2.13: Length contraction and travel to a distant star. A spaceship (frame $O'$) sets out from earth (frame $O$) at a velocity $v$ toward a distant star. Do the observers in the spaceship and the earth-bound observers agree on the distance to the star?

From the spaceship occupant’s point of view, the earth is moving relative to them, so the time interval should be divided by $\gamma$ to reflect their shorter elapsed time interval.

\[ \Delta t'_{\text{ship}} = \frac{\Delta t_{E}}{\gamma} \]  

(2.31)

Keep in mind, by clock, we mean the passage of time itself, this includes biological processes. We already know what $\Delta t_{E}$ must be from Eq. 2.30, so we can plug that in to Eq. 2.31 above:

\[ \Delta t'_{\text{ship}} = \frac{L}{v\gamma} \]  

(2.32)

**Do I divide or multiply by $\gamma$?**

The Lorentz factor $\gamma$ is always greater or equal to 1, $\gamma \geq 1$. If you are unsure about whether to divide or multiply by $\gamma$, think qualitatively about which quantity should be larger or smaller. In the example above, Eq. 2.31, we know the spaceship’s time interval should be larger than that measured on earth, so we know we have to divide the earth’s time interval by $\gamma$.

If the occupants of the ship also measure their velocity relative to the earth (we will pretend they even communicate with earth to make sure all observers agree on the relative velocity, $v' = v$), then they will presume that upon arrival at the distant star, the distance covered must be their velocity times their measured time interval. From the ship occupant’s point of view, then, the distance to
the star measured in their reference frame, $L'$ is

$$L' = v\Delta t'_{\text{ship}} = \frac{v\Delta t_E}{\gamma} = \frac{L}{\gamma} \neq L$$ (2.33)

If you ask the people on the ship, the distance to the star is shorter, because their apparent time interval is! As we might have guessed, the relativity of time measurement also manifests itself in measurements of length, a phenomena known as length contraction or Lorentz contraction.

**Length Contraction** The length of an object (or the distance to an object) as measured by an observer in motion is shorter than that measured by an observer at rest by a factor $1/\gamma$. The proper length, $L_p$, is measured at rest with respect to the object.

$$L'_{\text{moving}} = \frac{L_{\text{stationary}}}{\gamma} = \frac{L_p}{\gamma}$$ (2.34)

That is, objects and distances appear shorter by $1/\gamma$ if you are moving relative to them.

This analysis isn’t just for distances, but any spatial dimension in the direction of motion. The length of an object is measured to be shorter when it is moving relative to the observer than when it is at rest - objects and distances appear shorter if you are moving relative to them. For example, a baseball moving past you at very high velocity would be shortened only along one axis parallel to the direction of motion, and would appear as an ellipsoid, not as a smaller sphere. It would be “squashed” along the direction of the baseball’s motion only, as shown in Fig. 2.14. The length contraction appears only along the direction in which there is relative motion. In this case, that means the sphere looks contracted only in one direction, so it is squashed instead of just smaller.

![Figure 2.14](image)

Figure 2.14: Length contraction of a sphere traveling at various speeds, viewed side-on. The length contraction occurs only along the direction of motion. Hence, to a stationary observer, the moving sphere appears ‘flattened’ along the direction of motion into an ellipsoid.

Just like time dilation, the length contraction effect is negligibly small at everyday velocities. Unlike time dilation, there is as yet no everyday application of time dilation, and no simple and straightforward experimental proof. We have no practical way of measuring the length of an object at extremely high velocities with sufficient precision at the moment. Collisions of elementary particles at very high velocities in particle accelerators provides some strong but indirect evidence for
length contraction, and in some sense, since length contraction follows directly from time dilation, the experimental verifications of time dilation all but verify length contraction.

A summary of sorts:
1. objects and distances in relative motion appear shorter by $1/\gamma$
2. the length contraction is only along the direction of motion
3. the objects to not actually get shorter in their own reference frame, it is only apparent to the moving observer

2.3.4 Time and position in different reference frames

Now that we have a good grasp of time dilation and length contraction, we can start to answer the more general question of how we translate between time and position of events seen by observers in different reference frames. For example, consider Fig. 2.15. A girl in frame $O$ is stationary relative to a star at point $P$, known to be a distance $x$ away, which suddenly undergoes a supernova explosion.

At precisely this instant, a boy travels past her in on a skateboard at constant relative velocity $v$ along the $x$ axis (frame $O'$). For convenience, we will assume that at the moment the explosion occurs, he is exactly the same distance away as the girl. When and where does the supernova occur, according to their own observations? How can we relate the distances and times measured by the girl to that measured by the boy, and *vice versa*?

![Figure 2.15: A stationary and moving observer watch a supernova explosion. A girl in frame $O$ is stationary relative to the supernova, a distance $x$ away. A boy on a skateboard in the $O'$ frame is traveling at $v$ relative to frame $O$. How long does it take before the first light of the supernova reaches each of them?](image)

All we need to do is apply what we know of relativity thusfar, and compare what each observer would measure in their own frame with what the *other* would measure. In the girl’s case, the situation is fairly straightforward. She is a distance $x$ from the star, and the first light from the explosion travels that distance at a velocity $c$. Therefore, according to her observations, the first
light from the supernova arrives after:

\[ t_{\text{arrival}} = \frac{x}{c} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.35)

What about the boy on the skateboard, in frame \( O \)? Since he is moving relative to the star, the distance to the star appears length contracted from his point of view. At the instant of the supernova, he measures a distance shorter by a factor \( \gamma \) compared to that measured by the girl. Furthermore, from his point of view in his own reference frame, he is siting still, and the supernova is moving toward him at velocity \( v \). Therefore, from his point of view the supernova is getting closer to him. After \( t' \) seconds by his clock, the supernova is a distance \( vt' \) closer. Putting these two bits together, the distance \( x' \) the boy would measure to the supernova is:

\[ x' = \frac{x}{\gamma} - vt' \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.36)

So the distance to the supernova he claims is the original distance, length contracted due to his motion relative to the supernova, minus the rate at which he gets closer to the supernova.

What would the girl say about all this? The distance between the boy and the supernova, from her point of view, would have to be contracted to \( x'/\gamma \) since the boy is in motion relative to her. Additionally, from her point of view, since the boy is moving away from her at \( v \), the distance between the two is increasing by \( vt \) after \( t \) seconds. We can express her perceived distance to the supernova as the sum of two distances: the distance from her to the boy, and the distance from the boy to the supernova:

\[ x = vt + \frac{x'}{\gamma} \] \hspace{1cm} (2.37)

Now we have consistent expressions relating the distance measured by one observer to that measured by the other. If we rearrange Eqs. [2.36] and [2.37] a bit, and put primed quantities on one side and unprimed on the other, we arrive the transformations between positions measured by moving observers in their usual form:
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Transformation of distance between reference frames:

\[ x' = \gamma (x - vt) \] \hspace{1cm} (2.38)
\[ x = \gamma (x' + vt') \] \hspace{1cm} (2.39)

Here \((x, t)\) is the position and time of an event as measured by an observer in \(O\) stationary to it. A second observer in \(O'\), moving at velocity \(v\), measures the same event to be at position and time \((x', t')\).

These equations include the effects of length contraction and time dilation we have already developed, as well as including the relative motion between the observers. If we use Eqs. 2.36 and 2.37 together, we can also arrive at a more direct expression to transform the measurement times as well. To start, we’ll take Eq. 2.38 as written, and substitute it into Eq. 2.39:

\[ x = \gamma (x' + vt') \]
\[ = \gamma (\gamma (x - vt) + vt') \]
\[ = \gamma^2 x - \gamma^2 vt + \gamma vt' \] \hspace{1cm} (2.40)
\( = \gamma^2 x - \gamma^2 vt + \gamma v t' \) \hspace{1cm} (2.41)
\[ = \gamma^2 x - \gamma^2 vt + \gamma v t' \] \hspace{1cm} (2.42)

So far it’s a bit messy, but it will get better. Now let’s solve that for \(t'\). A handy relationship we will make use of is \((1 - \gamma^2) / \gamma^2 = -v^2 / c^2\), which you should verify for yourself.

\[ \gamma vt' = (1 - \gamma^2) x + \gamma^2 vt \] \hspace{1cm} (2.43)
\[ \implies t' = \gamma t + \frac{(1 - \gamma^2) x}{\gamma v} \] \hspace{1cm} (2.44)
\[ = \gamma \left[ t + \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{\gamma^2} \left( \frac{x}{v} \right) \right] \] \hspace{1cm} (2.45)
\[ = \gamma \left[ t - \frac{vx}{c^2} \right] \] \hspace{1cm} (2.46)

And there we have it, the transformation between time measured in different reference frames. A similar procedure gives us the reverse transformation for \(t\) in terms of \(x'\) and \(t'\).
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Time measurements in different non-accelerating reference frames:

\[ t' = \gamma \left( t - \frac{vx}{c^2} \right) \]  
\[ t = \gamma \left( t' + \frac{vx'}{c^2} \right) \] (2.47) (2.48)

Here \((x, t)\) is the position and time of an event as measured by an observer in \(O\) stationary to it. A second observer in \(O'\), moving at velocity \(v\), measures the same event to be at position and time \((x', t')\).

The first term in this equation is just the time it takes light to travel across the distance \(x\) from point \(P\), corrected for the effects of time dilation we now expect. The second term is new, and it represents an additional offset between the clock on the ground and the one in the car, not just one running slower than the other. What it means is that events seen by the girl in frame \(O\) do not happen at the same time as viewed by the boy in \(O'\)!

This is perhaps more clear to see if we make two different measurements, and try to find the elapsed time between two events. If our girl in frame \(O\) sees one even take place at position \(x_1\) and time \(t_1\), labeled as \((x_1, t_1)\), and a second event at \(x_2\) and \(t_2\), labeled as \((x_2, t_2)\), then she would say that the two events were spatially separated by \(\Delta x = x_1 - x_2\), and the time interval between them was \(\Delta t = t_1 - t_2\). If we follow the transformation to find the corresponding times that the boy observes, \(t'_1\) and \(t'_2\), we can also calculate the boy’s perceived time interval between the events, \(\Delta t'\):

\[ \Delta t' = t'_1 - t'_2 = \gamma \left( \Delta t - \frac{v\Delta x}{c^2} \right) \] (2.49)

If observer in \(O\) stationary relative to the events \((x_1, t_1)\) and \((x_2, t_2)\) measures a time difference between them of \(\Delta t = t_1 - t_2\) and a spatial separation \(\Delta x = x_1 - x_2\), an observer in \(O'\) measures a time interval for the same events \(\Delta t'\). Events simultaneous in one frame (\(\Delta t = 0\)) are only simultaneous in the other \((\Delta t' = 0)\) when there is no spatial separation between the two events \((\Delta x = 0)\).

For two events to be simultaneous, there has to be no time delay between them. For the girl to say the events are simultaneous requires that she measure \(\Delta t = 0\), while for the boy to say the same requires \(\Delta t' = 0\). We cannot satisfy both of these conditions based on Eq. [2.49] unless there is no relative velocity between observers \((v = 0)\), or the events being measured are not spatially separated \((\Delta x = 0)\). This means two observers in relative will only find the same events simultaneous if the events are not spatially separated! Put simply, events are only simultaneous in both reference frames if they happen at the same spot. At a given velocity, the larger the separation between the two events, the greater the degree of non-simultaneity. Similarly, for a
given separation, the larger the velocity, the greater the discrepancy between the two frames. This is sometimes called **failure if simultaneity at a distance.**

In the end, this is our *general* formula for time dilation, including events which are spatially separated. If we plough still deeper into the consequences of special relativity and simultaneity, we will find that our principles of relativity have indeed preserved causality - cause always precedes effect - it is just that what one means by “precede” depends on which observer you ask. What relativity says is that cause must precede its effect according to all observers in inertial frames, which equivalently prevents both faster than light travel or communication and influencing the past.

### 2.3.4.1 Summary of sorts: the Lorentz Transformations

We are now ready to make a summary of the relativistic transformations of time and space. Let us consider two reference frames, $O$ and $O'$, moving at a **constant** velocity $v$ relative to one another. For simplicity, we will consider the motion to be along the $x$ and $x'$ axes in each reference frame, so the problem is still one-dimensional. The observer in frame $O$ measures an event to occur at time $t$ and position $(x, y, z)$. The event is at rest with respect to the $O$ frame. Meanwhile, the observer in frame $O'$ measures the *same event* to take place at time $t'$ and position $(x', y', z')$. Based on what we have learned so far, we can write down the general relations between space and time coordinates in each frame, known as the *Lorentz transformations:*

Lorentz transformations between coordinate systems:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x' &= \gamma (x - vt) \quad \text{or} \quad x = \gamma (x' + vt') \\
  y' &= y \\
  z' &= z \\
  t' &= \gamma \left( t - \frac{vx}{c^2} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad t = \gamma \left( t' + \frac{vx'}{c^2} \right)
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.50) (2.51) (2.52) (2.53)

Here $(x, y, z, t)$ is the position and time of an event as measured by an observer in $O$ stationary to it. A second observer in $O'$, moving at velocity $v$ along the $x$ axis, measures the same event to be at position and time $(x', y', z', t')$.

Here we have provided both the ‘forward’ and ‘reverse’ forms of the transformations for convenience. Again, the distance is only contracted along the direction of motion, the $x$ and $x'$ directions – the $y$ and $z$ coordinates are thus unaffected. When the velocity is small compared to $c$ ($v \ll c$), the first equation gives us our normal Newtonian result, the position in one frame relative to the other is just offset by their relative velocity times the time interval, and the time is the same. These compact equations encompass all we know of relativity so far - length contraction, time dilation, and lack of simultaneity.
Why are the transformations the way they are?
They take this form because they are the ones that leave the velocity of light constant at $c$ in every reference frame.

Relativity for observers in relative motion at constant velocity:
1. Moving observers see lengths contracted along the direction of motion.
2. Moving observers’ clocks ‘run slow’, less time passes for them.
3. Events simultaneous in one frame are not simultaneous in another unless they occur at the same position.
4. All observers measure the same speed of light $c$.

2.3.5 Addition of Velocities in Relativity

The invariance of the speed of light has another interesting consequence, namely, that one can no longer simply add velocities together to compute relative velocities in different reference frames in the way we did at the beginning of this chapter. Think about one of our original questions regarding relative motion, Fig. 2.3, in which a bully threw a dart off of a moving skateboard at a little girl’s balloon. In that case, we said that the girl observed the dart to move at a velocity which was the sum of the velocities of the skateboard relative to the girl and the dart relative to the skateboard. When velocities are an appreciable fraction of the speed of light, this simple velocity addition breaks down.

In the end, it has to, or the speed of light could not be an absolute cosmic speed limit. Think about this: if you are driving in your car at 60 mi/hr down the freeway and turn on your headlights, do the light beams travel at $c$, or $c$ plus 60 mi/hr? We know already that the answer must be $c$, but that is not at all consistent with our usual ideas of relative motion. If we can’t just add the velocities together, what do we do? Is there a way to combine relative velocities such that the speed of light remains a constant and an upper limit? There is a relatively simple mathematical way to accomplish this. Once again, we will derive the result in the context of yet another thought experiment and try to show you how to use it.

The present thought experiment is just a variation the dart thrown from the skateboard, and is shown in Fig. 2.16. An observer on the ground (frame $O$) sees a person on a cart (frame $O'$) moving at velocity $v_a$, as measured in the ground-based reference frame $O$. The person on the cart throws a ball at a velocity $v'_b$ relative to the cart, which is measured as $v_b$ in the ground-based frame. The ground-based observer measures $v_a$ and $v_b$, while the observer on the cart measures the cart’s velocity as $v'_a$ and the ball’s velocity as $v'_b$. How do we relate the velocities measured in the different frames $O$ and $O'$, without violating the principles of relativity we have investigated so far?

We can’t simply add and subtract the velocities like we want to, our thought experiment of Sect. 2.2.3 involving a flashlight and a rocket ruled this out already, since this does not keep the speed of light invariant. So how do we properly add the velocities? Velocity is just displacement.
per unit time. If we calculate the displacement and time in one reference frame, then transform both to the other reference frame, we can divide them to correctly find velocity.

Let’s start with the velocity of the ball as measured by the observer on the cart, $v_b'$. The displacement of the ball relative to the cart at some time $t'$ after it was thrown, also measured in the cart’s frame $O'$, is just $x_b' = v_b't'$. This is just how far ahead of the car the ball is after some time $t'$. We can substitute this into Eq. 2.50 to find out what displacement the observer on the ground in $O$ should measure, remembering that $v_a$ is the relative velocity of the observers:

$$x_b = \gamma (x_b' + v_a t') = \gamma (v_b't' + v_a t')$$

(2.54)

But now we have $x$, the displacement of the ball seen from $O$, in terms of $t'$, the time measured in $O'$. If we want to find the velocity of the ball as measured by an observer in $O$, we have to divide the distance measured in $O$ by the time measured in $O$! We can’t divide one person’s position by another person’s time, we have to transform both. So we should use Eq. 2.53 to find out what $t$ is from $t'$ too:

$$t = \gamma \left( t' + \frac{v_a x'}{c^2} \right) = \gamma \left( t' + \frac{v_a v_b't'}{c^2} \right)$$

(2.55)

Now we have the displacement of the ball $x$ and the time $t$ as measured by the observer on the ground in $O$. The velocity in $O$ is just the ratio of $x$ to $t$:
\[ v_b = \frac{x}{t} \]
\[ = \frac{\gamma (v_b' t' + v_a t')}{\gamma (t' + \frac{v_a v_b' c^2}{c^2})} \]
\[ = \frac{v_b' + v_a'}{1 + \frac{v_a v_b' c^2}{c^2}} \]  
\[ (2.56) \quad (2.57) \quad (2.58) \]

For the last step, we divided out \( \gamma t' \) from everything, by the way. So, this is the proper way to compute relative velocity of the ball observed from the ground, consistent with our framework of relativity.

\[
\text{velocity of ball observed from the ground} = v_b = \frac{v_a + v_b'}{1 + \frac{v_a v_b' c^2}{c^2}}
\]  
\[ (2.59) \]

In the limiting case that the velocities are very small compared to \( c \), then it is easy to see that the expression above reduces to \( v_b = v_a + v_b' \) – the velocity of the ball measured from the ground is the velocity of the car relative to the ground plus the velocity of the ball relative to the car. But, this is only true when the velocities are small compared to \( c \). Similarly, we could solve this equation for \( v_b' \) instead and relate the velocity of the ball as measured from the car to the velocities measured from the ground:

\[
\text{velocity of ball observed from the cart} = v_b' = \frac{v_b - v_a}{1 - \frac{v_a v_b c^2}{c^2}}
\]  
\[ (2.60) \]

The equation above allows us to calculate the velocity of the ball as observed from the car if we only had ground-based measurements. Again, for low velocities, we recover the expected result \( v_b' = v_b - v_a \). What about the velocity of the cart? We don’t need to transform it, since it is already the relative velocity between the frames \( O \) and \( O' \), and hence between the ground-based observer and the car. We only need the velocity addition formula when a third party is involved. Out of the three relevant velocities, we only ever need to know two of them.

So this is it. This simple formula is all that is needed to properly add velocities and obey the principles of relativity we have put forward. Below, we put this in a slightly more general formula.

\footnote{Or, more precisely, when the product of the velocities is small compared to \( c^2 \).}
Relativistic velocity addition:
We have an observer in a frame $O$, and a second observer in another frame $O'$ who are moving relative to each other at a velocity $v$. Both observers measure the velocity of another object in their own frames ($v_{\text{obj}}$ and $v'_{\text{obj}}$). We can relate the velocities measured in the different frames as follows:

$$v_{\text{obj}} = \frac{v + v'_{\text{obj}}}{1 + \frac{v'_{\text{obj}}}{c^2}} \quad \quad v'_{\text{obj}} = \frac{v_{\text{obj}} - v}{1 - \frac{v_{\text{obj}}}{c^2}}$$

(2.61)

Again, $v_{\text{obj}}$ is the object’s velocity as measured from the $O$ reference frame, and $v'_{\text{obj}}$ is its velocity as measured from the $O'$ reference frame.

Velocities greater than $c$?
The velocity addition formula shows that one cannot accelerate something past the speed of light. No matter what subluminal velocities you add together, the result is always less than $c$. Try it! Our relativistic equations for momentum and energy will further support this.

Remember, $c$ isn’t just the speed of light, it is a limiting speed for everything!

2.3.5.1 Example: throwing a ball out of a car

Just to be clear, let us make our previous example more concrete. Let’s say we have Joe in reference frame $O$, sitting on the ground, while Moe is in a car (frame $O'$) moving at $v_{\text{car}} = \frac{3}{4}c$. Moe throws a ball very hard out of the car window, such that he measures its velocity to be $v'_{\text{ball}} = \frac{1}{2}c$ in his reference frame. What would Joe say that the velocity of the ball is, relative to his reference frame on the ground?

Basically, Joe wants to know the velocity of the ball relative to the ground, not relative to the car. What we need to do is relativistically combine the velocity of the car relative to the ground and the velocity of the ball relative to the car. Classically, we would just add them together:

$$v_{\text{ball}} = v_{\text{car}} + v'_{\text{ball}} = \frac{3}{4}c + \frac{1}{2}c = \frac{5}{4}c = 1.25c \quad \text{WRONG!}$$

Clearly this is an absurdity - the ball cannot be traveling faster than the speed of light in anyone’s reference frame. We need to use the proper relativistic velocity addition formula, Eq. 2.61. We know the velocity of the ball relative to the car in frame $O'$, $v'_{\text{ball}}$ and the velocity of the car relative to the ground in the $O$ frame, $v_{\text{car}}$, so we just substitute and simplify:
2.3 Consequences of Relativity

\[ v_{\text{ball}} = \frac{v_{\text{car}} + v'_{\text{ball}}}{1 + \frac{v_{\text{car}}v'_{\text{ball}}}{c^2}} \tag{2.62} \]

\[ = \frac{\frac{3}{4}c + \frac{1}{2}c}{1 + \left(\frac{3}{4}c\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}c\right)} \tag{2.63} \]

\[ = \frac{\frac{5}{4}c}{1 + \frac{3}{8}c} = \frac{10}{11}c \approx 0.91c \tag{2.64} \]

So, in relativity, three quarters plus one half is only about 0.9! But this is the result we are looking for - no matter what velocities \( v < c \) we add together, we always get an answer less than \( c \). Put another way, no matter what reference frame we consider, the velocity of an object will always observed to be less than \( c \). So our relativistic velocity addition works so far. But what about applying it to light, which is actually traveling right at \( c \). Does everything still come out ok?

2.3.5.2 Example: shining a flashlight out of a rocket

What if, instead of throwing a ball out of the window, Moe uses a flashlight to send out a light pulse? In that case, we have to find that the velocity of light is \( c \) no matter which frame we use. Remember our problem in Sect. 2.2.3? We had Joe traveling on a rocket at 0.99\( c \), while Moe on the ground shines a flashlight parallel to his path, shown again in Fig. 2.17. Our claim at the time was that both Moe and Joe observe the light from the flashlight to travel at \( v = c \), consistent with our relativistic velocity addition formula!

\[ J o e \quad |\vec{v}| = 0.9c \]
\[ M o e \quad |\vec{v}| = c \]

\[ O' \quad x' \]
\[ O \quad x \]

Figure 2.17: Joe is traveling on a rocket at \( |\vec{v}| = 0.99c \), while Moe on the ground shines a flashlight parallel to Joe’s path. Both Joe and Moe observe the light from the flashlight to travel at \( |\vec{v}| = c \), consistent with our relativistic velocity addition formula!

In this case, Joe is on a rocket (frame \( O' \)) moving at \( v_{\text{rocket}} = 0.99c \) relative to Moe on the ground. Moe knows that in his frame \( O \), the light from the flashlight travels away from him at velocity \( v_{\text{light}} = c \). What is the velocity of light observed by Joe in the rocket, \( v'_{\text{light}} \), if we use the velocity addition formula? All we have to do is subtract the speed of light as measured by Moe from Joe’s speed on the rocket ship, according to the second equation in 2.61.
2.4 Mass, Momentum, and Energy

\[ v'_\text{light} = \frac{v_{\text{light}} - v_{\text{rocket}}}{1 - \frac{v_{\text{rocket}}v_{\text{light}}}{c^2}} \]  
\[ = \frac{c - 0.99c}{1 - 0.99c} \]  
\[ = \frac{0.01c}{1 - 0.99} = c \]  

Lo and behold, the thing works! Our velocity addition formula correctly calculates that both Joe and Moe have to measure the same speed of light, since the speed of light is the same when observed from any reference frame. We shouldn’t be too surprised, however: the velocity addition formula was constructed to behave in exactly this way. How about if Joe holds the flashlight while in the rocket, what is the speed of light as measured by Moe on the ground? Now we have to add the velocities of the light coming out of the rocket and the velocity of the rocket itself, according the first equation in (2.61) Still no problem:

\[ v_{\text{light}} = \frac{v_{\text{rocket}} + v'_\text{light}}{1 + \frac{v_{\text{rocket}}v'_\text{light}}{c^2}} \]  
\[ = \frac{0.99c + c}{1 + 0.99c} \]  
\[ = \frac{1.99c}{1 + 0.99} = c \]  

In the end, we have succeeded in constructing a framework of mechanics that keeps the speed of light invariant in all reference frames, and answers (nearly) all the questions raised at the beginning of the chapter.

**Is everything relative then?**

Not quite!

- All observers will agree on an objects *rest* length
- All observers will agree on the proper time
- All observers will agree on an objects rest mass
- The speed of light is an upper limit to physically attainable speeds

2.4 Mass, Momentum, and Energy

So far, the simple principles of relativity have had enormous consequences. Our basic notions of time, position, and even simultaneity all needed to be modified. If position and time must be altered, then it stands to reason that *velocity* - the change of position with time - must also be altered. Sure enough, the velocity addition formula was also a required change. What next? If our
notions of relative velocity need to be altered, then the next thing must surely be momentum and kinetic energy. As it turns out, even our concept of mass needs to be tweaked a bit.

### 2.4.1 Relativistic Momentum

First, let’s consider momentum. Classically, we define momentum in terms of mass and velocity, \( \vec{p} = m\vec{v} \). A basic principle of classical mechanics you have learned is that momentum must be conserved, no matter what. What about in relativity? In relativity, exactly what \( \vec{v} \) is depends on the reference frame in which it is measured. That means that our usual definition of momentum above depends on the reference frame as well. It gets worse. Using our simple \( \vec{p} = m\vec{v} \), not only would the total amount of momentum depend on the choice of reference frame, conservation of momentum in one frame would not necessarily be true in another. How can a fundamental conservation law depend on the frame of reference?

It cannot - this is one of our basic principles of relativity, \( \text{viz.} \), the laws of physics are the same for all non-accelerating frames of reference. We must have conservation of momentum, independent of what frame in which the momentum is measured. How do we construct a new equation for momentum, one for which conservation of momentum is always valid, but at low velocities reduces to our familiar \( \vec{p} = m\vec{v} \)? The result is not surprising: we only need to transform velocity the same way we transformed position:

Relativistic momentum:

\[
\vec{p} = \gamma m \vec{v}
\]  

Here \( \vec{p} \) is the momentum vector for an object of mass \( m \) moving with velocity \( \vec{v} \).

The derivation is a bit beyond the scope of our discussion, but defining momentum in this way makes it independent of the choice of reference frame, and restores conservation of momentum as a fundamental physical law. For low velocities (\( v \ll c \)), \( \gamma \approx 1 \), and this reduces to the familiar result. For velocities approaching \( c \), the momentum grows much more quickly than we would expect. In fact, an object traveling at \( c \) would require infinite momentum (and therefore infinite kinetic energy), clearly an absurdity. This is one good reason why nothing with finite mass can ever travel at the speed of light! Only light itself, with no mass, can travel at the speed of light.

### 2.4.2 Relativistic Energy

The relativistic correction to momentum is straightforward. Given that kinetic energy depends on the momentum of an object (one can write \( KE = p^2 / 2m \)), one would expect a necessary revision for kinetic energy as well. This one is not so straightforward, however. First, we need to think about what we mean by energy in the first place.

In classical mechanics, for a single point mass in linear motion (\( i.e. \), not rotating), the kinetic energy simply goes to zero when the body stops, \( KE = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 = p^2 / 2m \). For an arbitrary body,
however, the result is not so simple. If a composite object contains multiple, independently moving bodies (such as the individual atoms making up matter, for instance), the individual entities may interact among themselves and move about, and the object possesses internal energy \( E_i \) as well as the kinetic energy due to the motion of the whole mass. Overall, classically the kinetic energy of such a body is the sum of these two energies – the energy due to the motion of the object as a whole, and the energy due to the motion of the constituents of the object, \( KE = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + E_i \). Any moving body more complex than a single point mass has a contribution due to its internal energy.

In relativity, the kinetic energy does still depend on the motion of a body as a whole as well as its internal energy content. As with momentum, conservation of energy requires that the energy of a body is independent of the choice of reference frame, the total energy of a body cannot depend on the frame in which it is measured. The total energy – kinetic plus internal – must be the same in all reference frames. A derivation requires somewhat more math than we would like, but the result is simple:

\[
E = \gamma mc^2
\] (2.72)

This equation already tells us that the energy content of a body grows rapidly as \( v \) approaches \( c \), and reaching the speed of light would require a body to have infinite energy. What is more interesting, however, is when the velocity of the body is zero, i.e., \( \gamma = 1 \). In this case, \( E = mc^2 \) - the body has finite energy even when not in motion! This is Einstein’s most famous equation, and it represents the fundamental equivalence of mass and energy. Any object has an intrinsic, internal energy associated with it by virtue of having mass. This constant energy is called the rest energy:

\[
E_R = mc^2
\] (2.73)

As Einstein himself put it, “Mass and energy are therefore essentially alike; they are only different expressions for the same thing.” Matter is basically an extremely dense form of energy – is convertible into energy, and vice versa. In fact, the rest energy content of matter is enormous, owing to the enormity of \( c^2 \) - one gram of normal matter corresponds to about \( 9 \times 10^{13} \) J, the same energy content as 21 ktons of TNT! It is the conversion of matter to energy that is responsible for the enormous energy output of nuclear reactions, such as those that power the sun, a subject we will return to.

The equivalence of matter and energy, or, if you like, the presence of an internal energy due solely to a body’s matter content, is an unexpected consequence of relativity. But we still have not determined the actual kinetic energy of a relativistic object! Again, the derivation is somewhat laborious, but the result is easy enough to understand. If we take the total energy of an object, Eq. 2.72, and subtract off the velocity-independent rest energy, Eq. 2.73, what we are left with
is the part of a body’s energy that depends solely on velocity. This is the kinetic energy we are looking for, and it means the total energy of a body is the sum of its rest and kinetic energies:

\[
KE = (\gamma - 1) mc^2 \tag{2.74}
\]

Total energy:

\[
E_{\text{total}} = KE + E_R \tag{2.75}
\]

Since \( \gamma = 1 \) when \( v = 0 \), the kinetic energy of a stationary body is zero, as we expect. At low velocities (\( v \ll c \)), one can show that this expression correctly reduces to \( \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \). As with the total energy, for a body to actually acquire a velocity of \( c \) it would need an infinite kinetic energy, again, a primary reason why no object with mass can travel at the speed of light.

For completion, we should note that it is still possible to relate relativistic energy and momentum, just like it was possible to relate classical kinetic energy and momentum, though we will not derive the expressions here:

\[
E^2 - (pc)^2 = (mc^2)^2 \tag{2.76}
\]

\[
Ev = pc^2 \tag{2.77}
\]

here \( p \) is the momentum of a body, \( m \) its mass, \( v \) its velocity, \( E \) its energy, and \( c \) is the speed of light. We can use this to write the relativistic kinetic energy and momentum equations in a different form:

\[
KE = \sqrt{p^2c^2 + m^2c^4} - mc^2 \quad \text{and} \quad p = \sqrt{\frac{E^2}{c^2} - m^2c^4} \tag{2.78}
\]

The energy content of a body still scales with its momentum, and for a body at rest (\( p=0 \)), the energy content is purely the rest energy \( mc^2 \). Once again we have an unexpected result, however: objects with no mass must also have momentum, so long as they have energy. For massless particles – such as the photons that make up a beam of light – we have the result \( E = pc \), or \( p = E/c \). This is truly another odd result of relativity, completely unexpected from classical physics! How can objects with no mass still have momentum? Since matter and energy are equivalent according to relativity, having energy is just as good as having mass, and still leads to a net momentum. This will become an important consideration when we begin to study optics and modern physics.

\[
p = \frac{E}{c} \tag{2.79}
\]
If you combine Eqs. [2.77] and [2.79], you come to an even wilder conclusion. If the particle has zero mass, but some energy greater than zero, then we can write

\[ v = \frac{pc^2}{E} = \frac{Ec^2}{E} = c \]

(2.80)

A particle with zero mass always moves at the speed of light, and can never stop moving! It doesn’t matter what the energy of the particle is, anything with finite energy but zero mass has to travel at the speed of light. The converse is true as well – anything moving at the speed of light must be massless. Just to drive the point home one last time: the speed of light is an upper limit to physically attainable speeds for material bodies.

### 2.4.3 Relativistic Mass

About the only thing left we have not modified with relativity is mass. Most modern interpretations of relativity consider mass to be an invariant quantity, properly measured when the body is at rest (or measured within its own reference frame). This rest mass of an object in its own reference frame is called the invariant mass or rest mass, and is an observer-independent quantity synonymous with our usual definition of “mass.”

These days, we say that while the momentum of a body must be the same in all reference frames, and hence must be transformed, the mass of a body is just a constant, and is measured in the body’s own reference frame. Rest mass is in some sense just counting the number of atoms in an object, something we really only do in the object’s reference frame anyway. If we are measuring an object from another reference frame, we will typically be measuring its momentum, or kinetic energy, not counting how many atoms it contains. Thus it is momentum and kinetic energy we transform to be invariant in all reference frames and mass we simply say is a property of an object measured in its own reference frame.

### 2.5 General Relativity
2.6 Quick Questions

1. An astronaut traveling at \( v = 0.80c \) taps her foot 3.0 times per second. What is the frequency of taps determined by an observer on earth? (*Hint: be careful about the difference between time and frequency!*)
   - 5.0 taps/sec
   - 6.7 taps/sec
   - 1.8 taps/sec
   - 3.0 taps/sec

2. A spaceship moves away from earth at high speed. How do experimenters on earth measure a clock in the spaceship to be running? How do those in the spaceship measure a clock on earth to be running?
   - slow; fast
   - slow; slow
   - fast; slow
   - fast; fast

3. If you are moving in a spaceship at high speed relative to the earth, would you notice a difference in your pulse rate? In the pulse rate of the people back on earth?
   - no; yes
   - no; no
   - yes; no
   - yes; yes

4. The period of a pendulum is measured to be 3.00 sec in its own reference frame. What is the period as measured by an observer moving at a speed of 0.950c with respect to the pendulum?
   - 6.00 sec
   - 13.4 sec
   - 0.938 sec
   - 9.61 sec

5. The Stanford Linear Accelerator (SLAC) can accelerate electrons to velocities very close to the speed of light (up to about 0.9999999995c or so). If an electron travels the 3 km length of the accelerator at \( v = 0.999c \), how long is the accelerator from the electron’s reference frame?
   - 134 m
   - 67.1 km
   - 94.9 m
   - 300 m
6. A spacecraft with the shape of a sphere of diameter $D$ moves past an observer on Earth with a speed $0.5c$. What shape does the observer measure for the spacecraft as it moves past?

- streak
- ellipsoid
- sphere
- cube

7. Suppose you’re an astronaut being paid according to the time you spend traveling in space. You take a long voyage traveling at a speed near that of light. Upon your return to earth, you’re asked how you would like to be paid: according to the time elapsed by a clock on earth, or according to the ship’s clock. Which do you choose to maximize your paycheck?

- The earth clock.
- The ship’s clock.
- It doesn’t matter.

2.7 Problems

1. In the 1996 movie *Eraser*, a corrupt business Cyrez is manufacturing a handheld rail gun which fires aluminum bullets at nearly the speed of light. Let us be optimistic and assume the actual velocity is $0.75c$. We will also assume that the bullets are tiny, about the mass of a paper clip, or $m = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{kg}$.

   (a) What is the relativistic kinetic energy of such a bullet?
   (b) Let us further assume that Cyrez has managed to power the rail guns by matter-energy conversion. What amount of mass would have to be converted to energy to fire a single bullet? (For comparison, note that 1 kg of TNT has an equivalent energy content of about $4 \times 10^9 \text{J}$.)

2. Show that the kinetic energy of a (non-relativistic) particle can be written as $KE = \frac{p^2}{2m}$, where $p$ is the momentum of a particle of mass $m$.

3. A pion at rest ($m_\pi = 273 m_e$) decays to a muon ($m_\mu = 207 m_e$) and an antineutrino ($m_\nu \approx 0$). This reaction is written as $\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}$. Find the kinetic energy of the muon and the energy of the antineutrino in electron volts. *Hint: relativistic momentum is conserved.*

4. An alarm clock is set to sound in 15 h. At $t = 0$ the clock is placed in a spaceship moving with a speed of $0.77c$ (relative to Earth). What distance, as determined by an Earth observer, does the spaceship travel before the alarm clock sounds?

5. The average lifetime of a pi ($\pi$) meson in its own frame of reference (i.e., the proper lifetime) is $2.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{s}$

   (a) If the meson moves at $v = 0.98c$, what is its mean lifetime as measured by an observer on earth?
   (b) What is the average distance it travels before decay, measured by an observer on Earth?
   (c) What distance would it travel if time dilation did not occur?
6. You are packing for a trip to another star, and on your journey you will travel at 0.99c. Can you sleep in a smaller cabin than usual, because you will be shorter when you lie down? Explain your answer.

7. A deep-space probe moves away from Earth with a speed of 0.88c. An antenna on the probe requires 4.0s, in probe time, to rotate through 1.0 rev. How much time is required for 1.0 rev according to an observer on Earth?

8. A friend in a spaceship travels past you at a high speed. He tells you that his ship is 24 m long and that the identical ship you are sitting in is 18 m long.

(a) According to your observations, how long is your ship?
(b) According to your observations, how long is his ship?
(c) According to your observations, what is the speed of your friend’s ship?

9. A Klingon space ship moves away from Earth at a speed of 0.700c. The starship Enterprise pursues at a speed of 0.900c relative to Earth. Observers on Earth see the Enterprise overtaking the Klingon ship at a relative speed of 0.200c. With what speed is the Enterprise overtaking the Klingon ship as seen by the crew of the Enterprise?

10. An observer sees two particles traveling in opposite directions, each with a speed of 0.99000c. What is the speed of one particle with respect to the other?

2.8 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. **1.8 taps/sec.** The ‘proper time’ \( \Delta t_p \) is that measured by the astronaut herself, which is 1/3 of a second between taps (so that there are 3 taps per second). The time interval **between taps** measured on earth is dilated (longer), so there are **less** taps per second. For the astronaut:

\[
\Delta t_p = \frac{1}{3} \text{ taps}
\]

On earth, we measure the dilated time:

\[
\Delta t' = \gamma \Delta t_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{0.82c^2}{c^2}}} \left( \frac{1}{3} \text{ taps} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 0.8^2}} \left( \frac{1}{3} \text{ taps} \right) \approx \frac{0.56 \text{ s}}{\text{tap}} = \frac{1}{1.8} \text{ taps}
\]

2. **slow; slow.** The time-dilation effect is symmetric, so observers in each frame measure a clock in the other to be running slow. Put another way, the relative velocity of the earth and the ship is the same no matter who you ask – each says the other is moving with some speed \( v \), and they are sitting still. Therefore, the dilation effect is the same in both cases.

3. **no; yes.** There is no relative speed between you and your own pulse, since you are in the same reference frame, so there is no difference in your pulse rate (possible space-travel-related anxieties aside). There is a relative velocity between you and the people back on earth, however,
so you would find their pulse rate slower than normal. Similarly, they would find your pulse rate slower than normal, since you are moving relative to them. Relativistic effects are always attributed to the other party – you are always at rest in your own reference frame.

4. 9.61 sec. The proper time is that measured by in the reference frame of the pendulum itself, \( \Delta t_p = 3.00 \text{ sec} \). The moving observer has to observe a longer period for the pendulum, since from the observer’s point of view, the pendulum is moving relative to it. Observers always perceive clocks moving relative to them as running slow. The factor between the two times is just \( \gamma \):

\[
\Delta t' = \gamma \Delta t_p = \frac{3.0 \text{ sec}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{0.95^2c^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{3.0 \text{ sec}}{\sqrt{1 - 0.95^2}} \approx 9.61 \text{ sec}
\]

5. 134 m. The electron in its own reference frame sees the accelerator moving toward it at 0.999c, and sees a contracted length:

\[
L = \frac{L_p}{\gamma} = 3 \text{ km} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \frac{0.999^2c^2}{c^2}} = 3 \text{ km} \cdot \sqrt{1 - 0.999^2} = 0.134 \text{ km} = 134 \text{ m}
\]

6. ellipsoid. The sphere is length contracted only along its direction of motion, i.e., only along one axis. Squishing a sphere along one axis makes an ellipsoid.

7. The earth’s clock. Less time will have passed in your reference frame, since you are moving relative to the earth. The earth’s clock will have registered more time elapsed than yours.
2.9 Solutions to Problems

1. $2.3 \times 10^{13} \text{ J}, \ 2.56 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kg}$. First part: relativistic kinetic energy is given by:

$$KE = (\gamma - 1) mc^2$$

First, we’ll calculate $\gamma$ based on the given velocity:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{(0.75c)^2}{c^2}}} = 1.51$$

Next, we’ll calculate the $mc^2$ bit:

$$mc^2 = (5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kg}) (3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})^2 = 4.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{2}^2 = 4.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ J}$$

Putting it all together:

$$KE = (\gamma - 1) mc^2 = (1.51 - 1) (4.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ J}) = 2.30 \times 10^{13} \text{ J} = 23.0 \text{TJ}$$

Second part: what rest mass is equivalent to this amount of kinetic energy? We just need to use the mass-energy equivalence formula:

$$E_R = mc^2 = KE$$

$$\Rightarrow m = \frac{KE}{c^2} = \frac{(\gamma - 1) mc^2}{c^2} = (\gamma - 1) m = 0.51m$$

$$= 2.56 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kg}$$

In other words, it takes fully half the mass of the bullet itself, completely converted to pure energy, to fire one round. Using more conventional propellants, that would mean 5760 kg (~6 tons) of TNT per round.

2. We’ll run it both forwards and backwards:

$$KE = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 = \frac{mv \cdot v}{2} = \frac{mv}{2} m = \frac{mv \cdot mv}{2m} = \frac{p \cdot p}{2m} = \frac{p^2}{2m}$$

Or, since you know the answer you want ...

$$\frac{p^2}{2m} = \frac{(mv)^2}{2m} = \frac{m^2 v^2}{2m} = \frac{mv^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} mv^2$$

3. $4.08 \text{ MeV}$ for the muon, $29.6 \text{ MeV}$ for the antineutrino. This one is a bit lengthier than most of the others! Before the collision, we have only the pion, and since it is at
rest, it has zero momentum and zero kinetic energy. After it decays, we have a muon and an antineutrino created and speed off in opposite directions (to conserve momentum). Both total energy - including rest energy - and momentum must be conserved before and after the collision.

First, conservation of momentum. Before the decay, since the pion is at rest, we have zero momentum. Therefore, afterward, the muon and antineutrino must have equal and opposite momenta. This means we can essentially treat this as a one-dimensional problem, and not bother with vectors. A consolation prize of sorts.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{initial momentum} & = \text{final momentum} \\
p_\pi & = p_\mu + p_\nu \\
0 & = p_\mu + p_\nu \\
\Rightarrow p_\nu & = -p_\mu = -\gamma_\mu m_\mu v_\mu
\end{align*}
\]

For the last step, we made use of the fact that relativistic momentum is \( p = \gamma m v \). Now we can also write down conservation of energy. Before the decay, we have only the rest energy of the pion. Afterward, we have the energy of both the muon and antineutrino. The muon has both kinetic energy and rest energy, and we can write its total kinetic energy in terms of \( \gamma \) and its rest mass, \( E = \gamma mc^2 \). The antineutrino has negligible mass, and therefore no kinetic energy, but we can still assign it a total energy based on its momentum, \( E = pc \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{initial energy} & = \text{final energy} \\
E_\pi & = E_\mu + E_\nu \\
m_\pi c^2 & = \gamma_\mu m_\mu c^2 + p_\nu c \\
m_\pi & = \gamma_\mu m_\mu + \frac{p_\nu}{c}
\end{align*}
\]

Now we can combine these two conservation results and try to solve for the velocity of the muon:

\[
\begin{align*}
m_\pi & = \gamma_\mu m_\mu + \frac{p_\nu}{c} \\
m_\pi & = \gamma_\mu m_\mu - \gamma_\mu m_\mu \frac{v_\mu}{c} \\
m_\pi & = m_\mu \left( \gamma - \gamma \frac{v_\mu}{c} \right) \\
m_\pi & = \gamma \left[ 1 - \frac{v_\mu}{c} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

We will need to massage this quite a bit more to solve for \( v_\mu \) ...
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Now we’re getting somewhere. Take what we have left, and solve it for \( v_\mu \) ... we will leave that as an exercise to the reader, and quote only the result, using the given masses of the pion and muon:

\[
\frac{\gamma}{\mu} = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{m_\pi}{m_\mu}\right)^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_\mu^2}{c^2}}}
\]

From here, we are home free. We can calculate \( \gamma_\mu \) and the muon’s kinetic energy first. It is convenient to remember that the electron mass is 511 keV/c^2.

\[
\gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_\mu^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (0.27c)^2}} \approx 1.0386
\]

\[
\text{KE}_\mu = (\gamma_\mu - 1) m_\mu c^2 = (1.0386 - 1) (207 m_{e^-}) c^2 
\]

\[
= 0.0386 (207 \times 511 \text{keV}/c^2) c^2 \approx 4.08 \times 10^6 \text{eV} = 4.08 \text{MeV}
\]

Finally, we can calculate the energy of the antineutrino as well:

\[
E_\nu = p_\nu c = -p_\mu c
\]

\[
= -\gamma_\mu m_\mu v_\mu 
\]

\[
\approx 2.96 \times 10^7 \text{eV} = 29.6 \text{eV}
\]

4. 1.96 \times 10^{13} \text{m}. The 15 h set on the alarm clock in the spaceship is the proper time interval, \( \Delta t_p \). Since the space ship is moving away from the earth at \( v = 0.77c \), an earthbound observer observes a longer dilated time interval, \( \Delta t' \). Based on this longer time interval, the earthbound observer will measure that the space ship has covered a distance of \( v \Delta t' \). So, first: we need to calculate \( \gamma \), then the dilated time interval, then finally the distance measured by the earthbound observer.
\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{0.77c}{c}\right)^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 0.77^2}} = 1.57 \]

\[ \Delta t' = \gamma \Delta t_p \]
\[ = 1.57 \cdot 15 \text{ h} = 1.57 \cdot 5.4 \times 10^4 \text{ s} \approx 8.48 \times 10^4 \text{ s} \]

\[ d' = v \Delta t' \]
\[ = 0.77c \cdot 8.48 \times 10^4 \text{ s} = 0.77 \cdot 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \cdot 8.48 \times 10^4 \text{ s} \]
\[ d' \approx 1.96 \times 10^{13} \text{ m} \]

5. 1.31 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}, 38.4 \text{ m}, 7.64 \text{ m} The \( \pi \) meson’s lifetime in its own frame is the proper time interval, \( \Delta t_p = 2.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s} \). An earthbound observer measures a longer dilated time interval \( \Delta t' \). To calculate it, we need only calculate \( \gamma \) for the velocity given, \( v_{\pi} = 0.98c \).

\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{0.98c}{c}\right)^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 0.98^2}} = 5.03 \]

\[ \Delta t' = \gamma \Delta t_p \]
\[ = 5.03 \cdot (2.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}) \]
\[ \approx 1.31 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s} \]

The distance the \( \pi \) meson travels in the earthbound observer’s reference frame, \( d' \) is the \( \pi \) meson’s velocity multiplied by the time interval measured by the earthbound observer. We don’t need to worry about whether the velocity is measured in the \( \pi \) meson’s or the observer’s frame - since it is a relative velocity, it is the same either way.

\[ d' = \gamma v_{\pi} \Delta t_p = v_{\pi} \Delta t' = (0.98c) \cdot (1.31 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}) = (0.98 \cdot 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}) \cdot (1.31 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}) \approx 38.4 \text{ m} \]

Without time dilation, the distance traveled would just be the proper lifetime multiplied by the meson’s velocity:

\[ d = v_{\pi} \Delta t_p = (0.98c) \cdot (2.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}) = (0.98 \cdot 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}) \cdot (2.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}) \approx 7.64 \text{ m} \]

6. No. There is no relative speed between you and your cabin, since you are in the same reference frame. You and your bed will remain at the same lengths relative to each other.

7. 8.42 s. The time interval in the probe’s reference frame is the proper one \( \Delta t_p \) ... which makes sense, since the antenna is part of the probe itself! The probe and antenna are moving relative to the earth, and therefore the earthbound observer measures a longer, dilated time interval \( \Delta t' \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{probe} & = \Delta t_p \\
\text{earth} & = \Delta t' \\
\Delta t' & = \gamma \Delta t_p 
\end{align*}
\]

As usual, we first need to calculate \( \gamma \). No problem, given the probe’s velocity of 0.88c relative to earth:
2.9 Solutions to Problems

\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (0.88c)^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 0.88^2}} = 2.11 \]

The proper time interval for one revolution \( \Delta t_p \) in the probe’s reference frame is 4.0 s, so we can readily calculate the time interval observed by the earthbound observer:

\[ \Delta t' = \gamma \Delta t_p = 2.11 \cdot (4.0 \text{ s}) = 8.42 \text{ s} \]

8. **24 m; 18 m; 0.661c.** Once again: if you are observing something in your own reference frame, there is no length contraction or time dilation. You always observe your own ship to be the same length. If your friend’s ship is 24 m long, and yours is identical, you will measure it to be 24 m.

On the other hand, you are moving relative to his ship, so you would observe his ship to be length contracted, and measure a shorter length. Your friend, on the other hand, will observe *exactly the same thing* - he will see your ship contracted, by precisely the same amount. Your observation of his ship has to be the same as his observation of his ship - since you are only the two observers, and you both have the same relative velocity, you must observe the same length contraction. If he sees your ship as 18 m long, then you would also see his (identical) ship as 18 m long.

Given the relationship between the contracted and proper length, we can find the relative velocity easily. Your measurement of your own ship is the proper length \( L_p \), while your measurement of your friend’s ship is the contracted length \( L' \):

\[
\begin{align*}
L_p &= \gamma L' \\
\implies \gamma &= \frac{L_p}{L'} = \frac{24}{18} = \frac{4}{3} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} &= \frac{4}{3} \\
1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} &= \frac{3^2}{4^2} = \frac{9}{16} \\
\frac{v^2}{c^2} &= 1 - \frac{9}{16} = \frac{7}{16} \\
v &= \sqrt{\frac{7}{16} c} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{7}{4}} c \approx 0.661c
\end{align*}
\]

9. **0.541c.** This is just a problem of relativistically adding velocities, if we can keep them all straight. Let the unprimed system denote velocities measured relative to the earth, and the primed system those measured relative to the enterprise. We have, then:

\[
\begin{align*}
v_e &= 0.900c &= \text{Enterprise relative to earth} \\
v_k &= 0.700c &= \text{Klingon ship relative to earth} \\
v'_k &= ? &= \text{Klingon ship, relative to Enterprise}
\end{align*}
\]
Since the Enterprise is moving faster relative to the earth than the Klingon ship, that means that from the Enterprise’s point of view, the Klingons are actually moving backwards toward them. If we plug what we know into the velocity addition formula ...

\[ v_k = \frac{v_e + v_k'}{1 + \frac{v_e v_k'}{c^2}} \]

It takes a bit of algebra, but we can readily solve this for \( v_k' \):

\[ v_k' = \frac{v_e - v_k}{1 - \frac{v_e v_k}{c^2}} \]

Not so surprisingly, what we have just done is to re-write the ‘velocity addition formula’ as a ‘velocity subtraction formula.’ It is just rearranging same formula (you can verify that both equations above are equivalent ...), but the second form is far more convenient for our present purposes.

We can find the velocity of the Klingon ship relative to the enterprise in terms of both ships’ velocities relative to the earth. In the limit that both velocities are much smaller than \( c \), we see that \( v_k' \approx v_e - v_k = 0.200c \), just as we would expect from normal Newtonian physics. Since in this case, neither velocity is negligible compared to \( c \), the actual \( v_k' \) will be significantly larger. At this point, we can just plug in the numbers we have and see:

\[ v_k' = \frac{v_e - v_k}{1 - \frac{v_e v_k}{c^2}} = \frac{0.900c - 0.700c}{1 - (0.900c)(0.700c)} = \frac{0.200c}{1 - (0.900)(0.700)} = \frac{0.200c}{0.37} \]

\[ v_k' \approx 0.541c \]

So, as far as the crew of the Enterprise is concerned, they are overtaking the Klingon ship at a rate of 0.541c.

10. 0.99995c. Let the observer be in frame \( O' \). In the reference frame of one of the particles, labeled \( O \), the observer is traveling at \( v = 0.99c \), and the second particle is traveling at \( v_2' = 0.99c \) relative to the observer. We can then find the velocity of the second particle relative to the first, \( v_2 \), through velocity addition:

\[ v_2 = \frac{v + v_2'}{1 + \frac{v v_2'}{c^2}} \]

\[ = \frac{0.99c + 0.99c}{1 + (0.99c)(0.99c)} \]

\[ = \frac{1.98c}{1 + 0.9801} \approx 0.99995c \]

This is an example of a problem where you need to make sure to use enough significant digits!
Part II

Electricity and Magnetism
Electric Forces and Fields

ELECTRICITY has become ubiquitous in modern life, so much that we rarely think about life without it. Though ancient Greeks first began experimenting with electricity around 700 B.C., it was not until the 18th and 19th centuries that we began to clearly understand electricity and how to harness it.

In this chapter, we will discuss electric charges and the electric force, quantified through Coulomb’s law, and introduce the electric field associated with charges. With these concepts, we will be able to explain many of the myriad electrostatic phenomena around us.

3.1 Properties of Electric Charges

Probably you have noticed that after running a plastic comb through your hair, the comb will attract bits of paper. Often this attraction is strong enough to suspend the paper from the comb, completely counteracting the force of gravity. Another simple experiment is to rub an inflated balloon against your shirt or hair, with the result that the balloon will then stick to the wall or ceiling.

Both of these situations arise because the materials involved have become electrically charged. The same things happens when you get “shocked” after dragging your feet on the carpet – you have built up electric charge on your body. An object that is electrically charged has built up an imbalance of electric charge. What is electric charge though? Experiments have demonstrated a few basic facts about electric charges:

Some basic properties of charges:

1. There are two types of electric charge, positive and negative.
2. Like charges repel one another, unlike charges attract one another.
3. Charge comes in discrete units.
4. Protons are the positive charges, electrons are the negative charges.
5. Electrically neutral objects have an equal number of positive and negative charges.
6. Electrically neutral objects do not experience an electric force in the presence of electric charges.

Normal objects usually contain equal amounts of positive and negative charges –
they are electrically neutral. Electric forces arise only when there is an imbalance in electric charge, and objects carry a net positive or negative charge. On the atomic scale, the carriers of positive charge are the protons. Along with neutrons, which have no electric charge, they comprise the nucleus of an atom (which is about $10^{-15}$ m across). Electrons are the carriers of negative charge. In a gram of normal matter, there are about $10^{23}$ protons and an equal number of electrons, so the net charge is zero.

**Table 3.1: Properties of electrons, protons, and neutrons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>Charge [C]</th>
<th>$[e]$</th>
<th>Mass [kg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>electron ($e^-$)</td>
<td>$-1.60 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$9.11 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proton ($p^+$)</td>
<td>$+1.60 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
<td>$+1$</td>
<td>$1.67 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neutron ($n^0$)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1.67 \times 10^{-27}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electrons are far lighter than protons, and are more easily accelerated by forces. In addition, they occupy the outer regions of atoms, and are more easily gained or lost. Objects that become charged to so by gaining or losing electrons, not protons. Table 3.1 gives some properties of protons, electrons, and neutrons.

Charge can be transferred from one material to another. Many chemical reactions are, in essence, charge transfer from one species to another (see page 90 for some examples). Rubbing two materials together facilitates this process by increasing the area of contact between the materials – e.g., rubbing a balloon on your hair. Since it is a gain or loss of electrons that give a net charge, this means that when objects become charged, negative charge is transferred from one object to another.

**Units of charge:**

The SI unit of charge is the Coulomb, [C]. One unit of charge is $e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ [C].

Charge is never created or destroyed, only transferred from one object to another. Objects become charged by gaining or losing electrons, transferring them to other objects. Charge is also quantized, meaning it only comes in multiples of the fundamental unit of charge $e$.

**Electrons are transferred, protons stay put!**

1. electrons are light, and on the “outside” of the atom.
2. they are more easily moved by electric forces
3. they are more easily removed and transferred to other atoms/objects

An object can have a charge of $\pm e, \pm 2e, \pm 3e$, etc, but not $+0.27e$ or $-0.71e$. Electrons have a negative charge of one unit ($-e$), and protons have a positive charge of one unit ($+e$). The SI unit of charge is the coulomb [C], and $e$ has the value $1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ C. Since $e$ is so tiny when measured in Coulombs, and since it is the basic fundamental unit of charge, we will sometimes simply measure a small amount of charge in “$e$’s” – how many individual unit charges are present.

$^{1}$Quarks are an exception we will cover at the end of the semester.
3.2 Insulators and Conductors

How do materials respond to becoming charged, and how do we charge up a material in the first place? What do we mean by “becoming charged” anyway? This will be more clear shortly, but for now, we will presume that “charging” simply means creating an imbalance of electric charges in a material. A net negative charge can be achieved by adding excess electrons to a material, and a net positive charge can be created by taking away some electrons from a material.

For our purposes, materials respond to becoming charged in one of two ways: the excess charge can move about freely and evenly distribute themselves, or the excess charge can stay localized to the region where it was created. Conductors and insulators are the two broad classes of materials, respectively, which fit these criteria - in conductors, excess charges move freely in response to an electric force. All other materials are insulators, and the charges do not move!

In fact, there is nothing particularly special about the excess charge. The excess charge will move in the material in the same way any other charges do - we can’t tell the charges apart. In other words, conductors are materials in general where charges move freely, and insulators are materials in general where they do not. There does not need to be excess charge for this to be true, charges inside conductors are still in motion even if, over all, they cancel each other out.

**Conductors:**
1. *e.g.*, metals – silver, gold, aluminum, steel, *etc.*
2. charges are mobile, and move in response to an electric force
3. large number of charges
4. charge distributes evenly over surface

**Insulators:**
1. *e.g.*, glass, most ceramics, rubbers, and plastics
2. charges are immobile
3. charge deposited on insulators stays localized
Semiconductors:
1. \textit{e.g.}, silicon, gallium arsenide, germanium
2. in between conductors and insulators
3. charges are highly mobile ...
4. ... but the number of charges is small, depends \textit{e.g.}, on temperature and purity
5. conducting properties can be widely varied

Copper and aluminum are typical conductors. \textbf{When conductors are charged in some small region, the charge readily distributes itself over the entire surface of the material.} Thus, on a conductor charge is always equally distributed over its entire surface. Charge flows through a conductor readily, and if given a chance, out of it. This is an electric current, as we will see shortly. Glass and rubber are typical insulators. \textbf{When insulators are charged (\textit{e.g.}, by rubbing), only the rubbed areas are charged.} There is no tendency for the charge to flow to other regions of the material - charge deposited on insulators will stay localized to a small region.

3.2.1 Charging by Conduction

\textbf{Conduction is charging through physical contact}, which moves $e^-$ from one object to another. One example is charging a balloon by rubbing it on your hair. After doing this, the balloon easily sticks to a wall or picks up little bits of paper, and your hair stands a bit on end. What you have really done is transferred charges from the balloon to your hair, or \textit{vice versa}. Each of your individual hairs becomes charged the same way (either all positive or all negative, depending on what you rubbed on your hair), and the individual strands repel each other. Their repulsion makes them want to maximize the distance between them, which is achieved by standing on end, radiating outward.

As another example, consider rubbing an insulating rod (\textit{e.g.}, rubber, hard plastic glass) against a piece of silk. The act of rubbing these two insulating materials will physically force some charges to move from one object to the other. When charges are transferred to the insulating rod, they do not move – regions of localized charge are created in the rubbed regions. \textbf{No charge has been created or destroyed, we simply moved some charges from one place to another - one object ends up with a net positive charge, the other with a net negative charge, equal in magnitude.} One can verify that both objects are charged by trying to pick up bits of paper with them. This is also true when you rub a balloon on your hair - it is clear immediately that \textit{both} the balloon and your hair have become charged! It couldn’t be any other way, or we would have had to create charges out of thin air.

Figure 3.2 and its accompanying box illustrates the process of charging a metallic object by conduction. In this example, you take a rubber rod you have already charged (say, with a piece of silk or your hair), and use that to charge a third object.
### 3.2.1.1 Grounding

Sounds simple enough. Why can’t we just take a piece of Copper pipe and rub it with a cloth? You can, if you are careful ... charges flow evenly through a conductor, and if possible, out of the conductor entirely. Only isolated conductors can be charged, electrically contacted conductors cannot. By 'electrically connected,' we mean the conductor we are trying to charge cannot have any sort of conducting path to the earth. The Earth can be considered an (essentially) infinite reservoir for electrons, either sourcing or sinking as many charges as we need. Since charges distribute themselves evenly over a conducting surface, if there were a path to the earth, the mobile charges would follow it to the earth, and keep doing so until none were left on the conductor.

Given a conducting path to the earth, charges from the conductor **will always keep flowing.** If charges can find a way to Earth, they will get there (*e.g.*, through pipes, wires, or you!). Another phrase for when you are the connection to Earth is “ground fault.” This is when you accidentally make *yourself* the connection between a charged (or current-carrying) wire and the Earth ... with potentially disastrous results. A so-called “ground fault interrupter” (GFI) senses when this
happens, and very quickly breaks the connection.[iii]

As it turns out, YOU are a sufficient conductor to let the charges flow away! Any charges transferred to the Copper rod will flow straight through it, and through you down to the ground. You can make it work, if you wear some insulated rubber or plasticized gloves. The same trick works on a rubber or plastic rod without gloves, because charges deposited on the insulating rods do not flow through the rod and out of it.

The “ground connection” or “ground point” is the place in an electric circuit which is purposely connected to the earth, either for safety reasons or just to provide a reference point. The ground point (or just “ground”) in a circuit or electrical diagram is usually shown like this:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Circuit diagram symbol for a ground point:} \\
\end{array}
\]

### 3.2.2 Charging by Induction

Can we charge without contacting it all? Yes! This is induction charging. Now we explicitly need a ground point or reference point for this to work though. An object connected to a conducting wire or pipe buried in the Earth is said to be grounded, the Earth itself is the ground point. As mentioned above, the Earth can be considered an infinite reservoir for electrons, sourcing or sinking an infinite number of charges. Using this idea, we can understand a non-contact charging process known as induction.

Figure 3.3 illustrates the process of charging a metallic object by induction. Charging an object by induction requires no contact with the object inducing the charge. First, we take an isolated conducting (metal) sphere. From our discussion above, it is crucial that it not be contacted to the ground in any way. Placing it on an insulating stand will do nicely. Next, we bring a negatively charged rod near, but not touching, the sphere. We can prepare a negatively charged glass rod by rubbing it with silk (charging the glass by conduction).

**Charging by induction: follow Figure 3.3**

1. Take a neutral conducting sphere
2. Bring a negatively charged rod near (but not touching) the sphere.
3. This creates a charge imbalance on the sphere, due to repulsion from the charged rod.
4. Ground the opposite side of the sphere – the charge imbalance forces some $e^{-}$ to flow to ground!
5. Disconnect the ground wire – this leaves a net + charge on sphere!
6. Remove the charged rod, the net charge has to stay on sphere, and it will distribute itself evenly over the surface.

---

[iii]Electrical outlets with GFI should be present in your house, usually in bathrooms and kitchens. They typically have little buttons labeled ‘test’ and ‘reset’ or something like that."
3.2 Insulators and Conductors

When the charged rod is near the conducting sphere, the negative charges on the rod will repel the free negative charges (electrons) on the sphere, with the result that the half of the sphere nearest the rod will have a net negative charge (Fig. 3.3b). Now, if we take a conducting wire and connect the far end of the sphere to the ground (Fig. 3.3c), the excess negative charge on that side, repelled by the rod, will want to flow down the wire into the earth, effectively draining away a quantity of negative charge from the sphere. Once we have done that, the sphere now has a net positive charge.

Removing the ground connection, Fig. 3.3d, will instantaneously leave the side of the sphere near the rod positively charged, and the far side (nearly) uncharged, since we just drained away the negative charges. After a very short time, the conducting sphere reaches equilibrium, and we must have a uniform distribution of charge on the surface of the conductor. Thus, the excess positive charge has to be evenly distributed on the surface of the sphere. We are left with a charged conducting sphere!

Figure 3.3: Charging a metallic object by induction. a) A neutral metallic sphere with equal numbers of positive and negative charges. b) The charge on a neutral metal sphere is redistributed when a charged rod is brought near it. c) When the sphere is then grounded, some of the negative charges (electrons) leave it through the ground wire. d) When the ground connection is removed, excess positive charge is left on the sphere. e) When the charged rod is removed, the excess positive charge redistributes itself until the sphere’s surface is uniformly charged.

A process similar to charging by induction in conductors takes place in insulators (such as neutral atoms or molecules in particular). The presence of a charged object can result in more positive charge on one side of an insulating body than the other, by realignment of the charges within the individual molecules. This process is known as polarization, and we will cover it in more depth in the following chapter.

Our discussion of charging allows us to now better appreciate the distinction between conductors and insulators. The difference in the degree of conductivity between conductors and insulators is staggeringly enormous, a factor of 10^{20}. For instance, a charged Copper sphere connected to the ground looses its charge in a millionth of a second, while an otherwise identical glass sphere can hold its charge for years.
3.3 Coulomb’s Law

When you charge two objects, such as a balloon and your hair, you invariably end up observing an attraction or repulsion between the charged objects. What is the character of this force? How does it depend on how much the objects have been charged, how far away they are, or anything else? If you continue to experiment with charged objects, you will find that the force due to electrically charged objects has the following properties:

An electric force has the following properties:
1. It is directed along a line joining the two particles.
2. It is inversely proportional to the square of the distance \( r_{12} \) separating them.
3. It is proportional to the product of the magnitudes of the charges, \( |q_1| \) and \( |q_2| \), of the two particles.
4. It is attractive if the charges are of opposite sign, and repulsive if the charges have the same sign.

These properties led Coulomb (Fig. 3.1) to propose a neat mathematical form for the electric force between two charges:

\[
\vec{F} = k_e \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}^2} \hat{r}_{12} \tag{3.1}
\]

where \( k_e \) is the “Coulomb constant,” and \( \hat{r}_{12} \) is a unit vector pointing along a line connecting the two charges.

Equation 3.1 is known as “Coulomb’s law”. What Coulomb’s law states is that the force between two charged objects \( \vec{F} \), depends only on how big the charges are \( (q_1 \text{ and } q_2) \), and how far apart they are \( (r_{12}) \). Keep in mind that force is a vector, and the dimensionless unit vector \( \hat{r}_{12} \) reminds us that the electric force is directed along a line connecting the two charges \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \).

Figure 3.4 schematically shows the electric force between two like and two unlike charges. The distance between the charges \( r_{12} \) is given in the SI unit of meters, \([\text{m}]\), and the charges \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are measured in the SI unit of charge, the Coulomb, \([\text{C}]\). The charges \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) can be either positive or negative, which makes the resulting force \( \vec{F} \) repulsive when both charges have the same sign, and attractive when they are opposite – just like we expect. The Coulomb constant \( k_e \) gives the relative strength of the electric force, just as \( G \) gives the relative strength of the gravitational force, and has the SI value and units:

---

iii See Sect. 1 for a summary of units and notation conventions
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\[ k_e = 8.9875 \times 10^9 \, \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2 \]  (3.2)

In most calculations, \( k_e \) can be safely rounded to \( \approx 9 \times 10^9 \), which makes it a bit easier to remember. Also, \( k_e \) is much, much larger than \( G \) by about twenty orders of magnitude, meaning that if we treat Coulombs on equal footing with kilograms for a minute, the electric force is far, far stronger than the gravitational force. A pair of 1 Coulomb charges interacting via the electric force is the same as two masses of \( 10^{10} \) kilograms interacting via the gravitational force. Equivalently, one might say gravity is just exceptionally weak, so far as fundamental forces go.

**Question:** Show that the units of Coulomb’s constant above yield a force in Newtons when applied to Equation 3.1

Note that no matter what the two charges are, Newton’s third law still holds, viz., \( \vec{F}_{21} = -\vec{F}_{12} \). The force on charge 1 due to charge 2 is equal and opposite the force on charge 2 due to charge 1, always. Even if one charge is a million times larger than the other, this must still be true. Mathematically, this is easy to see from Eq. 3.1 – the force between two charges depends on the product of the two charge values \( q_1q_2 \), which means it is totally symmetric if we swap 1 for 2 or vice versa.

![Figure 3.4: Electrical force between point charges. (a) Two particles \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) which both have positive charges. The force is repulsive, as it would be for two negative charges, and directed along the dashed line connecting the two charges. The unit vector \( \hat{r}_{12} \) is indicated. (b) Two particles \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) with charges of opposite sign, separated by a distance \( r_{12} \). The force is now attractive, as we expect.](image)

When a number of separate charges act on a single charge, each exerts its own electric force. These electric forces can all be computed separately, one at a time, and then added as vectors. This is the powerful superposition principle, the same one you used with gravitation. This makes calculating the net force from many charges a lot simpler than you might think. In fact, gravitation and electrostatic forces have a number of similarities, with a few crucial differences, which we list below.

\[ iv \quad G = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{m}^3/\text{kg} \cdot \text{s}^2 \]

\[ v \quad \text{When object A exerts a force on object B, B simultaneously exerts a force on A with the same magnitude, in the opposite direction.} \]
The electric force is similar to the gravitational force:
1. Both act at a distance without direct contact
2. Both act in a vacuum, without a medium, and propagate at a speed $c$
3. Both are inversely proportional to the distance squared, with the force directed along a line connecting the two bodies
4. The mathematical form is the same, if one interchanges $k_e$ and $G$.
5. Both gravitational masses and electric charges obey the superposition principle
6. Both are conservative forces\[vi\]

The electric force is different from the gravitational force:
1. Electric forces can be attractive or repulsive. Gravity is only attractive.
2. Gravitational forces are independent of the medium, while electric forces depend on the intervening medium
3. The electric force between charged elementary particles is far stronger than the gravitational force between the same particles.

One lingering question is how to relate the microscopic charge carriers, the electrons, to the macroscopic behavior of charged objects. When we charge a glass rod and pick up bits of paper, how many charges are we dealing with? Referring to Table 3.1, the charge on the proton ($p^+$) has a magnitude of $e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ C, while an electron ($e^-$) has a charge of $-e = -1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ C\[vii\] This means it takes $1/e \approx 6.3 \times 10^{18}$ protons or electrons to make up a total charge of $\pm 1$ C – so 1 C is a seriously large amount of charge. Typical net charges in electrostatic situations (i.e., static electricity) are of the order of 1 $\mu$C\[viii\] which is still $10^{12}$ or so electrons – or about one electron for every dollar of our national debt, if that helps bring the magnitude in perspective!

**Question:** If two charges of $+1\mu$C are separated by 1 cm ($= 10^{-2}$ m), what is the force between them?

**Answer:** About 90 N, or roughly 20 lbs!

Technically, Coulomb’s law applies in this particular mathematical form only for point charges, or spherical charge distributions (in which case $r_{12}$ is the distance between the centers of the charge distributions, see Sect. [3.8.3]). Coulomb’s law covers electrostatic forces, which are what we call forces between unmoving (stationary) charges. Really, though we only need to take care when we have charges moving at very high velocities, or when charges accelerate. Accelerating charges produce electromagnetic radiation – light – which we will cover in Chapter 9

\[vi\] A conservative force is one which does no net work on a particle that travels along any closed path in an isolated system. For any path, not just a closed one, the work done by a conservative force depends only on the initial and final positions, not on the path taken. Gravity is conservative, friction is not, for example.

\[vii\] The symbol $e$ will frequently be used to represent the charge of a proton or electron.

\[viii\] 1 $\mu$C = $10^{-6}$ C, see Table 1.7 in Appendix 1

---
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3.4 The Electric Field

Both the gravitational force and the electrostatic force are capable of acting through space, without any physical contact or intervening medium (Sects. 2.2.1, 9.5). That is, electric and gravitational forces can act across an empty vacuum, with no matter to carry them.

These types of forces are known as field forces. Corresponding to the electrostatic force, an electric field is said to exist in the region of space surrounding a charged object. The electric field exerts an electric force on any other charged object within the field.

The field concept partially eliminates the conundrum of “force at a distance”, since the force on a charged object is now said to be caused by the electric field at that point in space.

The electric field $\vec{E}$ produced by a charge $q$ at the location of a small “test” charge $q_0$ is defined as the electric force $\vec{F}$ exerted by $q$ on $q_0$, divided by the test charge $q_0$.

$$\vec{E} = \frac{\vec{F}}{q_0} \quad \text{or,} \quad \vec{F} = q_0\vec{E}$$

(3.3)

The SI unit for electric field is Newtons per Coulomb [N/C]. The direction of $\vec{E}$ is the direction of the force that acts on a positive test charge $q_0$ placed in the field.

The test charge $q_0$ is hypothetical – what would the force be on a charge $q_0$ if we did place it at some distance $r$ away? We say that an electric field exists at a point if a test charge at that point would be subject to an electric force there.

Using equations 3.1 and 3.3, we can write the magnitude of the electric field due to a charge $q$ as:

**Magnitude of the electric field** at a distance $r$ from a point charge $q$:

$$|\vec{E}| = k_e \frac{|q|}{r^2}$$

(3.4)

The direction of the electric field is the same as the direction of the electric force, since the two are related by a scalar.

**The electric field produced by a charge depends only on the magnitude of that charge which sets up the field, and how far away from that charge you are. It does not depend on the presence of a hypothetical test charge.**

The principle of superposition also holds for electric fields, just as it did for the electric force. In order to calculate the electric field from a group of charges, one may calculate the field from each charge separately and then add them together.

---

\textsuperscript{18}We will find out later that light carries electric forces, in fact, and there is no need to invoke “action at a distance.”

\textsuperscript{x}When it is unambiguous, we will often write the magnitude of a vector, such as the electric field $|\vec{E}|$, as simply $E$ for convenience. Similarly, $|\vec{B}|$ becomes $B$, and $\vec{x}$ becomes $x$. 
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charge individually, and add (as vectors) the individual fields. Symmetry is also very important. For example, if a equal and opposite charges are placed on the $x$ axis at $x=a$ and $x=-a$, the field at the origin is zero – the fields from the positive and negative charges cancel. On page 90 you can find basic instructions on how to approach and solve electric field problems.

Table 3.2: Approximate electric field values, in [N/C]

| Source                          | $|\vec{E}|$ | Source                          | $|\vec{E}|$ |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Fluorescent lighting tube      | 10        | Atmosphere (fair weather)      | $10^2$    |
| Balloon rubbed on hair         | $10^3$    | Atmosphere (under thundercloud)| $10^4$    |
| Photocopier                    | $10^5$    | Spark in air                   | $10^6$    |
| Across a transistor gate dielectric | $10^9$ | Near electron in hydrogen atom | $10^{11}$ |

3.4.1 Electric Field Lines

A convenient way to visualize the electric field is to draw lines pointing in the direction of the electric field vector at any point – Electric field lines. Electric field lines have three key properties:

**Key properties of electric field lines:**

1. The electric field vector $\vec{E}$ is tangent to the electric field line at any point.
2. The density of the lines (number per unit area) is proportional to the strength of the electric field.
3. Arrows on the lines point in the direction that a hypothetical positive test charge would move. Arrows are not always used.

So $\vec{E}$ is large when the lines are close together, and small when they are far apart. Below are some example, to give you an idea.

![Field lines for point charges](image)

**Figure 3.5:** The electric field lines for point charges. (a) For a positive point charge, the lines are directed radially outward. (b) For a negative point charge, the lines are directed radially inward. Note that the figures show only those field lines that lie in the plane of the page. (c) The dark areas are small pieces of thread suspended in oil, which align with the electric field produced by a small charged conductor at the center.

These 2-D drawings represent field lines for individual point charges. They only contain field lines in the plane of the paper – there are equivalent field lines pointing in all directions. A positive “test charge” placed in the field of the positive charge Fig. 3.5a field would be repelled, hence the
lines point outward. On the other hand, for the negative charge in Fig. 3.5b, a positive test charge is attracted and the arrows point in. Note that the lines get more dense as they get closer to the charge, indicating that the field strength is increasing – just what we expect from Equation 3.4.

### Rules for drawing field lines:

1. The lines for a group of point charges must **start on positive charges and end on negative charges**. If there is excess charge, some lines will begin or end infinitely far away (or at least off of your page).
2. The number of lines drawn leaving a positive charge or ending on a negative charge is proportional to the magnitude of the charge.
3. Field lines cannot cross each other.

#### 3.4.2 What happens when we have two charges together?

##### 3.4.2.1 Two Opposite Charges

Figure 3.6 shows nicely symmetric field lines for two charges of equal magnitude and opposite sign. Here we have omitted the arrows for simplicity, by now you should know how to add them in. This configuration is also known as an **electric dipole**. The number of lines beginning at the positive charge must equal the number of lines ending at the negative charge. Close to each charge, the lines are nearly radial, and the high density of lines between the charges indicates a large electric field in this region. Finally, note that the lines are symmetric about a line connecting the two charges, and to a line perpendicular to that one halfway between the charges.

![Figure 3.6: left Field lines for two equal and opposite charges, an “electric dipole.” The number of lines leaving the positive charge equals the number terminating at the negative charge. right Field lines for two positive charges of equal magnitude. Can you rank the relative field strengths at points A, B, and C?](image)

##### 3.4.2.2 Two like charges

Figure 3.6 also shows the field lines for two positive charges. Again the lines are nearly radial near the charges. The same number of lines leave each charge, since they are of the same magnitude.

Far away from either charge, the field looks nearly the same as it would for a single charge twice as big as either lone charge. In between the charges, the field lines “bulge,” representing the
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3.5 Conductors in Electrostatic Equilibrium

A good electric conductor like copper, even when electrically neutral, contains electrons which aren’t bound to any particular atom, and are free to move about. This is one reason why charge is distributed evenly over the surface of a conductor – the mobile electrons.

Though the individual “free” electrons in the conductor are constantly in motion, in an isolated conductor there is no net motion of charge. The random motions of all free electrons cancel out over all. When no net motion of charge occurs, this is called electrostatic equilibrium. An isolated conductor is one that is insulated from the ground, and has the following properties:

Properties of isolated conductors:
1. The electric field is zero everywhere inside the conductor.
2. Any excess charge on an isolated conductor must be entirely on its surface.
3. The electric field just outside a charged conductor is perpendicular its surface.
4. On irregularly shaped conductors, charge accumulates at sharp points, where the radius of curvature is smallest.

The first property is most easily understood by thinking about what would happen if it were not true, reductio ad absurdum. If there were fields inside a conductor, the free charges would move,
3.5 Conductors in Electrostatic Equilibrium

and “bunch up” at the regions of higher and lower field (depending on whether they are positive or negative). This contradicts the very definition of a conductor – charges are supposed to be mobile, and spread out evenly through the conductor. Even if we did create a field inside a conductor, since the charges are mobile they would immediately start to flow to the region where the electric field is, gathering in sufficient number until they cancelled it out. Anyway, if this happened, we would no longer have electrostatic equilibrium in the first place, which is defined by no net motion of charges.

The second property is a result of the $1/r^2$ repulsion of like charges in Equation 3.4. If we had excess charge inside a conductor, the repulsive forces between these excess charges would push them as far apart as possible. Since the charges are mobile in a conductor, this happens readily. Every like charge wants to maximize its distance from every other like charge, so excess charge quickly migrates to the surface.

![Figure 3.8: An arbitrarily shaped conductor carrying a positive charge. When the conductor is in electrostatic equilibrium, all of the charge resides at the surface, $\vec{E}=0$ inside the conductor, and the direction of $\vec{E}$ just outside the conductor is perpendicular to the surface. Note from the spacing of the positive signs that the surface charge density is nonuniform due to the varying degree of curvature along the surface.](image)

This is only true because Coulomb’s law (Equation 3.4) is an inverse square law! If it were some other power law, like $1/r^{2+\delta}$, even for very tiny $\delta$, excess charges would exist inside the conductor, which we could observe. One of many special facts about inverse square laws, which has been used to test Coulomb’s law with fantastic precision.

The third property we also understand by thinking about what would happen if it were not true. If the field was not perpendicular to the conductor’s surface, it would have to have a component parallel to the surface. If that were true, free charges on the surface of the conductor would feel this field, and therefore a force (Eq. 3.3) along the surface. Under this force, they would subsequently flow along the surface, and once again, there is a net flow of charge, so we are by definition not in electrostatic equilibrium.

The fourth property is perhaps easiest to understand geometrically, as a consequence of the third property. The requirement that field lines be perpendicular to the surface forces them to “bunch up” wherever the radius of curvature is small, at “sharp” points, see Fig. 3.8. The presence of a sharp point with a high radius of curvature enhances the electric field in that region, and as a result, the mobile surface charges will instantly flow to this region of high curvature. They will do this until the electric field along the surface is cancelled. The sharper the point, the more charges need to flow into the region to ensure that the parallel component of the surface...
electric field is totally cancelled. This does result in an uneven surface charge density for irregularly shaped conductors, but also an electric field which is uniform and perfectly normal to the surface everywhere.

These rules might be easier to grasp pictorially. Figure 3.9 shows the field lines between oppositely charged conducting plates – an example of a device known as a capacitor, which we will study in Ch. 4. Note that the field in the region between the plates is very uniform, due to the requirement that it be perpendicular to the surface of the conductors. Near the edges of each plate, the field “fringes”, and starts to curve slightly outward. Further from the edges of the plates, the field starts to resemble that of a dipole (Fig. 3.6, turned $90^\circ$). This is no accident – the excess charges on the very edges of the plates do essentially form a dipole, so viewed from far away, the edges of this parallel plate structure look like a long row of dipoles stacked together. Microscopically, this is almost exactly what is happening!

![Figure 3.9: (a) Field lines between two oppositely charged plates, (b) a point charge above a grounded conducting plane, and (c) a point charge near a conducting sphere. Field lines must be perpendicular to the surface of a conductor at every point, and their density increases near “sharp” points. Note also that there are no field lines inside the sphere, as the field inside a conductor must be zero.](image)

Figure 3.9 also shows the field lines due to a point charge suspended above a grounded conducting plate. In this case, we again see that field lines always intersect the conducting surface at right angles. Again, this resembles Fig. 3.6 – this looks like half of the dipole field, as if there were a mirror halfway between the two charges. This is exactly what is happening – since the field lines have to intersect the plate at right angles, the point charge a distance $d$ from the conducting plate behaves in the same way as if there were an equal and opposite charge a distance $2d$ away. Really, a conductor is a mirror for electric field lines! One can use this as a problem-solving trick, known as the “method of images.” This is a bit beyond the scope of the current text, but a neat time-saving trick to be aware of. What this also means qualitatively is that when a charge is present near a conductor, the charge induces an equal and opposite charge spread out on the surface of the conductor. In this case, a charge $q$ above the conducting plate induces an overall charge $-q$ over the whole surface of the conducting plate.

Finally, Fig. 3.9 shows a point charge near a hollow conducting sphere. Note that everywhere, the field is perpendicular to the conducting sphere, and the field is zero inside the conductor. Oddly, this figure looks a bit like what we would expect if the conducting sphere were replaced by another

---

xii Appendix B may provide an interesting read for the mathematically inclined.
charge, opposite in sign but smaller than the existing point charge. Can you see why this might be? As a hint, think about conductors being mirrors for field lines.

**Question:** All four properties are exemplified in Fig. 3.9, can you spot where?

---

### 3.6 Faraday Cages

A “Faraday Cage” is an enclosed region formed by conducting material – essentially a hollow conductor. Since the electric field inside a conductor is zero, *anything* we enclose inside a hollow conductor will be *completely* shielded from any static electric fields. You can see Faraday Cages all around you, if you look carefully - electrical conduits inside the walls are metal boxes, the inside of your cell phone is surrounded by metal foil, and your computer hides inside a metal (or metal-lined) box.

Faraday cages are named for Michael Faraday (Fig. 4.1), who built one in 1836 and explained its operation. Charges in the enclosing conducting shell repel one another, and will always reside on the outside surface of the cage (as discussed above). Any external (static) electrical field will cause the charges on the surface to rearrange until they completely cancel the field’s effects in the cage’s interior. No matter how large the field outside the cage, the field inside is *precisely zero*, so long as there is no charge inside the box. It seems incredible that the charges on the conductor’s surface know just how to arrange themselves to exactly cancel the external field, but this is really what happens.

The most important application of Faraday cages is for this sort of electromagnetic shielding. One example is a shielded coaxial cable (e.g., RCA cables for your stereo, or the coax connecting your cable or satellite box), which has a wire mesh shield surrounding an inner core conductor. The mesh shielding keeps any signal from the core from escaping, and perhaps more importantly, prevents spurious signals from reaching the core.

A more subtle example of a Faraday cage is probably sitting in your kitchen. The door of a microwave oven has a screen built into the glass of the window, with small holes in it. As we will find out in Sect. 9.5.5, this too is a Faraday cage, even though there are holes in the screen. Why does it still work, even though there are holes? How do electric fields relate to microwaves? Before too long, you will know!

---

### 3.7 The van de Graaff Generator

In 1929 Robert J. van de Graaff (1901-1967), a Tuscaloosa native and UA graduate (BS ‘22, MS ‘23), designed and built an electrostatic generator that has been extensively used in nuclear physics.
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research. Dr. van de Graaff can be considered the inventor of the first accelerator providing intense particle beams of precisely controllable energy, and one of the pioneers of particle physics.\textsuperscript{xiv}

The principles of its operation can be understood using the properties of electric fields and charges you have (hopefully) just learned. Figure 3.10 shows the basic construction of Dr. van de Graaff’s device, and Fig. 3.11 shows illustrations from Dr. van de Graaff’s original patent on the “Electrostatic Generator” from 1931. A motor-driven pulley moves a belt past positively-charged metallic needles at position $A$. Negative charges are attracted to the needles from the belt, which leaves the left side of the belt with a net positive charge. The moving belt transfers these positive charges up toward the conducting dome.

![Figure 3.10: A diagram of a van de Graaff generator. Charge is transferred to the dome by means of a rotating belt. The charge is deposited on the belt at point $A$ and transferred to the dome at point $B$.](image)

The positive charges attract electrons on to the belt as it moves past a second set of needles at point $B$, which increases the excess positive charge on the dome. Because the electric field inside the conducting metal dome is negligible (it would be precisely zero if there were not holes in the dome), the positive charge on it can be easily increased – near zero electric field means near zero repulsive force to add more charge. The result is that extremely large amounts of positive charge can be deposited on the dome.

This charge accumulation cannot occur indefinitely. Eventually, the electric field due to the charges becomes large enough to ionize the surrounding air, increasing the air’s conductivity. When sufficiently ionized, the air is nicely conducting, and the charges may rapidly flow off of the dome through the air – a “spark” jumps off of the dome to the nearest ground point. A spectacular example of this can be seen in Figure 3.12.

Since the “sparks” are really charge flowing off of the dome, this eventually limits the highest electric fields obtainable. The easy solution to increase the voltage is to make the domes bigger (decrease their radius of curvature), and put them higher off the ground (the farther a “spark” has to go, the more electric field it takes to create one).

One of the largest Van de Graaff generators in the world, built by Dr. Van de Graaff himself, is now on permanent display at Boston’s

\textsuperscript{xiv} You might think that is how the Tuscaloosa airport got its name. You would be wrong.\textsuperscript{12}
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Gauss’s law is a very sneaky technique (based on some basic theorems of vector calculus) for calculating the average electric field over a closed surface. What do we mean by a closed surface? A closed surface has an inside and an outside, it is one that encloses a volume and has no holes in it. A sphere and a cube are simple examples. If, due to symmetry, the electric field is constant everywhere on a closed surface, the exact electric field can be found – in most cases, much more easily than via Coulomb’s law.

3.8.1 Electric Flux

How do we use this sneaky law? First, we need the concept of electric flux, denoted by $\Phi_E$. Electric flux is a measure of how much the electric field vectors penetrate a given surface. If the electric field vectors are tangent to the surface at all points, they don’t penetrate at all and the...
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**Figure 3.13:** (a) Field lines representing a uniform electric field $E$ penetrating a plane of area $A$ perpendicular to the field. The electric flux $\Phi_E$ through this area is equal to $|\vec{E}|A$. (b) Field lines representing a uniform electric field penetrating an area $A$ that is at an angle $\theta$ to the field. Because the number of lines that go through the area $A'$ is the same as the number that go through $A$, the flux through $A'$ is given by $\Phi_E = |\vec{E}|A\cos\theta$.

Electric flux is zero. Basically, we count the number of field lines penetrating the surface per unit area – lines entering the inside of the surface are positive, those leaving to the outside are negative.

An analogy of electric flux is fluid flux, which is just the volume of liquid flowing through an area per second. The electric flux due to an electric field $\vec{E}$ constant in magnitude in direction through a surface of area $A$ is $\Phi = |\vec{E}|A\cos\theta_{EA}$, where $\theta_{EA}$ is the angle that $\vec{E}$ makes with the surface normal.

**Definition of electric flux through a surface**

$$\Phi_E = |\vec{E}|A\cos\theta_{EA}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

where $\theta_{EA}$ is the angle between the normal and the electric field.

Consider the surface in Figure 3.13a. The electric field is uniform in magnitude and direction. Field lines penetrate the surface of area $A$ uniformly, and are perpendicular to the surface at every point ($\theta = 0^\circ$). The flux through this surface is just $\Phi = |\vec{E}|A$.

Now consider the surface $A$ in Figure 3.13b. The uniform electric field penetrates the area $A$ that is at an angle $\theta$ to the field, so now the flux is $\Phi_E = |\vec{E}|A\cos\theta$. For the surface $A'$, the field lines are perpendicular, but the area is reduced by the same amount, so the flux is the same through $A$ and $A'$.

Just like electric forces and fields, flux also obeys the superposition principle. If we have a number of charges inside a closed surface, the total flux through that surface is just the sum of the fluxes from each individual charge.

Now: on to Gauss’s law. What Gauss’s law actually relates is the electric flux through a closed surface to the total electric charge contained inside that surface – the electric flux through a closed surface is proportional to the charge contained inside the surface. To see how this
works, consider the point charge in Figure 3.14a. The innermost surface is just a sphere, whose radius we will call \(r\). The strength of the electric field everywhere on this sphere is

\[
|\vec{E}| = k_e \frac{q}{r^2}
\]  

(3.6)

since every point on the sphere’s surface is a distance \(r\) from the charge. We also know that \(\vec{E}\) is perpendicular to the surface everywhere, thanks to the radial symmetry. Finally, we know that the surface area of a sphere is \(A = 4\pi r^2\), so the electric flux is

\[
\Phi_E = |\vec{E}|A = k_e \frac{q}{r^2} \left(4\pi r^2\right) = 4\pi k_e q
\]  

(3.7)

If the point charge is outside the surface, Fig. 3.14b, the net flux is zero through that surface since the same number of field lines enter and leave. If no charge is enclosed by the surface, there is no net flux.

Now the power in Gauss’s law is that if we take any arbitrarily more complicated surface, so long as it surrounds the point charge \(q\) and doesn’t have holes in it, we will always get the same flux! What this means is that we always choose very convenient surfaces, ones for which the electric field is just a constant over the whole surface. For convenience, we define a new constant \(\epsilon_0 = 1/4\pi k_e\), known as the “permittivity of free space:”

\[
\text{Permittivity of free space:}
\]

\[
\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi k_e} = 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \frac{C^2}{N \cdot m^2}
\]  

(3.8)

Recall \(k_e\) is Coulomb’s constant from Equation 3.2. (This means of course that we can put all...
of our other equations, like Eq. 3.1 in terms of $\epsilon_0$ instead of $k_e$, since $k_e = 1/4\pi\epsilon_0$. You will often see them this way.) This gives Gauss’s law a nice simple form:

**Gauss’s law:** the electric flux $\Phi_E$ through any closed surface is equal to the net charge inside the surface, $Q_{\text{inside}}$, divided by $\epsilon_0$:

$$\Phi_E = \frac{Q_{\text{inside}}}{\epsilon_0} \quad (3.9)$$

We will not derive Gauss’ law here, but simply state it as fact, and show you a few examples of how to use it.

### 3.8.2 Gauss’ Law as a Conservation Law

Fundamentally, Gauss’ law is a manifestation of the divergence theorem (a.k.a. Green’s theorem or the Gauss-Ostrogradsky theorem). Essentially, it states that the sum of all sources minus the sum of all sinks gives the net flow out of a region. The same law applies to fluids. If a fluid is flowing, and we want to know how much fluid flows out of a certain region, then we need to add up the sources inside the region and subtract the sinks. The divergence theorem is basically a conservation law - the volumetric total of all sources minus sinks equals the flow across a volume’s boundary.

In the case of electric fields, this gives Gauss’ law (Eq. 3.9) – the electric flux through any closed surface must relate to a net charge inside the volume bounded by that surface. The net magnitude of the vector components of the electric field pointing outward from a surface must be equal to the net magnitude of the vector components pointing inward, plus the amount of free charge inside. This is a manifestation of the fact that electric field lines do have to originate from somewhere – charges. The difference between the flow of field lines into a surface and the flow out of a surface is just how many charges are within the surface, that is all that Gauss’ law says. This is fundamentally due to the fact that for all inverse square laws, like Coulomb’s law or Newton’s law of gravitation, the strength of the field falls off as $1/r^2$, but the area of an enclosing surface increases as $r^2$. The two dependencies cancel out, and we are left with the result that the flux is only related to difference between the number of enclosed sources and sinks.

Though Gauss’s law is very powerful, it is usually used in specially symmetric cases (spheres, cylinders, planes) where it is easy to draw a surface of constant electric field around the charges of interest (like a sphere around a point charge). We will work through a few of these examples presently.

**Question:** Why would we not want to choose a cube as our surface enclosing the point charge?

Choosing a cube would not give us any nice surfaces with a constant electric field on them.
3.8.3 Example: The Field Around a Spherical Charge Distribution

We can use Gauss’ law to calculate the electric field of any spherically symmetric distribution of charge, and as a bonus, discover an important fact. A spherically symmetric distribution of charge just means that the number of charges per unit volume (the charge density) depends only on the radius from a central point. That doesn’t mean that the density doesn’t vary with radius, just that it doesn’t vary with angle. An example of such a distribution is shown in Fig. 3.15a – in this case, the density decreases with radius up to a distance \( R \), beyond which it is zero.

What is the electric field at some arbitrary point \( P_1 \) outside the distribution, or at some arbitrary point \( P_2 \) inside it (Fig. 3.15b)? Do we have to calculate the field from every tiny bit of charge in the distribution and sum them all together? No, this is the point of Gauss’ law – if you have a problem with special symmetries, they can usually be exploited to save a lot of labor.

The charge distribution is, by definition, spherically symmetric. As you may have noticed, the electric field must take on the same symmetry as the charge distribution. That means that the electric field in this case will be spherically symmetric, and will be directed radially from the central point. No other direction is special or unique in this problem, only the radial direction. That means that if we draw a spherical surface of radius \( R_1 > R \) completely surrounding the sphere, surface 1 in Fig. 3.15b, the electric field will be constant everywhere on that surface. We can easily calculate the flux through this surface, and hence the electric field:

\[
\Phi_E = EA = \frac{Q_{\text{inside}1}}{\varepsilon_0} \quad R_1 > R \quad (3.10)
\]

\[
= E \times 4\pi R_1^2 = \frac{Q_{\text{inside}1}}{\varepsilon_0} \quad R_1 > R \quad (3.11)
\]

\[
\implies E = \frac{Q_{\text{inside}1}}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R_1^2} = \frac{k_e Q_{\text{inside}1}}{R_1^2} \quad R_1 > R \quad (3.12)
\]

What we now see is that this is the same thing as the field from a point charge – the field outside a spherically symmetric charge distribution behaves exactly as if all of its charge is concentrated at the center. This is, in fact, a particular property of \( 1/r^2 \) laws, and you should recall that this principle is true in the gravitational case for spherically symmetric mass distributions. The earth

\[\text{xv} \text{ Appendix B may help you think about that.}\]
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attracts other bodies as if its mass were concentrated at a point in the center. So long as we are dealing with spherically symmetric distributions, it is not even an approximation to deal with infinitesimal point charges!

One thing to keep in mind: this is not something like the center of mass. A perfect cube does not behave as if it had all its mass concentrated at its center. This all really comes from the nature of $1/r^2$ forces and the divergence theorem.

What about surface $2$, radius $R_2$, drawn inside the charge distribution? From the analysis above, all that matters is how much charge is contained inside the surface. Everything outside the surface contributes an equal contribution, but in all different directions, and the whole thing cancels. What is outside the surface may just as well not exist, so far as the electric field is concerned. Finding the field at point $P_2$ is then just a matter of figuring out how much charge is inside the second surface. Depending on the distribution, that may not be so easy ... but it would have been a lot worse without Gauss’ law.

We have actually developed a more important result than we set out to. Using only Gauss’ law, we have derived that the field from spherically symmetric charge distributions is equivalent to that of a point charge, and follows a $1/r^2$ law. Actually, we have derived Coulomb’s law from Gauss’ law. In fact, the two are equivalent. We could have started from Gauss’ law in the first place and arrived at Coulomb’s law, instead of assuming Coulomb’s law to be true and then introducing Gauss’ law. Gauss’ law is in fact far more general in an important way, as we have noted above, since it gives the equivalence relationship for any flux (e.g., liquids, electric fields, gravitational fields) flowing out of any closed surface and the enclosed sources and sinks of the flux (e.g., electric charges, masses). We will see in Ch. 7.2.1 that there is also a Gauss’ law for magnetism, just as there is a Gauss’ law for gravity, viz.:

$$\Phi_g = 4\pi GM$$ (3.13)

where $\Phi_g$ is the flux from the gravitational field through a closed surface, $G$ is the universal gravitational constant, and $M$ is the mass enclosed by the surface. Just as we proved that any spherically symmetric charge distribution behaves as a point charge and follows an inverse square law, one can prove that any spherically symmetric mass distribution is equivalent to a point mass, and follows the familiar inverse square law for gravitation.

### 3.8.4 Example: The Field Above a Flat Conductor

If we can come up with a clever surface on which to apply Gauss’ law, we can solve some otherwise nasty problems. Figure 3.16 shows a large (“infinite”) conducting plate, whose surface is charged. What is the field at the surface of this plate due to the charges? We know it is uniform and constant, but that is about it.

Since it is a conductor, the charge distribution on the surface, and hence electric field, are
Since we do not want to restrict ourselves to a plate of any particular size, but rather, solve a general problem, we will say that the plate has a certain charge per unit area $\sigma_E$, defined as the total charge of the plate divided by its surface area. That way, we can later find the field near any plate.

What sort of surface should we take to find the flux? A plain box is a good choice, as it turns out, due to the symmetry of the problem. We will take a box with a top and bottom whose area are $A$. The area of the sides are not important, as it turns out, but we can call them $B$ just to be complete.

Why would we choose a box in this case, when we just said it is a bad choice for a point charge? We know that the field is perpendicular to the surface of a conductor everywhere, so in this case the field is going to be purely perpendicular to the plate. Therefore, it is only important that we draw a Gaussian surface such that every part of the surface is either perfectly parallel or perfectly perpendicular to the plate. A cylinder would work perfectly fine too, which should be clear from the rest of the discussion.

Along the surface making up the sides of the box, the flux is zero since the field lines are parallel to it everywhere. On the top end cap, the flux is perfectly normal. The bottom end cap is completely inside the conductor, so we know the field has to be zero there! If we call the magnitude of electric field above the plate $E$, we can readily calculate the flux. Because the plate is supposed to be very large in extent, the field can be assumed to be completely uniform so long as the distances above the plate we consider are small compared to the size of the plate.

The total charge enclosed by this cylinder is just the cross-sectional area of the plate enclosed by the box times the charge per unit area $\sigma_E$:

$$Q_{\text{inside}} = \sigma_E A$$  \hfill (3.14)

Applying Gauss’ law is now straightforward, we just have to find the flux through the top end cap:

$$\Phi_E = EA = \frac{Q_{\text{inside}}}{\epsilon_0} = \frac{\sigma E A}{\epsilon_0}$$  \hfill (3.15)

$$\implies E = \frac{\sigma E}{\epsilon_0}$$  \hfill (3.16)

No problem! The electric field is indeed constant, as it has to be, and independent of the distance...
from the plate. This makes sense too, since the plate is supposed to be very, very large. Strictly, this is true only for an infinite plate, but it is close so long as we consider distances above the plate which are very small compared to the size of the plate. Finally, it should be clear now that it didn’t matter what sort of shape we used at all, so long as it has a flat end parallel to the plate, and sides perpendicular to it.

3.8.5 Example: The Field Inside and Outside a Hollow Spherical Conductor

Figure 3.17 shows a point charge $Q_1$ is inside a thin spherical conducting shell with inner radius $R_1$ and outer radius $R_2$. How can we find the electric field inside the shell and outside the shell? Easy, we just have to apply Gauss’ law a couple of times.

For any spherical surface inside the sphere, say a sphere of radius $r < R_1$ like surface 1 in Fig. 3.17, only the point charge is inside the volume enclosed by the sphere. If we center the sphere exactly on the point charge, since the field of a point charge is spherically symmetric the field is constant everywhere on the sphere’s surface. Gauss’ law then gives us:

$$
\Phi_E = EA = \frac{Q_{\text{inside}}}{\varepsilon_0} = E \times 4\pi r^2 = \frac{Q_1}{\varepsilon_0} \quad r < R_1
$$

$$
= \frac{Q_1}{\varepsilon_0} \quad r < R_1
$$

Now we just need to solve for $E$, and make use of the fact that $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi k_e}$ (Eq. 3.8):

$$
E = \frac{Q_1}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 r^2} = \frac{k_e Q_1}{r^2} \quad r < R_1
$$

Of course, this makes perfect sense – the field inside is just that of the point charge, as if the conductor were not there at all! As we saw above, electric fields are like gravitational fields in this way – inside a spherical shell, both the gravitational and electrical forces cancel in all directions by symmetry.

Next, we consider surface 2, a surface inside the conductor itself. We know already that everywhere inside the conductor, *i.e.*, $R_1 < r < R_2$, we must have $E = 0$. Done! That seemed too easy, didn’t it? It was – we missed one little point.
In the end, we also want to find the field outside the shell entirely, and for this we have to consider surface 1. Now we have to be careful, and think about what we have missed. For surface 2, drawn inside the conductor, we said $E = 0$ as it has to be for a conductor. This is true. But how can that be, with a point charge sitting right inside? Actually, it can’t: what happens is that the point charge $Q_1$ induces a equal but opposite charge $Q_2 = -Q_1$ on the inside surface of the conductor. Think of it this way – if this did not happen, then the total charge enclosed by surface 2 would not be zero, and by Gauss’ law the field inside the conductor could not be zero. The induced charge $Q_2$ ensures that the total charge enclosed by surface 2 is zero, and thus the field inside the conductor is zero as it has to be. Then we would have a contradiction on our hands, which is not OK. This also is another aspect of conductors looking like mirrors for field lines. Physically, the charge $Q_1$ attracts opposite mobile charges in the conductor, giving a net negative charge on the inner surface.

Now, what about surface 3? Before we placed the charge $Q_1$ inside the conductor, it was electrically neutral. This still has to be true after we place the charge – overall, the conductor must have no net charge. Well, if there is a charge $Q_2 = -Q_1$ on the inner surface, and overall it is neutral, then there must be a charge $Q_3 = Q_1$ induced on the outer surface to cancel the induced charge on the inner surface. The net negative charge on the inner surface attracted by the point charge $Q_1$ leaves a deficit of negative charge on the outer surface, for a net positive surface charge. Now we can run Gauss’ law for surface 3:

\[
\Phi_E = EA = \frac{Q_{\text{inside}}}{\epsilon_0} \quad r > R_2
\]

\[
= E \times 4\pi r^2 = \frac{Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3}{\epsilon_0} \quad r > R_2
\]

\[
= 4\pi r^2 E = \frac{Q_1 - Q_1 + Q_1}{\epsilon_0} \quad r > R_2
\]

\[
\implies E = \frac{Q_1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \quad r > R_2
\]

Lo and behold, the field outside the sphere looks just like that of the original point charge, same as inside the sphere (remembering that $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa}$, Eq. 3.8). Again, what happens physically is that the point charge pulls the mobile charges from the conductor to its inner surface, leaving the inner surface with an equal and opposite charge. This means that the outer surface must be deficient in those same charges, and thus has an equal and like charge to $Q_1$.

Now we can combine our results, and we have the electric field in all three regions:
\[ E = \frac{k_e Q_1}{r^2} \quad r > R_2 \]  
\[ E = 0 \quad R_1 < r < R_2 \]  
\[ E = \frac{k_e Q_1}{r^2} \quad r < R_1 \]  

3.8.6 Example: The Due to a Line of Charge

As one last example, we will use Gauss’ law to find the electric field due to an infinite line of charge, or equivalently, a conducting wire with a net surface charge, as shown in Fig. 3.18a. What does the electric field look like? If the line of charge is infinite (or at least very long compared to the distance we are away from it), all of the transverse components of the field will cancel each other, and by symmetry, the field must be radially symmetric about the wire. That is, the field must point perpendicularly away from the wire axis.

With the symmetry of the wire being cylindrical, it makes most sense to use a cylinder drawn concentrically around the wire as our Gaussian surface, Fig. 3.18b. We will choose a cylinder of radius \( r \), and length \( l \). The field is parallel to the end caps of the cylinder, so they contribute no flux at all. Being radially symmetric, the field is perfectly perpendicular to the round surface of the cylinder, and we can easily calculate the flux and find the electric field.

First, we remember that the surface area of a cylinder (without the end caps) is \( 2\pi rl \). Second, the cylinder of length \( l \) encloses a length \( l \) of the wire, which must contain \( \lambda l \) charges since \( \lambda \) is the charge per unit length. Putting that all together:

\[ \Phi_E = E \cdot 2\pi rl = \frac{Q_{encl}}{\epsilon_0} = \frac{\lambda l}{\epsilon_0} \]
\[ \implies E = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi r\epsilon_0} = \frac{2k_e\lambda}{r} \]

In this case, the field falls off as \( 1/r \), far slower than a point charge, but not independent of distance like we found for the sheet of charge. It is independent of the length of the cylinder we chose, as it must be: the wire is supposed to be infinite, and the value of \( l \) was chosen arbitrarily!
File this result away. We will need it again in Chapter 7.
3.9 Miscellanea

Solving electric field problems

1. **Convert all units** to SI – charges in Coulombs, distances in meters.
2. **Draw** a diagram of the charges in the problem.
3. **Identify** the charge of interest, and what you want to know about it.
4. **Choose** your coordinate system and origin – pick the most convenient one based on the symmetry of the problem. Usually, this is an \( x-y \) Cartesian system, with the origin at some special point (e.g., on one charge or between two charges)
5. **Apply Coulomb’s law** For each charge \( Q \), find the electric force on the charge of interest \( q \). The vector direction of the force is along the line of the two charges, directed away from \( Q \) if it has the same sign as \( q \) and toward \( Q \) if it has the opposite sign as \( q \). Find the angle \( \theta \) this vector makes with the positive \( x \) axis – the \( x \) component of the electric force will be \( F \cos \theta \), the \( y \) component will be \( F \sin \theta \).
6. **Sum the \( x \) components** from each charge \( Q \) to get the resultant \( x \) component of the electric force.
7. **Sum the \( y \) components** from each charge \( Q \) to get the resultant \( y \) component of the electric force.
8. **Find the total resultant force** from the total \( x \) and \( y \) components, using the Pythagorean theorem and trigonometry to find the magnitude and direction:

\[
|F_{\text{tot}}| = \sqrt{|F_{x}|^2 + |F_{y}|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tan \theta = \frac{F_{y}}{F_{x}}
\]

Charge transfer and chemical reactions: batteries

**reaction:** \( \text{PbO}_2(s) + \text{SO}_4^{2-} (aq) + 4\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{PbSO}_4(s) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \) (reduction)

From one point of view, this reaction is nothing more than charges being transferred from one species to another. If we only write down the charges involved, we would have this:

**charge transfer:** \( 0 + (-2\text{e}) + 4\text{e} + (-2\text{e}) \rightarrow 0 + 0 \)

This is consistent with only electrons being transferred from one object to another – four electrons are being transferred to the four \( \text{H}^+ \), including two from the \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) and two ‘free’ electrons. Charge is conserved in this reaction as well. Another example:

**reaction:** \( \text{Pb}(s) + \text{SO}_4^{2-} (aq) \rightarrow \text{PbSO}_4(s) + 2\text{e}^- \) (oxidation)

In terms of charges,

**charge transfer:** \( 0 + (-2\text{e}) \rightarrow 0 + (-2\text{e}) \)

The above reactions are essentially what take place in a normal lead-acid car battery. Plates of lead (Pb) and lead oxide (PbO\(_2\)) immersed in a sulfuric acid (H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)) electrolyte. The Pb plate is oxidized, releasing two electrons per Pb atom, while the PbO\(_2\) plate is reduced, accepting two electrons per molecule. Connecting the two plates together through a circuit lets electrons released from the Pb plate travel to the PbO\(_2\) plate, which makes an electric current.
3.10 Quick Questions

1. Two charges of +1 µC each are separated by 1 cm. What is the force between them?
   - 0.89 N
   - 90 N
   - 173 N
   - 15 N

2. The electric field inside an isolated conductor is
   - determined by the size of the conductor
   - determined by the electric field outside the conductor
   - always zero
   - always larger than an otherwise identical insulator

3. Which statement is false?
   - Charge deposited on conductors stays localized
   - Charge distributes itself evenly over a conductor
   - Charge deposited on insulators stays localized
   - Charges in a conductor are mobile, and move in response to an electric force

4. Which of the following is true for the electric force, but not the gravitational force?
   - The force propagates at a speed of c
   - The force acts at a distance without any intervening medium
   - The force between two bodies depends on the square of the distance between them
   - The force between two bodies can be repulsive as well as attractive.

5. Two charges of +1 µC are separated by 1 cm. What is the magnitude of the electric field halfway between them?
   - $9 \times 10^7$ N/C
   - $4.5 \times 10^7$ N/C
   - 0
   - $1.8 \times 10^8$ N/C
6. A circular ring of charge of radius $b$ has a total charge of $q$ uniformly distributed around it. The magnitude of the electric field at the center of the ring is:

- $0$
- $k_e q/b^2$
- $k_e q^2/b^2$
- $k_e q^2/b$
- none of these.

7. Two isolated identical conducting spheres have a charge of $q$ and $-3q$, respectively. They are connected by a conducting wire, and after equilibrium is reached, the wire is removed (such that both spheres are again isolated). What is the charge on each sphere?

- $q$, $-3q$
- $-q$, $-q$
- $0$, $-2q$
- $2q$, $-2q$

8. A single point charge $+q$ is placed exactly at the center of a hollow conducting sphere of radius $R$. Before placing the point charge, the conducting sphere had zero net charge. What is the magnitude of the electric field outside the conducting sphere at a distance $r$ from the center of the conducting sphere? I.e., the electric field for $r > R$.

- $|\vec{E}| = -\frac{k_e q}{r^2}$
- $|\vec{E}| = \frac{k_e q}{(R+r)^2}$
- $|\vec{E}| = \frac{k_e q}{r^2}$
- $|\vec{E}| = \frac{k_e q}{r^2}$

9. Which set of electric field lines could represent the electric field near two charges of the same sign, but different magnitudes?

- a
- b
- c
- d
10. Referring again to the figure above, which set of electric field lines could represent the electric field near two charges of opposite sign and different magnitudes?

- a
- b
- c
- d

11. A “free” electron and a “free” proton are placed in an identical electric field. Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.

- Each particle is acted on by the same electric force and has the same acceleration.
- The electric force on the proton is greater in magnitude than the force on the electron, but in the opposite direction.
- The electric force on the proton is equal in magnitude to the force on the electron, but in the opposite direction.
- The magnitude of the acceleration of the electron is greater than that of the proton.
- Both particles have the same acceleration.

12. A point charge $q$ is located at the center of a (non-conducting) spherical shell of radius $a$ that has a charge $-q$ uniformly distributed on its surface. What is the electric field for all points outside the spherical shell?

- none of these
- $E=0$
- $E=q/4\pi r^2$
- $E=kq/r^2$
- $E=kq^2/r^2$

13. What is the electric field inside the same shell a distance $r<a$ from the center (i.e., a point inside the spherical shell)?

- $E=kq/r^2$
- $E=kq^2/r^2$
- none of these
- $E=0$
- $E=q/4\pi r^2$
14. What is the electric flux through the surface at right?

- $+5 \text{ C}/\varepsilon_0$
- $-3 \text{ C}/\varepsilon_0$
- $0$
- $+6 \text{ C}/\varepsilon_0$

15. A spherical conducting object $A$ with a charge of $+Q$ is lowered through a hole into a metal (conducting) container $B$ that is initially uncharged (and is not grounded). When $A$ is at the center of $B$, but not touching it, the charge on the inner surface of $B$ is:

- $+Q$
- $-Q$
- $0$
- $+Q/2$
- $-Q/2$

16. Determine the point (other than infinity) at which the total electric field is zero. This point is not between the two charges.

- $3.5 \text{ m}$ to the left of the negative charge
- $2.1 \text{ m}$ to the right of the positive charge
- $1.3 \text{ m}$ to the right of the positive charge
- $1.8 \text{ m}$ to the left of the negative charge

17. A flat surface having an area of $3.2 \text{ m}^2$ is rotated in a uniform electric field of magnitude $E = 5.7 \times 10^5 \text{ N/C}$. What is the electric flux when the electric field is parallel to the surface?

- $1.82 \times 10^6 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}$
- $0 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}$
- $3.64 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}$
- $0.91 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}$
18. Three charges are arranged in an equilateral triangle, as shown at left. All three charges have the same magnitude of charge, \(|q_1| = |q_2| = |q_3| = 10^{-9} \text{ C}\) (note that \(q_2\) is negative though). What is the force on \(q_2\), magnitude and direction?

- 9.0 \(\mu\text{N}, \text{up (90°)}\);
- 16 \(\mu\text{N}, \text{down (-90°)}\);
- 18 \(\mu\text{N}, \text{down and left (225°)}\);
- 8.0 \(\mu\text{N, up and right (-45°)}\)

### 3.11 Problems

1. Two charges of \(+10^{-6} \text{ C}\) are separated by 1 m along the vertical axis. What is the net horizontal force on a charge of \(-2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C}\) placed one meter to the right of the lower charge?

2. Three point charges lie along the \(x\) axis, as shown at left. A positive charge \(q_1 = 15 \mu\text{C}\) is at \(x = 2\) m, and a positive charge of \(q_2 = 6 \mu\text{C}\) is at the origin. Where must a negative charge \(q_3\) be placed on the \(x\)-axis between the two positive charges such that the resulting electric force on it is zero?
3.12 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. **90 N.** We just need to use Eq. 3.1 and plug in the numbers ... remembering that $\mu$ means $10^{-6}$:

$$\vec{F} = k \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}^2} \hat{r}_{12}$$

$$|\vec{F}| = k \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}^2}$$

$$= 8.9875 \times 10^9 \frac{N \cdot m^2}{C^2} \left[ \frac{\left(1 \times 10^{-6} C\right) \left(1 \times 10^{-6} C\right)}{(1 \times 10^{-2} m)^2} \right]$$

$$\approx 9 \times 10^4 \frac{N \cdot m^2}{C^2} \left[ \frac{1 \times 10^{-12} C^2}{1 \times 10^{-4} m^2} \right]$$

$$= 9 \times 10^4 \text{ N}$$

$$|\vec{F}| = 90 \text{ N}$$

2. **Always zero.** Re-read Sect. 3.5 to remind yourself *why* this must be true.

3. **Charge deposited on conductors stays localized.** See Sect. 3.2

4. **The force between two bodies can be repulsive as well as attractive.** Both the electric and gravitational forces propagate at the speed of light, both act through empty space, and both are inverse-square laws. The only difference is that gravity can only be attractive, since there is no such thing as negative mass.

5. **0.** Halfway between, the magnitude of the field from each individual charge is the same, but *they act in opposite directions*. Therefore, exactly in the middle, they cancel, and the field is zero. This is the same as the field exactly at the midpoint of an electric dipole. It might be easier to convince yourself the field is zero if you draw a picture including the electric field lines.

6. **0.** The field at the center from a point on the ring is always canceled by the field from another point 180° away.

7. **$-q$, $-q$.** The thing to remember is that any charge on a conductor spreads out evenly over its surface. When we have the conducting spheres isolated, they have $q$ and $-3q$ respectively, and this charge is spread evenly over each sphere. When we connect them with a conducting wire, suddenly charges are free to move from one conductor, across the wire, into the other conductor. Its just the same as if we had one big conductor, and all the *total net charge of the two conductors combined* will spread out evenly over both spheres and the wire.

If the charge from each sphere is allowed to spread out evenly over both spheres, then the $-3q$ and $+q$ will both be spread out evenly everywhere. The $+q$ will cancel part of the $-3q$, leaving a total net charge of $-2q$ spread over evenly over both spheres, or $-q$ on each sphere. Once we disconnect the two spheres again, the charge remains equally distributed between the two.
8. $|\vec{E}| = \frac{kq}{r^2}$. The easiest way out of this one is Gauss’ law. First, Gauss’ law told us that any spherically symmetric charge distribution behaves as a point charge. Second, Gauss’ law tells us that the electric flux out of some surface depends only on the enclosed charge. If we draw a spherical surface of radius $r$ and area $A$ around the shell and point charge, centered on the center of the conducting sphere, Gauss’ law gives:

$$\Phi_E = \frac{q_{encl}}{\epsilon_0} = 4\pi k_e q_{encl}$$

$$EA = 4\pi k_e q_{encl}$$

$$E = \frac{4\pi k_e q_{encl}}{A}$$

The surface area of a sphere is $A = 4\pi r^2$. In this case, the enclosed charge is just $q$, since the hollow conducting sphere itself has no charge of its own. Gauss’ law only cares about the total net charge inside the surface of interest. This gives us:

$$E = \frac{4\pi k_e q}{4\pi r^2} = \frac{k_e q}{r^2}$$

There we have it, it is just the field of a point charge $q$ at a distance $r$.

If we want to get formal, we should point out that the point charge $q$ induces a negative charge $-q$ on the inner surface of the hollow conducting sphere. Since the sphere is overall neutral, the outer surface must therefore have a net positive charge $+q$ on it. This makes no difference in the result – the total enclosed charge, for radii larger than that of the hollow conducting sphere ($r > R$), is still just $q$. If we start with an uncharged conducting sphere, and keep it physically isolated, any induced charges have to cancel each other over all.

If this is still a bit confusing, go back and think about induction charging again. A charged rod was used to induce a positive charge on one side of a conductor, and a negative charge on the other. Overall, the ‘induced charge’ was just a rearrangement of existing charges, so if the conductor started out neutral, no amount of ‘inducing’ will change that. We only ended up with a net charge on the conductor when we used a ground connection to ‘drain away’ some of the induced charges. Or, if you like, when we used a charged rod to repel some of the conductor’s charges through the ground connection, leaving it with a net imbalance.

9. (b). If the charges are of the opposite sign, then the field lines would have to run from one charge directly to the other. Field lines start on a positive charge and end on a negative one, and there should be many lines which run from one charge to the other. Since opposite charges attract, the field between them is extremely strong, the lines should be densest right between the charges. This is the case in (a) and (b), so they are not the right ones.

By the same token, for charges of the same sign, the force is repulsive, and the electric field midway between them cancels. The field lines should “push away” from each other, and no field line from a given charge should reach the other charge – field lines cannot start and end on the same sign charge. This means that only (b) and (d) could possibly correspond to two charges of the same sign.

Next, the field lines leaving or entering a charge has to be proportional to the magnitude of the charge. In (d) there are the same number of lines entering and leaving each charge, so the charges are of the same magnitude. One can also see this from the fact that the lines are symmetric about a vertical line drawn midway between the charges. In (b) there are clearly
many more lines near the left-most charge.

Or, right off the bat, you could notice that only (a) and (b) are asymmetric, and only (b) and (d) look like two like charges. No sense in over-thinking this one.

10. (a). By similar reasoning as above, only figure a could represent two opposite charges of different magnitude.

11. The electric force on the proton is equal in magnitude to the force on the electron, but in the opposite direction. The magnitude of the acceleration of the electron is greater than that of the proton.

12. \( E = 0 \). The simplest way to solve this one is with Gauss’ law. First, Gauss law told us that any spherically symmetric charge distribution behaves as a point charge. Second, Gauss law tells us that the electric flux out of some surface depends only on the enclosed charge. If we draw a spherical surface of radius \( r \) and area \( A \) enclosing the shell and the point charge, centered on the center of the conducting sphere, the total enclosed charge is that of the shell plus that of the point charge: \( q_{\text{encl}} = q + (-q) = 0 \). If the enclosed charge is zero for any sphere drawn outside of and enclosing the spherical shell, then the electric field for all points outside the spherical shell.

13. \( E = k_r q / r^2 \). Just like the last question, we need Gauss’ law. This time, we have to draw a sphere surrounding the point charge, but \( \text{inside} \) of the spherical shell. Gauss’ law tells us that the electric field depends only on the \( \text{enclosed} \) charge within our sphere. The only charge enclosed is the point charge at the center of the shell, \( q - \) the charge on the spherical shell is outside of our spherical surface, so it is not enclosed and does not contribute to the electric field inside. Now we just apply Gauss’ law, knowing that the enclosed charge is \( q \), and the surface area of the sphere is \( 4\pi r^2 \):

\[
\Phi_E = \frac{q_{\text{encl}}}{\epsilon_0} = 4\pi k_r q \\
EA = 4\pi k_r q \quad \text{(3.29)}
\]

\[
E = \frac{4\pi k_r q}{4\pi r^2} = \frac{k_r q}{r^2} \quad \text{(3.30)}
\]

14. \( +6 C / \epsilon_0 \). Again, this question requires Gauss’ law. We know that the electric flux through this surface only depends on the total amount of enclosed charge. All we need to do is add up the \( \text{net} \) charge inside the surface, since any charges outside the surface do not contribute to the flux. There are only three charges enclosed by the surface... so:

\[
\text{net charge} = 3 C + 5 C - 2 C = 6 C \quad \text{(3.32)}
\]

The electric flux \( \Phi_E \) is then just the enclosed charge divided by \( \epsilon_0 \), or \( +6 C / \epsilon_0 \).

15. \( -Q \). The charge \( +Q \) on object \( A \) induces a negative charge \( -Q \) on the inner surface of the conducting container \( B \).
16. **1.8 m to the left of the negative charge.** By symmetry, we can figure out on which side the field should be zero. In between the two charges, the field from the positive and negative charges *add together*. The force on a fictitious positive test charge placed in between the two would experience a force to the left due to the positive charge, and another force to the left due to the negative charge. There is no way the fields can cancel here.

If we place a positive charge to the *right of the positive charge*, it will feel a force to the right from the positive charge, and a force to the left from the negative charge. The directions are opposite, but the fields still cannot cancel because the test charge is closest to the larger charge.

This leaves us with points to the left of the negative charge. The forces on a positive test charge will be in opposite directions here, and we are closer to the smaller charge. What position gives zero field? First, we will call the position of the negative charge \( x = 0 \), which means the positive charge is at \( x = 1 \text{ m} \). We will call the position where electric field is zero \( x = x \). The distance from this point to the negative charge is just \( x \), and the distance to the positive charge is \( 1 + x \). Now write down the electric field due to each charge:

\[
E_{\text{neg}} = \frac{k_e(-2.5 \mu C)}{x^2} \\
E_{\text{pos}} = \frac{k_e(6 \mu C)}{(1 + x)^2}
\]

The field will be zero when \( E_{\text{neg}} + E_{\text{pos}} = 0 \):

\[
\frac{k_e(-2.5 \mu C)}{x^2} + \frac{k_e(6 \mu C)}{(1 + x)^2} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{-2.5}{x^2} + \frac{6}{(1 + x)^2} = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \frac{2.5}{x^2} = \frac{6}{(1 + x)^2}
\]

Cross multiply, apply the quadratic formula:

\[
2.5(1 + x)^2 = 6x^2
\]

\[
2.5 + 5x + 2.5x^2 = 6x^2
\]

\[
3.5x^2 - 5x - 2.5 = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow x = \frac{-(5) \pm \sqrt{5^2 - 4(-2.5)(3.5)}}{2(3.5)}
\]

\[
x = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{25 + 35}}{7}
\]

\[
x = \frac{5 \pm 7.75}{7} = 1.82, -0.39
\]

Which root do we want? We wrote down the distance \( x \) the distance to the *left* of the negative charge. A negative value of \( x \) is then in the wrong direction, in between the two charges, which
we already ruled out. The positive root, \( x = 1.82 \), means a distance 1.82 m to the left of the negative charge. This is what we want.

17. 0 N \cdot m^2/C. Remember that electric flux is \( \Phi_E = EA \cos \theta \), where \( \theta \) is the angle between a line perpendicular to the surface and the electric field. If \( E \) is parallel to the surface, then \( \theta = 90 \) and \( \Phi_E = 0 \).

Put more simply, there is only an electric flux if field lines penetrate the surface. If the field is parallel to the surface, no field lines penetrate, and there is no flux.

18. 16 \mu N, down (-90\(^\circ\)). The easiest way to solve this one is by symmetry and elimination. The negative charge \( q_2 \) feels an attractive force from both \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \). Since both charges are the same vertical distance away and below \( q_2 \), both will give a force in the vertical downward direction of equal magnitude and direction. Since both charges are horizontally the same direction away but on opposite sides, the horizontal forces will be equal in magnitude but opposite in direction – the horizontal forces will cancel. Therefore, the net force has to be purely in the vertical direction and downward, so the second choice is the only option! Of course, you can calculate all of the forces by components and add them up ... you will arrive at the same answer.
1. $-0.0244 \text{ N}$. We are only interested in the $x$ component of the force, which makes things easier. First, we are trying to find the force on a negative charge due to two positive charges. Both positive charges are to the left of the negative charge, and both forces will be attractive. We will adopt the usual convention that the positive horizontal direction is to the right and called $+x$, and the negative horizontal direction is to the left and called $-x$.

First, we will find the force on the negative charge due to the positive charge in the lower left, which we will call “1” to keep things straight. We will call the negative charge “2.” This is easy, since the force is purely in the $-x$ direction:

$$F_{x,1} = k_e \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}^2}$$
$$= \left(9 \times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2\right) \frac{(10^{-6} \text{ C}) \cdot (-2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C})}{(1 \text{ m})^2}$$
$$= \left(9 \times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2\right) \left(-2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ C}^2/\text{m}^2\right)$$
$$= -18 \times 10^{-3} \text{ N}$$

So far so good, but now we have to include the force from the upper left-hand positive charge, which we’ll call “3.” We calculate the force in exactly the same way, with two little difference: the separation distance is slightly larger, and now the force has both a horizontal and vertical component. First, let’s calculate the magnitude of the net force, we’ll find the horizontal component after that.

Plane geometry tells us that the separation between charges 3 and 2 has to be $\sqrt{2} \cdot 1 \text{ m}$, or $\sqrt{2} \text{ m}$ – connecting the charges with straight lines forms a 1-1-$\sqrt{2}$ right triangle, with 45° angles.

$$F_{\text{net,3}} = k_e \frac{q_2 q_3}{r_{23}^2}$$
$$= \left(9 \times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2\right) \frac{(10^{-6} \text{ C}) \cdot (-2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C})}{(\sqrt{2} \text{ m})^2}$$
$$= \left(9 \times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2\right) \frac{-2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ C}^2}{2 \text{ m}^2}$$
$$= -9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ N}$$

So the net force from the upper left charge is just half as much, since it is a factor $\sqrt{2}$ farther away. We only want the horizontal component though! Since we are dealing with a 45-45-90 triangle here, the horizontal component is just the net force times $\cos 45°$:

$$F_{x,3} = F_{\text{net,3}} \cos 45°$$
$$= -9 \times 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \text{ N} = -9 \times 10^{-3} \cdot 0.707 \text{ N}$$
$$\approx -6.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ N}$$

The total horizontal force is just the sum of the horizontal forces from the two positive charges:
3.13 Solutions to Problems

\[ F_{x,\text{total}} = F_{x,1} + F_{x,3} \]
\[ = (-18 \times 10^{-3}) + (-6.4 \times 10^{-3}) \text{ N} \]
\[ = -24.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ N} = -0.0244 \text{ N} \]

2. \( x = 0.77 \text{ m} \). We have two positive charges and one negative charge along a straight line. If we want there to be no net force on the negative charge, the electric forces from both of the positive charges on it must cancel. For that to happen, there is only one possibility: the negative charge has to be between the two positive charges. Outside that middle region, both positive charges will exert an attractive force on the negative charge in the same direction, and there is no way they can cancel each other. Only in the middle region do the forces from both positive charges act in opposite directions on a negative charge, and only there can they cancel each other. We want to find the position \( r_{23} \) such that both forces are equal in magnitude. All charges are on the \( x \) axis, so the problem is one-dimensional and does not require vectors.

Intuitively, we know that the negative charge \( q_3 \) must be closer to the smaller of the positive charges. Since electric forces get larger as separation decreases, the only way the force due to the larger charge can be the same as that due to the smaller charge is if the negative charge is farther away from the larger charge.

Let \( F_{32} \) be the force on \( q_3 \) due to \( q_2 \), and \( F_{31} \) be the force on \( q_3 \) due to \( q_1 \), and we will take the positive \( x \) direction to be to the right. Since both forces are repulsive, \( F_{32} \) acts in the \( -x \) direction and must therefore be negative, while \( F_{31} \) acts in the \( +x \) direction and is positive. This is only true for the region between the two positive charges! Elsewhere, both positive charges would give an attractive force, and there is no way they could cancel each other. We are not told about any other forces acting, so our force balance is this:

\[ -F_{32} + F_{31} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_{32} = F_{31} \]

It didn’t really matter which one we called negative and which one we called positive, just that they have different signs. The separation between \( q_2 \) and \( q_3 \) is \( r_{23} \), and the separation between \( q_1 \) and \( q_3 \) is then \( 2 - r_{23} \). Now we just need to down the electric forces. We will keep everything perfectly general, and plug in actual numbers at the end ... this is always safer.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{k_3q_3q_2}{r_{23}^2} &= \frac{k_3q_3q_2}{(2 - r_{23})^2} \\
\frac{k_3q_3q_2}{r_{23}^2} &= \frac{k_3q_3q_1}{(2 - r_{23})^2} \\
q_2 &= \frac{q_1}{(2 - r_{23})^2}
\end{align*}
\]

Note how this doesn’t depend at all on the actual magnitude or sign of the charge in the middle! From here, there are two ways to proceed. We could cross-multiply, use the quadratic formula, and that would be that. On the other hand, since we know that \( q_3 \) is supposed to be between the other two charges, then \( r_{23} \) must be positive, and less than 2. That means that we can just take the square root of both sides of the equation above without problem, since neither side
would be negative afterward. Using this approach first:

\[
\frac{q_2}{r_{23}^2} = \frac{q_1}{(2 - r_{23})^2}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{q_2}}{r_{23}} = \frac{\sqrt{q_1}}{2 - r_{23}}
\]

Now we can cross-multiply, and solve the resulting linear equation:

\[
\sqrt{q_2} (2 - r_{23}) = \sqrt{q_1} r_{23}
\]

\[
2\sqrt{q_2} - \sqrt{q_2} r_{23} = \sqrt{q_1} r_{23}
\]

\[
2\sqrt{q_2} = (\sqrt{q_2} + \sqrt{q_1}) r_{23}
\]

\[
r_{23} = \frac{2\sqrt{q_2}}{\sqrt{q_2} + \sqrt{q_1}}
\]

Plugging in the numbers we were given (and noting that all the units cancel):

\[
r_{23} = \frac{2\sqrt{q_2}}{\sqrt{q_2} + \sqrt{q_1}} = \frac{2\sqrt{6} \, \mu C}{\sqrt{6} \, \mu C + \sqrt{15} \, \mu C} = \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{6} + \sqrt{15}} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5}} \approx 0.77 \text{ m}
\]

For that very last step, we factored out \(\sqrt{3}\) from the top and the bottom. An unnecessary step if you are using a calculator anyway, but we prefer to stay in practice.

The more general solution is to go back before we took the square root of both sides of the equation and solve it completely:

\[
\frac{q_2}{r_{23}^2} = \frac{q_1}{(2 - r_{23})^2}
\]

\[
q_2 (2 - r_{23})^2 = q_1 r_{23}^2
\]

\[
q_2 (4 - 4r_{23} + r_{23}^2) = q_1 r_{23}^2
\]

\[
(q_2 - q_1) r_{23}^2 - 4q_2 r_{23} + 4q_2 = 0
\]

Now we just have to solve the quadratic ...

\[
r_{23} = \frac{4q_2 \pm \sqrt{(-4q_2)^2 - 4(4q_2 - q_1) \cdot 4q_2}}{2(q_1 - q_2)} \text{ m}
\]

\[
= \frac{4 \cdot 6 \, \mu C \pm \sqrt{(-4 \cdot 6 \, \mu C)^2 - 4(6 \, \mu C - 15 \, \mu C) \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \, \mu C}}{2(6 \, \mu C - 15 \, \mu C)} \text{ m}
\]

We can cancel all of the \(\mu C\) ...

\[\text{xviThis would not work if we wanted the point to the left of } q_2.\]
\[ r_{23} = \frac{24 \pm \sqrt{24^2 - 4(-9)(4)(6)}}{2(-9)} \text{ m} \]
\[ = \frac{24 \pm \sqrt{24^2 + 36(24)}}{-18} \text{ m} \]
\[ = \frac{-24 \mp \sqrt{1440}}{18} \text{ m} \]
\[ = (0.775, -3.44) \text{ m} \]

Just as we expected: one solution \( r_{23} = 0.775 \text{ m} \) is right between the two charges, a little bit closer to the smaller charge. What about the positive solution? This corresponds to a position far away from both charges 3.44 m to the left of \( q_2 \). As stated above, the forces act in the same direction outside of the middle region, and cannot cancel! This solution is physically impossible, just an artifact of the mathematics. We specified originally that the equations were only good for the middle region, so if we get an answer that falls outside we must discard it as outside the scope of our equations.

Our equations as we have written them do not take into account the fact that the fields change direction on one side of a charge versus the other. Properly speaking, outside the middle region between the positive charges, we should write \( F_{32} = -F_{31} \) since the forces act in the same direction. Try repeating the problem starting there, and you will find that there are no real (non-imaginary) solutions outside the middle region - two positive forces cannot add up to zero.

Remember: in the end, we always need to make sure that the solutions are physically sensible in addition to being mathematically correct.
Electrical Energy and Capacitance

Potential energy and the principle of conservation of energy often let us solve difficult problems without dealing with the forces involved directly. More to the point, using an energy-based approach to problem solving let us work with scalars instead of vectors. This way we get to deal with just plain numbers, which is nice.

In this chapter, we will learn that, as with the gravitational field, the electric field has an associated potential and potential energy. The electric potential will, in many cases, let us solve problems more easily than with the electric field and, as it turns out, electric potential is what we normally identify with 'voltage' in everyday life.

4.1 Electrical Potential Energy

The work done on an object by a conservative force, such as the electric force, depends only on the initial and final positions of the object, not on the path taken between initial and final states. For example, the work done by gravity depends only on the change in height. When a force is conservative, it means that there exists a potential energy function, \( PE \), which gives the potential energy of an object subject to this conservative force which depends only on the object’s position. Potential energy is sometimes called the “energy of configuration” since it only depends on the position of objects in a system. Thus, for the conservative electric force, we can find a change in electrical potential energy just by knowing the starting and final configurations of the system we are studying – nothing in between matters.

As you know, potential energy is a scalar quantity, and the change in potential energy is equal to the work done by a conservative force.

\[
\Delta PE = PE_f - PE_i = -W_F
\]  

(4.1)

where the subscripts \( f(i) \) refer to the final (initial position), and \( W_F \) is the work done by the conservative force \( \vec{F} \).

This is just how you dealt with gravity – moving an object of mass \( m \) through a vertical displacement \( h \) gives a changes in potential energy \( \Delta PE = mgh \). Electrical forces and gravitational
forces have a number of useful similarities, as you now know, and the same is true for their respective potential energies.

The Electric Force is Conservative:
1. We can define an electrical potential
2. There is potential energy associated with the presence of an electric field
3. Electric potential is potential energy per unit charge

Consider a small positive test charge \( q \) in a uniform electric field \( \vec{E} \), as shown in Figure 4.2. As the charge moves from point \( A \) to point \( B \), covering a displacement \( \Delta x = x_f - x_i \), the work done on the charge by the electric field is the component of the force \( \vec{F}_e = q\vec{E} \) parallel to the displacement \( \Delta x \):

\[
\Delta W_{AB} = \vec{F}_e \cdot \Delta \vec{x} = |\vec{F}_e| |\Delta x| \cos \theta = qE_x (x_f - x_i) = qE_x \Delta x
\]

where \( q \) is the charge, \( E_x \) is the component of the electric field \( \vec{E} \) along the direction of displacement, and \( \theta \) is the angle between the force \( \vec{F}_e \) and the displacement \( \Delta \vec{x} \) (of length \( \Delta x \)).

Note that \( q, E_x, \) and \( \Delta x \) can all be either positive or negative. Also recall that \( E_x \) is the \( x \)-component of the electric field \( \vec{E} \), not the magnitude! Equation 4.2 is valid for the work done on a charge by any constant electric field, no matter what the direction of the field, or sign of the charge. Just remember that the angle between the field and displacement does matter!

Figure 4.2: When a charge \( q \) moves in a uniform electric field \( \vec{E} \) from point \( A \) to point \( B \), covering a distance \( \Delta x \), the work done on the charge by the electric force is \( qE_x \Delta x \).

Now that we have found the work done by the electric field, the work-energy theorem gives us the potential energy change:

\[^1\text{At this point you may want to remind yourself about the scalar or "dot" product, } \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} = |A||B|\cos \theta_{AB}, \text{ where } \theta_{AB} \text{ is the angle between } \vec{A} \text{ and } \vec{B}.\]
The change in electric potential energy $\Delta PE$ of an object with charge $q$ moving through a displacement $\Delta x$ in a constant electric field $\vec{E}$ is:

$$\Delta PE = -W_{AB} = -q|\vec{E}| \Delta \vec{x} \cos \theta = -qE \Delta x$$ (4.3)

where the quantities are defined as in Eq. 4.2.

Remember, just like any other work, the work done involving the electric force only counts the displacement parallel to the force. You can find the component of the field parallel to the full displacement, or find the component of the displacement parallel to the field – it is the same thing. Figure 4.3 compares a charge moving in an electric field to a mass moving in a gravitational field. A positive charge moving in an electric field acts much like a mass moving in a gravitational field: the positive charge at point $A$ falls in the direction of the field, just as the mass does. This lowers its potential energy, and increases its kinetic energy.

Assuming other forces are absent, we can also find the kinetic energy change through conservation of energy. Since both the electrical and gravitational forces are conservative, we can find the changes in kinetic and potential energy in both cases and compare them. In both situations, the change in potential energy must be equal and opposite the change in kinetic energy for energy to be conserved\[ii\]:

$$KE_i + PE_i = KE_f + PE_f$$ (4.4)

$$(KE_f - KE_i) = -(PE_f - PE_i)$$ (4.5)

$$\Delta KE = -\Delta PE$$ (4.6)

$$\Delta KE + \Delta PE = 0$$ (4.7)

For the gravitational case, we have done this a million times for an object of mass $m$ starting at a height $d$ and ending at a height defined as 0:

$$\Delta KE + \Delta PE_G = \Delta KE + (0 - mgd) = 0$$ (4.8)

$$\Rightarrow \Delta KE = mgd$$ (4.9)

For the electrical case, it is not much more difficult. We will move a charge $q$ through an electric field $E$:

\[\text{ii} The subscripts } i \text{ and } f \text{ refer to initial and final, as usual.}]}
4.2 Electric Potential

\[ \Delta KE + \Delta PE_E = \Delta KE + (0 - qE_d d) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

\[ \implies \Delta KE = qE_d d \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

Here \( d \) is the distance moved in the electric field \( \mathbf{E} \), and \( E_d \) is the component of the electric field parallel to the direction of motion. For positive charges, electric potential energy works just like gravitational potential energy. Since mass comes only in one flavor, while charge comes in positive and negative varieties, this is not the whole story, however. For a negative charge, we have to substitute \(-q\) for \( q \) in the equations above - rather than falling in the electric field like the positive charge, the negative charge wants to move upward. In other words, the negative charge “falls up” compared to a positive charge.

In order to make a negative charge move downward we would have to do work against the electric field. Remember that positive charges like to follow the direction of the electric field lines, while negative charges like to go against them. For the positive charge in Figure 4.3, we are moving the charge in the direction it wants to go. For a negative charge in the same situation, we are moving the charge against the direction it wants to go. The negative charge has a positive change in electrical potential energy moving from point \( A \) to point \( B \), meaning kinetic energy has to be lost to make this happen. The positive charge has a negative change in potential energy moving from point \( A \) to point \( B \), meaning kinetic energy will be gained by doing this.

### Figure 4.3

(a) When an electric field \( \mathbf{E} \) is directed downward, point \( B \) has a lower electrical potential energy than point \( A \). As a positive test charge moves from \( A \) to \( B \), the electrical potential energy decreases. (b) An object of mass \( m \) moves in the direction of the gravitational field \( \mathbf{g} \), the gravitational potential energy decreases.

#### 4.2 Electric Potential

In Chapter 3 it was convenient to define \( \mathbf{E} \) related to the electric force, \( \text{viz.}, \mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{E} \). This let us think about individual charges one at a time, even when our system was a collection of several charges, and discard the idea of “action at a distance.” For the same reasons, we would like to define a variation of the electrical potential energy per unit charge, so we may think about how much potential energy would be gained or lost by a single charge present in an electric field.

---

iii This is similar to the chemical potential in a way, if you are familiar with that.
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This quantity is the electric potential difference $\Delta V$, and it is related to potential energy by $\Delta PE = q\Delta V$.

The electric potential difference $\Delta V$ between points $A$ and $B$ is the change in electric potential energy between those two points divided by the quantity of charge moving $Q$:

\[ \Delta V = V_B - V_A = \frac{\Delta PE}{q} \quad \text{or} \quad q\Delta V = \Delta PE \quad (4.12) \]

where $V_B$ is the potential at point $B$ and $V_A$ is the potential at point $A$.

Electric potential is measured in Joules per Coulomb, otherwise known as Volts. Just like gravitational potential, electric potential is a scalar quantity. It is essentially a measure of the change in electric potential energy per unit charge. By definition, it takes 1 J to move 1 C worth of charge between two points with a potential difference of 1 V. If a 1 C charge moves through a potential difference of 1 V, it gains 1 J of potential energy.

**Units of $V$ and $\Delta V$:** [J/C] (Joules per Coulomb) or [V] (Volts)

Consider the special case of a single charge $q$ moving through a region of constant electric field, such as the area between two parallel charged plates (Fig. 3.9). If the displacement of the charge $\Delta x$ is perfectly parallel to the electric field, we can divide Equation 4.3 by $q$ to find the potential difference $\Delta V$:

\[
\text{Single charge } q \text{ in a constant electric field } \vec{E} \\
\Delta V = \frac{\Delta PE}{q} = -|\vec{E}| |\Delta \vec{x}| \cos \theta = -E_x \Delta x \quad (4.13)
\]

where the quantities are defined as in Eq. 4.2.

This lets us see that potential difference also has units of electric field times distance. This makes sense in a way, since for there to be an electrical potential difference we pretty much have to move through an electric field. Since electric field has the units of newtons per coulomb ($\text{N/C}$), we can make the following observation:

A newton (N) per coulomb (C) equals a volt (V) per meter (m): $1 \text{N/C} = 1 \text{V/m}$

If we release a positive charge, it spontaneously accelerates from regions of high potential to low potential - positive charges seek out minima in the electric potential. Conversely, negative charges

---

\[iv\] The gravitational potential is the potential energy per unit mass, which is just $gh$ for terrestrial cases, or $\frac{-Gm}{r}$ for the more general case. We would say that the potential energy difference between two points whose height differs by $h$ is $mgh$, while the potential difference is just $gh$. 

---
seek out maxima in electric potential. **Work must be done on** positive charges to move them toward higher potential, work must be done on **negative charges** to move them to regions of lower potential.

### 4.2.1 Electric Potential and Potential Energy due to Point Charges

As described briefly in Sect. 3.2.1.1 in electric circuits the zero point of electric potential \( V = 0 \) is defined by a “ground” wire connecting some point in the circuit to the earth. In a sense, defining a precise point at which \( V = 0 \) through a ground wire is a bit like choosing an origin in a coordinate system. It can be anywhere you like, but you have to have one! For example, connecting the negative terminal of a 9 V battery to the ground would define the negative terminal as \( V = 0 \), and the positive terminal would be at +9 V. If, on the other hand, we connected the positive terminal to ground, it would have \( V = 0 \) and the negative terminal would have \(-9 \) V. In a way, the potential difference of the battery of 9 V well-defined, but the absolute potentials are not until a zero point is chosen.

For point charges, the electric field is defined throughout space, except right at the charge, and it works the same way for its electric potential. There is no obvious place to call “zero.” Further, we cannot connect a tiny ground wire to a single electron! (What could we make the wire out of ...) In the end, we nearly always, we define the potential for a point charge to be zero an infinite distance from the charge itself. This is actually convenient, believe it or not, and it makes clear the fact that the only way to get rid of the potential due to a point charge is to completely banish the charge itself. With this definition and some calculus, the electric potential of a point charge \( q \) at a distance \( r \) from the charge can be found as:

**Electric potential created by a point charge:**

\[
V = k_e \frac{q}{r} \tag{4.14}
\]

where \( r \) is the distance from the point charge \( q \), and \( k_e \) is Coulomb’s constant (Eq. 3.2).

This gives us the electric potential – work per unit charge – required to move the charge \( q \) from an infinite distance away to a point \( r \). Figure 4.4 plots for comparison the electric field and electric potential for a point charge as a function of the distance from the charge.
Keep in mind: you can only measure differences in electric potential. Some reference point must always be defined as \( V = 0 \). For a point charge, this is \( r = \infty \), for a circuit it is a specific point in the circuit.

One quick point, to clear up any later confusion: when dealing with point charges like electrons in electric fields, or atoms in a crystal (e.g., in nuclear or atomic physics, and sometimes inorganic chemistry), we often use a more convenient unit of energy, the electron volt.

An Electron Volt \([\text{eV}]\) is the kinetic energy an electron gains when accelerated through a potential difference of 1 V.

\[
1 \text{ eV} = 1.60 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} \cdot \text{V} = 1.60 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}
\]

We will encounter the electron volt more and more as time goes on, it turns out to be quite convenient when worrying about small numbers of charges.

4.2.2 Energy of a System of Charges

Electric potential also obeys the superposition principle, just like the electric force. The total electric potential at some point due to several point charges is just the sum of the electric potentials due to the individual point charges. Since electric potential is a scalar, we do not need to worry about components, electric potentials are just numbers.

Figure 4.5 shows a “3-d” plot of the electric potential of an electric dipole (one positive charge and one negative charge close together, as in Fig. 3.6), where the color height scale represents the magnitude of the electric potential. As expected from the superposition principle, the potential is zero right between the two charges, and becomes very large near each charge, as does the electric field (Fig. 3.6).

From Eq. 4.12, we can see that it is easy to convert between electric potential and electric potential energy. What about the potential energy of two charges? If \( V_1 \) is the potential due to a charge \( q_1 \) at a point \( P \), the work required to bring a charge \( q_2 \) from infinity to the point \( P \) is \( q_2 V_1 \), as shown in Fig. 4.6. That is, \( q_2 V_1 \) is the energy it took to configure our system with charge \( q_2 \) at point \( P \), and how much energy would be gained or lost by completely removing \( q_2 \). Similarly, if \( q_2 \) is fixed in place, it takes \( q_1 V_2 \) to bring \( q_1 \) in from an infinite distance to its final position.

This means that configuring two charges close to one another entails a gain or loss of energy – each charges feels the potential from the
other. Bringing charges close together means energy is gained or lost to make that happen, and that energy is the potential energy of the pair of charges – how much energy is tied up in keeping those two charges where they are. For example, if two positive charges are to be kept close together against their natural repulsion, energy should be supplied to keep them together. If a positive and negative charge are to be kept together, energy should be supplied to keep them apart.

Now we see that potential energy really is the energy it takes to configure the system under study. Figure 4.6 also illustrates the difference between the potential of a the separate point charges, and the potential energy of the pair of point charges. If \( q_1 \) is already fixed its position, but \( q_2 \) is at infinity, the work that must be done to bring \( q_2 \) from infinity to its position near \( q_1 \) is

\[
PE = q_2 V_1 = k_e q_1 q_2 / r_{12}
\]

That is what the potential energy is, the energy of this configuration of charges relative to just having \( q_1 \) all by itself. If \( q_2 \) is fixed, it also takes

\[
PE = k_e q_1 q_2 / r_{12}
\]

to bring in \( q_1 \). Thus, it takes

\[
PE = k_e q_1 q_2 / r_{12}
\]

to build our system of two charges, no matter how we do it:

\[
PE_{\text{two charges}} = PE_{\text{1 due to 2}} = PE_{\text{2 due to 1}} = q_2 V_1 = q_1 V_2 = k_e q_2 q_1 / r_{12}
\]

(4.15)

As mentioned above, if the charges are of the same sign, \( PE \) is positive, and work must be done by an external force to bring the charges together. If they are of opposite charges, \( PE \) is negative, and negative work must be done to keep the charges from accelerating toward each other as they are brought together. In other words, work must be done to keep the charges apart. Another way to view the potential energy of the pair of charges is to think about how much kinetic energy would be gained if we let one of them loose again. If we have a pair of charges with an electrical potential energy of, say, 1 J with both charges fixed, the charges can gain between them 1 J of kinetic energy after being let loose. If one stays fixed, the other gets a full 1 J. If both charges are identical and both move, they each get 0.5 J.

Figure 4.6: (a) If the charge \( q_1 \) is removed, a potential \( k_e q_2 / r_{12} \) exists at point \( P \) due to charge \( q_2 \) (b) Similarly, the charge \( q_1 \) gives a potential \( k_e q_1 / r_{12} \) at point \( P' \). (c) Either way we build our system of charges, the potential energy of the system of two charges is just \( q_2 V_1 = q_1 V_2 \), or \( k_e q_1 q_2 / r_{12} \).

What if we have several charges? Just to be concrete, take the system of three point charges in Figure 4.7. We can obtain the total potential energy of this system by calculating the \( PE \) for every pair combination of charges and adding the results together. Since potential and potential
energy are scalars, we don’t need to worry about components – this is just an algebraic sum:

\[ PE = PE_{1\&2} + PE_{2\&3} + PE_{1\&3} = PE_{2\&1} + PE_{3\&2} + PE_{3\&1} = k_e \left( \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}} + \frac{q_1 q_3}{r_{13}} + \frac{q_2 q_3}{r_{23}} \right) \] (4.16)

Figure 4.7: A system of three point charges. Finding the total potential energy is just a matter of adding up the potential of pair combinations of charges.

Note that it doesn’t matter what the order we sum them in, or if we transpose the labels – \( PE_{1\&2} \) is the same thing as \( PE_{2\&1} \), and \( r_{13} \) is the same as \( r_{31} \), just like the example with two charges above.

What does this really mean, physically? It is the same whether we have two charges or three or a million. What we are really summing up is the energy required to build this particular configuration of charges. Imagine that \( q_1 \) is fixed at the position shown in Figure 4.7 but that \( q_2 \) and \( q_3 \) are at infinity. The work that must be done to bring \( q_2 \) from infinity to its position near \( q_1 \) is \( PE_{1\&2} = k_e q_1 q_2 / r_{12} \), which is the first term in Equation 4.16. The last two terms represent the work required to bring \( q_3 \) from infinity to its position near \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \), which involves the interaction with \( q_1 \) (the second term in Equation 4.16) and the interaction with \( q_2 \) (the third term in Equation 4.16). Compare this with Equation 4.15. Again, the result is independent of the order in which the charges are moved in from infinity.

We can write this more succinctly as a sum over all the charges:

\[ PE = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{3} \frac{k_e q_i q_j}{r_{ij}} \] (4.17)

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{k_e q_2 q_1}{r_{21}} + \frac{k_e q_3 q_1}{r_{31}} + \frac{k_e q_1 q_2}{r_{12}} + \frac{k_e q_3 q_2}{r_{32}} + \frac{k_e q_1 q_3}{r_{13}} + \frac{k_e q_2 q_3}{r_{23}} \right) \] (4.18)

\[ = k_e \left( \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}} + \frac{q_1 q_3}{r_{13}} + \frac{q_2 q_3}{r_{23}} \right) \] (4.19)

Here we color-coded the like terms for clarity. Basically, first we pick some charge \( j \), and sum over all its pairings with the other charges \( i \), making sure not to pair the charge with itself. Here we

\[ ^v \text{If you are into the math, that means we sum over all possible combinations, } ^nC_k, \text{ not permutations, } ^nP_k, \text{ so we do not count any pair more than once.} \]
have the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ because the sum as written would count every pair of charges twice – since the pair 1&3 is the same as the pair 3&1. Think about that for a second, and reassure yourself that the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is necessary. (If you are not familiar with summations, don’t worry. We will only ever deal with a few charges at once.) For any arbitrary number of charges $N$, we can just change the limits on the sum:

$$PE_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{k_{e}q_{i}q_{j}}{r_{ij}}$$

(4.20)

The double-sum notation above means “take the charge $j=1$, and sum over all the other charges $i=2, 3, 4, \ldots N$, then take the charge $j=2$, and sum over the other charges $i=1, 3, 4 \ldots N$, and so on, until $j=N$.” Again, this counts every pair twice, hence the factor $\frac{1}{2}$.

### 4.2.2.1 Electrical Energy in a Crystal Lattice

What good is being able to find the energy of a large number of charges? Well, for one, this is one way to compute the stability of various crystal lattices. As an example, let us calculate the potential energy of eight negative charges on the corners of a cube of side $b$, with a single positive charge in the center. We will say each negative charge has $-e$, while the single positive charge is $+e$, Fig. 4.8 We can readily sum over all the possible pair interactions in the crystal, after a bit of geometry to figure out the distances between pairs.

For this crystal, we have 12 pairs of negative charges that are just one edge of the cube apart, twelve pairings between negative charges sideways across the cube faces, eight pairings between the negative corner charges and the central positive charge, and four corner to corner pairings of negative charges. This is illustrated in Fig. 4.8 Standard geometry tells us that the distance between edge charges is just $b$, the distance from corner to center is $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}b$, the corner-corner distance across a cube face is $\sqrt{2}b$, and finally the distance between opposite corner charges is $\sqrt{3}b$. The sum over all pairs is then:

$$PE_{\text{crystal}} = 8 \times \left[ \frac{k_{e}(-e \cdot e)}{(\sqrt{3}/2)b} \right] + 12 \times \left[ \frac{k_{e}e^{2}}{b} \right] + 12 \times \left[ \frac{k_{e}e^{2}}{\sqrt{2}b} \right] + 4 \times \left[ \frac{k_{e}e^{2}}{\sqrt{3}b} \right] \approx \frac{13.55ke^{2}}{b}$$

(4.21)

Figure 4.8 shows where each term in the sum comes from. Though this seems a bit complicated,
think about how hard it would be to compute the forces for every pair of charges and find the resultant vector force! We would have to do that for every stage of construction of the crystal, a tedious task at best. The relatively simple potential energy calculation above is a powerful way to address the amount of energy tied up in maintaining a particular charge distribution.

In this case, note that the total energy of this crystal lattice is positive, representing the fact that work had to be done on the crystal to assemble it in the first place. Left to its own devices, the charges in the crystal would want to disassemble. If we did let these charges move apart again, they would recover the potential energy as kinetic energy and speed away. This makes sense – it is silly to expect that real crystals are made of mostly negative charges, when we know that they are neutral overall. In reality, crystals are made of an equal number of positive and negative charges, which in many cases leads to a negative potential energy, indicating that the charges actually lower their energy by assembling into a crystal, and therefore favor doing so.

It is also curious that the potential energy sum for our cubic crystal ends up being a constant factor (about 13.55 times) what it would be for just a single pair of point charges separated by a distance $b$. In general, this is true for nearly any crystal lattice we can construct – the energy will always be some multiple of what for a single pair of charges. The multiple itself – in this case 13.55 – divided by the total number of charges is known as Madelung’s constant, and every sort of crystal lattice has its own particular Madelung constant. The Madelung constant only depends on the geometric arrangement of the constituent ions in the crystal structure. Basically, the Madelung constant is something you look up in a table that takes care of all the nasty summing for you – someone has already done it! In general we can the potential energy of a crystal like this:

$$PE_{\text{crystal}} = \frac{1}{2} MN \frac{k_e z^2 e^2}{r} \quad (4.22)$$

here $M$ is the Madelung constant, $N$ is the number of charges we are considering, $z$ is the charge of the ions in the lattice (±1 in this case), and $r$ is their separation. By inspection, you can see that for our cubic crystal, $13.55 = \frac{1}{2} MN$. Since there are $N = 9$ charges in our example, our Madelung constant is $2(13.55)/10 = 2.71$.

If we take the structure of NaCl (common salt or rocksalt), the so-called face-centered cubic structure shown in Fig. 4.9a, the Madelung number ends up being about −1.75 if you carefully take the limit of the sum for very large $N$. The rocksalt structure has alternating positive Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ ions, arranged in a face-centered cubic structure. Overall, it is electrically neutral, and the negative potential energy reflects the stability of the structure. The negative sign shows that work would have to be done to take the NaCl crystal apart – it is intrinsically stable. This is in contrast to our fictitious body-centered cubic case above. Since our cubic crystal is mostly made of negative charges, it is not stable, and work has to be done to assemble it. The NaCl structure, however, has an equal number of positive and negative charges, and the negative potential energy sum explains the cohesion of the crystal and the fact that NaCl spontaneously assembles when Na
and Cl are mixed. The Na and Cl constituents can lower their overall energy by assembling into a crystal, and that is what they do when given half a chance. The more negative the Madelung constant, the more stable the crystal is, if everything else is the same.

As another example, consider the Rutile (TiO$_2$) structure in Fig. 4.9b. In this case, the Madelung number is $-4.82$, suggesting that rutile structure materials should be quite stable, and they generally are. There is one problem with all of this, however. Based on the analysis above, shrinking the distance $b$ between charges in the crystal should make the potential energy even more negative. In other words, the smaller the spacing, the more stable the crystal would be. If that were true, why would the crystal not just keep shrinking until it collapsed? In fact, it can be shown that no system of stationary charges can be in a stable equilibrium according to classical physics. We need quantum physics to explain why, e.g., salt crystals do not spontaneously shrink, and how crystals are stable in the first place.

### 4.3 Potentials and charged conductors

So the work done on a charge by an electric force is related to the change in electric potential energy of the charge. We also know that the change in electric potential energy between points $A$ and $B$ must be related to the potential difference between those two points. Putting these two facts together, we can easily relate work and potential difference:

\[
-W = \Delta PE = q (V_B - V_A)
\]  

where $V_B$ is the electrical potential at $B$, and $V_A$ is the electrical potential at $A$.

In Chapter 3, we said that for a conductor in electrostatic equilibrium, net charge resides only on the conductor’s surface. Moreover, we said that the electric field just outside the surface of the conductor is perpendicular to the surface, and that the field inside the conductor is zero. This also means that all points on the surface of a charged conductor in electrostatic equilibrium are at the same potential.
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4.4 Equipotential Surfaces

Equation [4.23] gives us a very general result: no net work is required to move a charge between two points which are at the same electric potential. Mathematically, $W=0$ whenever $V_B=V_A$.

Consider the path connecting points $A$ and $B$ along the surface of the conductor in Figure 4.10. If we move only along the conductor’s surface, the electric field $\vec{E}$ is always perpendicular to our path. Since the electric field and displacement are always perpendicular, no work is done when moving along the surface of a conductor. Equation [4.23] then tells us that if the work is zero, points $A$ and $B$ must be at the same potential, $V_B-V_A=0$. Since the path we have chosen is completely arbitrary, this means it is true for any two points on the surface.

**Potentials and charged conductors**

1. electric potential is a constant on the surface
2. electric potential is constant inside, and has the same as the value at the surface
3. no work is required to move a charge from the interior to the surface, or between two points on the surface

Of course, this only holds for perfect conductors. If other dissipative (or non-conservative) forces are present, this is not true, and work is required to move the charge in the presence of a dissipative force. The electrical analog of friction or viscosity is resistance, which will be treated in the next chapter.

4.4 Equipotential Surfaces

A surface on which all points are at the same electric potential is called an *equipotential surface*. The potential difference between any two points on the surface is zero, hence, **no work is required to move a charge at constant speed on an equipotential surface**. The surface of a conductor is therefore an equipotential surface. Equipotential surfaces have a simple relationship to the $\vec{E}$ field: the field is perpendicular to the equipotential surface at every point. Figure [4.11] shows equipotential surfaces and electric field lines for a single point charge, a dipole, and two like charges. Notice that once you have drawn electric field lines, drawing equipotential surfaces is trivial, and *vice versa*. 

---
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4.5 Voltage Sources as Circuit Elements

How do we actually change the potential or voltage of one object relative to another? Charging by induction or conduction are two ways, but somewhat cumbersome. A device known as a *voltage source* is a circuit element with two terminals, where a constant voltage difference is supplied between these two terminals. Whatever you connect to the “negative” terminal of the voltage source

More examples are given in Fig. 4.12, which include conductors. For a conductor, we know the electric field inside is zero, and the electric potential is constant. Add to this the fact that electric field lines and equipotential lines are always perpendicular where they meet, and you should be able to explain all of the examples shown here. This why in the right-hand example, a single charge above a ground plane, the electric field lines all intersect the ground plane at perfect right angles, and in the left-hand example, there are no lines inside the conducting sphere. Compare these figures with Fig. 3.9 – the relationship between electric field lines and equipotential lines should be clear. Appendix B might give you a bit more insight as to why the electric field lines and equipotential lines behave the way they do. Recall from Sect. 3.5 that *a conductors are mirrors for electric field lines, the same is true for the equipotential lines.*
source will have a voltage $\Delta V$ lower than the “positive” terminal. Using a “ground” point (recall Sect. 3.2.1.1), one can also experimentally define one of the terminals as $V = 0$. If we “ground” the negative terminal, then the negative terminal is $V_{\text{neg}} = 0$, and the positive terminal has $V_{\text{pos}} = \Delta V$.

We will see much more of this in the coming chapters, and it will begin to make more sense!

Batteries are one example of a constant voltage source, which we will cover in more detail in Chapter 6, and the wall outlets in your house are another example of a voltage source (though this voltage is not strictly constant, see Chapter 9). Ideal textbook voltage sources always supply a constant potential difference, $\Delta V$. Real voltage sources always have restrictions, a primary one being the amount of power that can be sourced. Below are circuit diagram symbols for constant voltage sources: the first two represents batteries, the last is a generic symbol for any more complicated sort of voltage source:

\begin{center}
Circuit diagram symbol for voltage sources:
\begin{itemize}
  \item Batteries: \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm}
  \item General constant voltage source: \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm}
\end{itemize}
\end{center}

Now that we know a bit about voltage and conductors, we are moving closer to being able to describe simple electric circuits. Presently, we will introduce our first real circuit element, the capacitor.

### 4.6 Capacitance

A capacitor is an electronic component used to store electric charge, it is used in essentially any electric circuit you can name. Capacitors are at the heart of both Random Access Memory (RAM) and flash memory, besides being crucial for nearly any sort of power supply. It is one of the fundamental building blocks for electronics, and the first we will meet. Figure 4.13 shows a typical design for a capacitor – two metal plates with some special stuff in between. It is hard to believe complicated devices like computers rely on such a simple construction, but it is true!

A typical capacitor consist of two parallel metal plates, separated by a distance $d$. When used in a circuit, the plates are connected to the positive and negative terminals of a voltage source such as a battery. An ideal voltage source insists that the two plates have a voltage difference of $\Delta V$, and this has

![Figure 4.13](image-url)

**Figure 4.13:** A parallel-plate capacitor consists of two conducting plates of area $A$, separated by a distance $d$. The capacitance of this structure is $C = \varepsilon_0 A/d$. 
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the effect of pulling electrons off of one plate, leaving it with a net positive charge $+Q$, and transferring these electrons to the second plate, leaving it with a net negative charge $-Q$. The charge on both plates is equal, but opposite in sign. Essentially, putting the two plates at different potentials means electrons want to migrate to the plate with higher potential, and leave the plate with lower potential deficient.

The transfer of charge between the plates stops when the potential difference across the plates is the same as the potential difference of the voltage source. *The capacitor stores this potential difference, and hence stores electrical energy, until some later time when it can be reclaimed for a specific application.* You can think of this as energy storage from one point of view, or a time-delayed response from another.

**Keep in mind** (again): you can only measure differences in electric potential. Some reference point must always be defined as $V = 0$. In the case of the capacitor connected only to a battery (without any ground points), the potential is zero half way between the two plates.$^\text{vi}$

![Definition of Capacitance](image)

**Definition of Capacitance:**

The capacitance $C$ is the ratio of the charge stored on one conductor (or the other) to the potential difference between the conductors:

$$C \equiv \frac{Q}{\Delta V}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.24)

$C$ is always positive, and has units of farads $[\text{F}]$, or coulombs per volt $[\text{C/V}]$.

### 4.6.1 Parallel-Plate Capacitors

The capacitance of a particular arrangement of two conductors depends on their geometry and relative arrangement. One common (and simple) structure is the parallel plate capacitor, as shown in Figure 4.13. In Chapter 3 we stated without proof (but not without good reason) that the electric field between two parallel plates is constant. But what is the field in between the plates?

First, we assume that the two plates are identical, such that they have the same charge on them – one has $+Q$ and one has $-Q$. Second, we assume the plates area $A$ is large compared to their spacing $d$, such that we can ignore the edge regions where the field “fringes” (see Fig. 3.9 and 4.14). Finally, we will connected the plates to a battery with total voltage $V$.

In Sect. 3.8.4 we found that the electric field above a flat conducting plate is given by $E = \sigma_E / \epsilon_0$, where $\sigma_E$ is the charge per unit area on the plate. Since the total charge on each plate is just $Q$, the charge per unit area is $\sigma_E = Q/A$, and $Q = \sigma_E A$. This leads us to a more useful expression for the field: $E = \frac{Q}{\epsilon_0 A}$. Again, this is not valid near the edges of the plates where the field is not really constant.

$^\text{vi}$The potential is also zero infinitely far away of course, but this is hardly useful or reassuring when wiring a circuit.
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Now where the field is constant, we know that the potential difference between the two plates is \( \Delta V = Ed \), where \( d \) is the distance between the two plates. Combining this with the facts above, we can find the capacitance of the parallel plate capacitor from Equation 4.24:

\[
C = \frac{Q}{\Delta V} = \frac{\sigma E A}{Ed} = \frac{\sigma E A}{(\sigma E/\epsilon_0) d} = \frac{\sigma E A}{(\sigma E/\epsilon_0) d} = \epsilon_0 \frac{A}{d}
\]  

(4.25)

**Capacitance of a parallel plate capacitor:**

\[
C = \epsilon_0 \frac{A}{d}
\]  

(4.26)

where \( d \) is the spacing between the plates, and \( A \) is the area of the plates.

We can see from Equation 4.26 that capacitors can store more charge when the plates become larger. The same is true when the plates get close together. When the plates are closer together, the opposing charges exert a stronger force on each other, allowing more charge to be stored on the plates. From Equation 4.24, a capacitor of value \( C \) at a potential difference of \( \Delta V \) stores a charge \( Q = C \Delta V \).

Figure 4.14 shows more realistic field lines for a parallel plate capacitor. In between the two plates, the field is very nearly constant, but much less so near the edges of the plates. So long as the plates are relatively large compared to their separation, we can for practical purposes ignore this complication, and our capacitance calculated from Eq. 4.26 will be very accurate.

![Figure 4.14](image)

Capacitors form the basis for several types of Random Access Memory (RAM) in modern computers. Dynamic random access memory (DRAM) is one type of random access memory that stores each bit of data in a separate capacitor. One capacitor in a DRAM structure holds one bit of information (a “1” or a “0”). When the capacitor has charge stored in it, the bit is a “1,” and when there is no charge stored the bit is a “0.” Flash memory works in a roughly similar manner.
4.6.2 Energy stored in capacitors

Capacitors store electrical energy. Anyone who has worked with electronic equipment long enough has verified this one painful way or another. If the plates of a charged capacitor are connected to a conducting object, the capacitor will transfer charge from one plate to another until it is discharged. This is often seen as a “spark” if the capacitor was charged to a high enough voltage. Given that humans are reasonably good conductors at high voltages, this can be a problem.

Charged capacitors store energy, and that energy is the work required to move the charge onto the plates. If a capacitor is initially uncharged (both plates neutral), very little work is required to move a charge $\Delta Q$ from one plate to another across the separation $d$. As soon as this charge is moved, however, a potential difference $\Delta V = \Delta Q/C$ appears between the plates. This potential difference means that work must be done to move additional charges onto the plates. Combining what we know so far, and assuming a constant electric field between the plates, the work that needs to be done to move the first bit of charge $\Delta Q$ has to be:

\[
\Delta P E = -\Delta W = \Delta Q \cdot E \Delta x = \Delta Q \cdot E d = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \Delta Q \sigma_E d
\]

But we know that $\sigma_E = \frac{\Delta Q}{A}$, and thus $\Delta Q = \sigma_E A$, which simplifies things:

\[
\Delta P E = \Delta Q \Delta Q \frac{d}{\epsilon_0 A}
\]

Since $C = \frac{\epsilon_0 A}{d}$ for our parallel plate capacitor,

\[
\Delta P E = \frac{(\Delta Q)(\Delta Q)}{C}
\]

\[\text{Figure 4.15: Each bit of charge } \Delta Q_i \text{ transferred through a voltage } \Delta V_i \text{ contributes a bit of potential energy } P E_i = \Delta V_i \Delta Q_i. \text{ Summing all those contributions to get the total energy stored is the same as finding the total area of the shaded region. If we make } \Delta V_i \text{ and } \Delta Q_i \text{ tiny enough, the area is basically a triangle, and in total } P E = \frac{1}{2} Q \Delta V.\]
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\[\text{Figure 4.15: Each bit of charge } \Delta Q_i \text{ transferred through a voltage } \Delta V_i \text{ contributes a bit of potential energy } P E_i = \Delta V_i \Delta Q_i. \text{ Summing all those contributions to get the total energy stored is the same as finding the total area of the shaded region. If we make } \Delta V_i \text{ and } \Delta Q_i \text{ tiny enough, the area is basically a triangle, and in total } P E = \frac{1}{2} Q \Delta V.\]

\[\text{Figure 4.15: Each bit of charge } \Delta Q_i \text{ transferred through a voltage } \Delta V_i \text{ contributes a bit of potential energy } P E_i = \Delta V_i \Delta Q_i. \text{ Summing all those contributions to get the total energy stored is the same as finding the total area of the shaded region. If we make } \Delta V_i \text{ and } \Delta Q_i \text{ tiny enough, the area is basically a triangle, and in total } P E = \frac{1}{2} Q \Delta V.\]

\[\text{Figure 4.15: Each bit of charge } \Delta Q_i \text{ transferred through a voltage } \Delta V_i \text{ contributes a bit of potential energy } P E_i = \Delta V_i \Delta Q_i. \text{ Summing all those contributions to get the total energy stored is the same as finding the total area of the shaded region. If we make } \Delta V_i \text{ and } \Delta Q_i \text{ tiny enough, the area is basically a triangle, and in total } P E = \frac{1}{2} Q \Delta V.\]

I once burned a small hole in my thumb by accidentally discharging a high-voltage capacitor across it while repairing a TV, for example. Capacitors can store dangerous amounts of energy if released at the wrong time!
If we keep doing this with more and more \( \Delta Q \)s, until we build up the total charge \( Q \), we can find the total work. As illustrated in Fig. 4.15 each little bit of charge \( \Delta Q_i \) adds a bit of potential energy \( \Delta V_i \Delta Q_i \). If we sum up all those contributions, we are really just finding the shaded area of the triangle on the graph. The area of a triangle is just \( \frac{1}{2} \) (base)(height), so the total change in potential energy is just

\[
|W| = |\Delta P E| = \frac{1}{2} Q \Delta V
\]

(4.33)

Remember that \( Q = C \Delta V \) must still be true, so we can write the energy stored in the capacitor in three different ways, as shown below (noting that energy stored = work done). For example, you can verify that a 5 \( \mu \)F capacitor charged with a 120 V source stores 3.6 mJ \((3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J})\).

### Energy stored in a capacitor:

\[
\text{Energy stored} = \frac{1}{2} Q \Delta V = \frac{1}{2} C (\Delta V)^2 = \frac{Q^2}{2C}
\]

(4.34)

Remember that the units of energy are **Joules**.

Is there an analogy for electrical energy storage? One way to store gravitational energy is simply to pump a large mass \( m \) of water up to a height \( \Delta y \), see Figure 4.16. Releasing the water at a later time releases the stored potential energy \( mg \Delta y \), which could be used to, *e.g.*, rotate a turbine. In fact, this is one way to store excess energy generated at off-peak times in power plants for later reclamation.

![Figure 4.16: (a) Raising a mass \( m \) of water to a height \( \Delta y \) above the ground stores an energy \( mg \Delta y \). (b) Charging a capacitor \( C \) with a potential difference \( \Delta V \) stores an energy \( \frac{1}{2} Q \Delta V = \frac{Q^2}{2C} \).](image)

### 4.6.3 Capacitors as Circuit Elements

Now that we know about a second circuit element, we can begin to make some simple circuits. As you might have gathered above, capacitors are often used in electrical circuits as energy-storage

---

\[^{\text{viii}}\text{This is a bit of a hand-waving derivation, but it doesn’t require any calculus like the more rigorous version does.}\]
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devices. As we will find out later, they can also be used to filter out high- and low-frequency signal selectively. The circuit diagram symbol for a capacitor is a reminder of the parallel plate geometry:

Circuit diagram symbol for a capacitor: $\frac{\cap \cap}{\cap \cap}$

What can we do only knowing about two circuit components, capacitors and batteries? Well, we can hook up a capacitor to a battery, as shown in Fig. 4.17.

What does this circuit do? The moment we connect the battery to the capacitor, charges will start to flow from one plate to another for time, until both plates are fully charged. Fully charged means that the potential difference between the two plates is the same as that at the battery terminals, $\Delta V$. After that ... nothing. The capacitor will just happily store these charges. If the capacitor is disconnected from the battery, the charges will remain on the two plates since they have no path to escape. The capacitor stays charged, thereby storing energy, so long as it is truly isolated. If one of the plates had a path to ground, for instance, the charges would leak away via this ground connection, and the energy would dissipate. In a rough sense, FLASH memory works by storing charges on very tiny, isolated conducting plates.

We cannot do very much with only capacitors and batteries, but we will remedy this in subsequent chapters. For now, there are a few more things we can figure out about capacitors.

4.6.4 Combinations of Capacitors

Two or more capacitors can be combined in circuits in many possible ways, but most reduce to two simple configurations: parallel and series. Two capacitors in series or in parallel can be reduced to a single equivalent capacitance, and more complicated arrangements can be viewed as combinations of series and parallel capacitors.

4.6.4.1 Parallel Capacitors

Capacitors are manufactured with standard values, and by combining them in different ways, any non-standard value of capacitance can be realized. Figure 4.19 shows a parallel arrangement of capacitors. The left plate of each capacitor is connected by a wire (black lines) to the positive terminal of a battery, while the right plate of each capacitor is connected to...
the negative terminal of the battery. This means that the capacitors in parallel both have the same potential difference $\Delta V$ across them, the voltage supplied by the battery.

When the capacitors are first connected, electrons leave the positive plates and go to the negative plates until equilibrium is reached - when the voltage on the capacitors is equal to the voltage of the battery. The internal (chemical) energy of the battery is the source of energy for this transfer. In this configuration, both capacitors charge independently, and the total charge stored is the sum of the charge stored in $C_1$ and the charge stored in $C_2$. We can write the charge on the capacitors using Equation 4.24:

\[
Q_1 = C_1 \Delta V \\
Q_2 = C_2 \Delta V \\
Q_{\text{total}} = Q_1 + Q_2 = C_1 \Delta V + C_2 \Delta V = (C_1 + C_2) \Delta V
\]

What this equation shows is that two capacitors in parallel behave as one single capacitor with a value of $C_1 + C_2$. In other words, “capacitors add to each other in parallel.” We call $C_1 + C_2$ the “equivalent capacitance”, $C_{\text{eq}} = C_1 + C_2$

Two Capacitors in Parallel:

\[
C_{\text{eq}} = C_1 + C_2
\]

Three or More Capacitors in Parallel:

\[
C_{\text{eq}} = C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + \ldots
\]

The key point for capacitors in parallel is that the voltage on each capacitor is the same. One way to see this is that they are both connected to the battery by the same perfect wires, so they pretty much have to have the same voltage. This is true in general, as we will find out, so long as we have perfect textbook wires. It follows readily that the equivalent capacitance of a parallel combination is always more than either of the individual capacitors.

### 4.6.4.2 Series Capacitors

Figure 4.20a shows the second simple combination, two capacitors connected in series. For series capacitors, the magnitude of charge is be the same on all plates. Consider the left-most plate of $C_1$ and right-most plate of $C_2$ in Figure 4.20. Since they are connected directly to the battery, they must have the same magnitude of charge, $+Q$ and $-Q$ respectively.

Since the middle two plates (the right plate of $C_1$ and the left plate of $C_2$) are not connected to the battery at all, together they must have no net charge. On the other hand, the left and right

\footnote{In circuit diagrams like these, the wires are assumed to be perfect.}
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plates of the same capacitor have to have the same magnitude of charge, so this means all plates have a charge of either $+Q$ or $-Q$ stored on them. All of the right plates have charge $-Q$, and all the left plates have a charge $+Q$.

Can we reduce this series combination to a single equivalent capacitor, like we did for the parallel case? Sure, with a little math. A single capacitor equivalent to the series capacitors, Figure 4.20b, must have a charge of $+Q$ on its right plate, and $-Q$ on its left plate, so the total charge stored is still $\pm Q$ on each plate. Further, it must have a potential difference equal to that of the battery, $\Delta V$. Using Equation 4.24

\[
\Delta V = \frac{Q}{C_{eq}}
\]  

(4.37)

We can also apply Equation 4.24 to each of the individual capacitors:

\[
\Delta V_1 = \frac{Q}{C_1} \quad \Delta V_2 = \frac{Q}{C_2}
\]  

(4.38)

Conservation of energy requires that all of the potential difference of the battery $\Delta V$ be
“used up” somewhere. Since our wires are assumed to be perfect, the only place the potential can go is onto the capacitors. Therefore, for the series case the voltage on $C_1$ and $C_2$ must together total that of the battery:

$$\Delta V = \Delta V_1 + \Delta V_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.39)

This, combined with Equations 4.37 and 4.38 gives us:

$$\Delta V = \frac{Q}{C_{eq}} = \frac{Q}{C_1} + \frac{Q}{C_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.40)

Canceling the Q’s, we can come up with the equivalent capacitance for series capacitors:

**Two Capacitors in Series:**

$$\frac{1}{C_{eq}} = \frac{1}{C_1} + \frac{1}{C_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.41)

**Three or More Capacitors in Series:**

$$\frac{1}{C_{eq}} = \frac{1}{C_1} + \frac{1}{C_2} + \frac{1}{C_3} \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.42)

It follows that the **equivalent capacitance of a series combination is always less than either of the individual capacitors.** The key point for capacitors in series is that the charge on each capacitor is the same, and the same as the charge on the equivalent capacitor.

**What to do for more complex combinations of capacitors?**

1. **Combine** capacitors that are in parallel or series in to single equivalent capacitors, using (4.35) and (4.41).
2. **Parallel** capacitors all have the same potential difference $\Delta V$ across them.
3. **Series** capacitors all have the same charge $Q$, which is the same as the charge on their equivalent capacitor.
4. **Redraw** the circuit after every combination.
5. **Repeat** the first two steps until there is only equivalent one capacitor left.
6. **Find the charge** on this equivalent capacitor using (4.24).
7. **Reverse** your steps one by one to find the charge and voltage drop on each equivalent capacitor along the way, until you recreate the original diagram.
4.6.4.3 Example of a complex capacitor combination

The easiest way to see how one can use the rules for series and parallel capacitors to reduce any complex combination of capacitors to a single equivalent capacitor is by example. For example, consider the combination of capacitors in Figure 4.21 below.

![Figure 4.21](image)

**Figure 4.21:** (a) Reducing the complex combination to a single equivalent capacitor. (b) Working backwards to find the charge on each capacitor.

**Finding the equivalent capacitor** First, we notice from Figure 4.21a that the only purely series or parallel combination to start with is the 20 µF and 3 µF capacitors in series. We can combine those into an equivalent capacitance, $C_2$, using Equation 4.41:

\[
\frac{1}{C_2} = \frac{1}{20 \mu F} + \frac{1}{3 \mu F}
\]

\[
C_2 = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{20 \mu F} + \frac{1}{3 \mu F}} = \frac{3 \cdot 20}{3 + 20}
\]

\[
C_2 = 2.6 \mu F
\]

Redraw the circuit to reflect this change, and we arrive at the second diagram in Figure 4.21a. Now we have the equivalent capacitor $C_2$ purely in parallel with the 6 µF capacitor. Using Equation 4.35, we can combine those two into another equivalent capacitance $C_3$:

\[
C_3 = C_2 + 6 \mu F = 8.6 \mu F
\]

Redraw the circuit, and we arrive at the third diagram in Figure 4.21a. Now we only have $C_3$ in parallel with 20 µF left, which we can now combine into a final overall equivalent capacitance $C_4$. 

---
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Again using Equation [4.41] we have

\[ \frac{1}{C_4} = \frac{1}{C_3} + \frac{1}{20 \mu F} \quad \text{or} \quad C_4 = 6.02 \mu F \]  

(4.47)

So the equivalent capacitance of the four capacitors we started with is about 6 \( \mu F \).

**Finding the charge on each capacitor** Now we have to work backwards from our single equivalent capacitor and deduce the charge and voltage on each individual capacitor, following Figure [4.21b]. First, we know the charge on \( C_4 \), the equivalent capacitor, once we know the value of \( C_4 \) (above) and \( \Delta V \) (given):

\[ Q_4 = C_4 \Delta V = (6.02 \mu F)(15 V) = 90.3 \mu C \]

Now \( C_3 \) and the 20 \( \mu F \) are in series. Two series capacitors must both have the *same charge but different voltages*. Further, the charge on series capacitors is *the same as the charge on the equivalent capacitor*. Therefore, both the 20 \( \mu F \) and \( C_3 \) have to have the same charge that \( C_4 \) has. So

\[ Q_3 = Q_{20 \mu} = Q_4 = 90.3 \mu C \]

Now we get to the third diagram. We know that the 6 \( \mu F \) and \( C_2 \) together have \( Q_4 \) worth of charge. *Parallel capacitors both have the same voltage, but different charges*. If we call the voltage on these two capacitors \( V \), the charge on the 6 \( \mu F \) is 6 \( \mu F \cdot V \), and the charge on \( C_2 \) is \( C_2 \cdot V \), which gives us \( Q_4 \):

\[ Q_4 = 90.3 \mu C = (C_2)V + (6 \mu F)V \]

Since \( C_2 = 2.6 \mu F \), this gives \( V = 10.47 \) Volts, so

\[ Q_{6 \mu} = (6 \mu F)V = 62.9 \mu C \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2 = (C_2)V = 27.4 \mu C \]

Note that the voltage \( V \) and the voltage on the lower 20 \( \mu F \) capacitor must together equal the battery voltage, so the voltage on the lower 20 \( \mu F \) capacitor must be 15.00 – 10.47 = 4.53 V. Now for the last step. You now know the charge on \( C_2 \), which is the same as the total charge on the 20 \( \mu F \) and 3 \( \mu F \) capacitors. Since they are in series, they both have the same charge, and the both have to have \( Q_2 \). Thus \( Q_{3 \mu} = Q_{20 \mu} = 27.4 \mu C \). We can find the voltage on each by noting that
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\[ V_{3\mu} = \frac{Q_{3\mu}}{C_{3\mu}} = 9.13 \text{ V} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{20\mu} = \frac{Q_{20\mu}}{C_{20\mu}} = 1.37 \text{ V} \]

Further, we know that that \( V_{3\mu} + V_{20\mu} \) has to equal the voltage on the equivalent capacitor \( C_2 \), viz. 10.47 V. So, in the end, the charge on the 20 \( \mu \text{F} \) is the same as that on the effective capacitance, the charge on 20 \( \mu \text{F} \) and the 3 \( \mu \text{F} \) are the same, and the charge on the 6 \( \mu \text{F} \) is about halfway in between either of those. The charge, capacitance, and voltages are summarized in Table 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capacitor [( \mu \text{F} )]</th>
<th>Charge [( \mu \text{C} )]</th>
<th>Voltage [\text{V}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>top 20( \mu \text{F} )</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_2 = 2.6 )</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>10.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_3 = 8.6 )</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>10.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_4 = 6.02 \mu \text{F} )</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6( \mu \text{F} )</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>10.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3( \mu \text{F} )</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>9.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lower 20( \mu \text{F} )</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.6.5 Capacitors with (non-conducting) stuff inside

What if we separate the plates of our parallel plate capacitor with something other than air? As you might expect, this changes the capacitance. A dielectric is another name for an insulating material (like rubber, or most ceramics and plastics). When we put a dielectric between the plates of our capacitor, the capacitance increases. If the dielectric totally fills the region between the plates, the increase is proportional to a constant \( \kappa \), the dielectric constant. We note that sometimes you will see the dielectric constant is written as \( \epsilon_r \) rather than \( \kappa \), but it is the same thing.

Figure 4.22 shows the effect of a dielectric inserted in a parallel plate capacitor. Without the dielectric, we know that

![Figure 4.22](image.png)

**Figure 4.22:** (a) With air between the plates, the voltage across the capacitor is \( \Delta V_0 \), the capacitance is \( C_0 \), and the charge is \( Q_0 \). (b) With a dielectric inside, the charge is still \( Q_0 \), but the voltage and capacitance change.
\( \Delta V_0 = Q_0 / C_0 \). If we now insert the dielectric, the voltage is reduced to:

\[
\Delta V = \frac{\Delta V_0}{\kappa} = \frac{\Delta V_0}{\epsilon_r}
\] (4.48)

What happens is that part of the potential difference originally across the plates of the capacitor is now spent on the dielectric itself. Being an insulator, the dielectric can support regions of charge, unlike a conductor. When it is inserted into the capacitor, the part of the dielectric near the \(+Q_0\) plate builds up a partial negative charge in response, and the part near the \(-Q_0\) plate builds up a partial positive charge. This has the effect of “canceling” part of the \( +Q \) and \( -Q \) charges on the plates, so the battery supplies more charges to compensate! This goes on until an equilibrium is reached, and the dielectric can steal no more charge.

In the end, since the dielectric “steals” a bit of extra charge, the capacitor with a dielectric inside stores more charge than the capacitor without the dielectric. The total amount of charge present, including the “extra” bit “stolen” by the dielectric, is proportional to \( \kappa \), so the capacitance of the new structure is increased by a factor of \( \kappa \):

\[
C = \frac{Q_0}{\Delta V} = \frac{\kappa Q_0}{\Delta V_0} = \frac{\epsilon_r Q_0}{\Delta V_0}
\] (4.49)

For a parallel plate capacitor, this means:

**Parallel plate capacitor with a dielectric between the plates:**

\[
C = \kappa \epsilon_0 \frac{A}{d} = \epsilon_r \epsilon_0 \frac{A}{d}
\] (4.50)

the dielectric increases the capacitance by a factor \( \kappa \), the dielectric constant. The dielectric constant is also sometimes called \( \epsilon_r \).

This is not an insignificant effect - the value of \( \kappa \) can range from \( \sim 1 \) for air to a few thousands – adding a good dielectric layer can increase the amount of charge stored by hundreds or thousands! For vacuum, the value is exactly 1, so Equation [4.50] just reduces to Equation [4.26]. The value of \( \kappa \) is always greater than 1 \( (\kappa > 1) \), so the capacitance always increases when a dielectric is included. Why this is true microscopically is treated in the next section. Table [4.2] lists the dielectric constants for a few common materials.

This trick for making larger capacitors does not work indefinitely. Every dielectric has a “dielectric strength,” the maximum tolerated value of the electric field inside that particular material. If the electric field inside the dielectric exceeds this value, the dielectric breaks down, which usually means a spark jumps across (or through) it. Exceeding the dielectric strength is a catastrophic failure, and usually results in “magic smoke” being released from the device in question.
4.7 Dielectrics in Electric Fields

Somehow or another, dielectrics inside a capacitor are able to dramatically increase the amount of charge that can be stored and decrease the voltage across the capacitor. Our explanation so far is that the dielectric itself partly charges, which both increases the amount of charge stored and decreases the net voltage. How does this work? In order to understand what is really going on, we have to think a bit about the microscopic nature of the dielectric.

The dielectric itself contains a large number of atomic nuclei and electrons, but overall there are equal numbers of positive nuclei and electrons to make the dielectric overall neutral. We have said that charges in insulators are not mobile, so electrons and nuclei remain bound. What, then, are the induced charges in the dielectric? Despite being bound, both electrons and nuclei in a dielectric can move very slightly without breaking their bonds. Electrons will attempt to move in the direction opposite the electric field between the plates, and nuclei will attempt to move in the opposite direction. As a result, tiny dipoles are formed inside the dielectric, which will be aligned along the direction of the electric field (see Figure 4.23). Random thermal motion of the atoms or molecules will limit the degree of alignment to an extent. In most materials the degree of alignment and the induced dipole strength are directly proportional to the external electric field. Essentially, an electric field induces a charge separation within the atom or molecule.

Some molecules have a natural charge separation or dipole moment already built in, so-called polar molecules such as water or CO₂. In these kinds of dielectrics, the built-in dipole moments

---

**Table 4.2:** Dielectric constants of materials at $T_0 = 20^\circ$ C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Teflon®</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>1.00054</td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Pyrex</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon dioxide</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Water (distilled)</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>BaTiO₃</td>
<td>$\sim$ 1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.23:** (a) Atoms and many nuclei have no net charge separation without an electric field present. (b) Some “polar” molecules have a permanent electric dipole moment. Usually, these moments are oriented randomly from molecule to molecule, and the net moment is zero. (c) In an electric field, non-polar molecules can have an induced dipole moment, due to electrons and nuclei wanting to move in opposite directions in response to the field. Permanent dipoles remain bound, but can move or rotate slightly to align with the electric field. Either way, an overall dipole moment results.
are usually randomly aligned, and cancel each other out overall. An electric field exerts a torque on the dipoles, which tries to orient them along the electric field. Once again, random thermal motion works against this alignment, but the overall effect of the electric field is a net alignment, the degree of which is proportional to the applied electric field. Thus, in both polar and non-polar dielectrics, there is a net orientation of dipoles when an electric field is applied. The net dipole strength is far stronger in polar materials, and in the rest of the discussion below we will assume that our dielectric is made of polar molecules.

Now, what happens when we place our dielectric between two conducting plates? With no voltage applied between the plates, there is no electric field, and the tiny dipoles are randomly oriented, Fig. 4.24b. Once a voltage is applied to the plates, a constant electric is created between them, which serves to align the dipoles, Fig. 4.24f. The net alignment of dipoles within a dielectric leads to the surfaces of the dielectric being slightly charged, Fig. 4.24. Within the bulk of the dielectric, dipoles will be aligned head-to-tail, and their electric fields will mostly cancel (Fig. 4.24a). At the surfaces of the dielectric, however, there will be an excess of positive charge on one side, and an excess of negative charge on the other. In this situation, the dielectric is said to be polarized. The dielectric is still electrically neutral on the whole, an equal number of positive and negative charges still exist, they have only separated due to the applied electric field.

These surface charges from the aligned dipoles look just like sheets of charge, in fact. This is the origin of our earlier statement that the dielectric picks up an induced charge on its surface – the part of the dielectric near the positive plate does build up a partial negative charge, and the part near the negative plate does build up a partial positive charge. What we missed in our initial analysis was the fact that in reality we are aligning charges throughout the dielectric, even though only the surfaces have a net charge. Not only are we storing energy in the surface charges, we are also storing energy by creating the aligned configuration of the dipoles! It took energy to orient them, so keeping them aligned is in a sense storing energy for later release. In a sense, we actually store energy in the whole volume of the dielectric, not just at the surfaces.

The electric field due to these effective sheets of charge is opposite that of the applied electric field, and thus the total electric field – the sum of the applied and induced field – is smaller than if there were no dielectric. Thus, the dielectric reduces both the applied voltage and the electric field. The electric field due to the oriented dipoles inside the dielectric is usually proportional to

\[ \vec{E}_{\text{plates}} + \vec{E}_{\text{dipoles}} \]
the total electric field they experience:

\[ E_{\text{dipoles}} = \chi_E E_{\text{total}} \quad (4.51) \]

where the constant of proportionality \( \chi_E \) is called the electric susceptibility. It represents the relative strength of the dipoles within the material, or more accurately, how easily a material polarizes in response to an electric field. The total electric field the dipoles experience is not just the field due to voltage applied across the plates, but must also include the field of all the other dipoles as well:

\[
E_{\text{total}} = E_{\text{plates}} - E_{\text{dipoles}} 
\]

\[
E_{\text{total}} = E_{\text{plates}} - \chi_E E_{\text{total}} 
\]

\[
(1 + \chi_E) E_{\text{total}} = E_{\text{plates}} 
\]

\[
\Rightarrow E_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{1 + \chi_E} E_{\text{plates}} 
\]

Thus, the field inside the plates is reduced by a factor \( \frac{1}{1 + \chi_E} \) by the presence of the dielectric (\( \chi_E \) is always positive). We already know that for a parallel plate capacitor, \( \Delta V = Ed \), where \( d \) is the spacing between the plates, so we can also readily find the effect of the dielectric on the voltage between the plates:

\[
\Delta V_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{1 + \chi_E} E_{\text{plates}} d = \frac{1}{1 + \chi_E} \Delta V_0 = \frac{\Delta V_0}{\kappa} 
\]

(4.56)

where we again use \( \Delta V_0 \) for the voltage on the plates without the dielectric. This result agrees precisely with Eq. 4.48 if we make the substitution \( \kappa = 1 + \chi_E \), as we have in the last term in the equation above. We can go further and calculate the capacitance, just as we did for Eq. 4.50:

\[
C = (1 + \chi_E) \varepsilon_0 \frac{A}{d} = \kappa \varepsilon_0 \frac{A}{d} = \kappa C_0 
\]

(4.57)

where \( C_0 \) is the capacitance without the dielectric present. Thus, our “dielectric constant” is simply related to the dielectric susceptibility, the ability of the dielectric to polarize in response to an electric field. This makes sense in a way – the more easily polarized the dielectric, the more easily it affects the capacitance. Also, since \( \kappa = 1 \) for vacuum, \( \chi_E = 0 \), which also makes sense as the vacuum is not polarizable (so far as we know). The result we obtain using this more sophisticated model is exactly the same as earlier, but now we have a plausible microscopic origin for the effect of dielectrics in capacitors, and we know why the electric field and voltage are reduced, and the capacitance increased.

Dr. LeClair
4.8 Quick Questions

1. Capacitors connected in parallel must always have the same:
   - □ Charge
   - □ Potential difference
   - □ Energy stored
   - □ None of the above

2. An ideal parallel plate capacitor is completely charged up, and then disconnected from a battery. The plates are then pulled a small distance apart. What happens to the capacitance, $C$, and charge stored, $Q$, respectively?
   - □ decreases; increases
   - □ increases; decreases
   - □ decreases; stays the same
   - □ stays the same; decreases

3. An isolated conductor has a surface electric potential of 10 Volts. An electron on the surface is moved by 0.1 m. How much work must be done to move the charge? ($e$ is the electron charge.)
   - □ $1e$ Joules
   - □ $0.1e$ Joules
   - □ $10e$ Joules
   - □ 0

4. An electron initially at rest is accelerated through a potential difference of 1 V, and gains kinetic energy $KE_e$. A proton, also initially at rest, is accelerated through a potential difference of $-1$ V, and gains kinetic energy $KE_p$. Which of the following must be true?
   - □ $KE_e < KE_p$
   - □ $KE_e = KE_p$
   - □ $KE_e > KE_p$
   - □ not enough information

5. A parallel plate capacitor is shrunk by a factor of two in every dimension – the separation between the plates, as well as the plates’ length and width are all two times smaller. If the original capacitance is $C_0$, what is the capacitance after all dimensions are shrunk?
   - □ $2C_0$
   - □ $\frac{1}{2}C_0$
   - □ $4C_0$
   - □ $\frac{1}{4}C_0$
6. The figure at right shows the **equipo-\text{\textbf{t}}}ential** lines for two different configurations of two charges (the charges are the solid grey circles). Which of the following is true?

- The charges in (a) are of the same sign and magnitude, the charges in (b) are of the same sign and different magnitude.
- The charges in (a) are of opposite sign and of the same magnitude, the charges in (b) are of the opposite sign and different magnitude.
- The charges in (a) are of the same sign and magnitude, the charges in (b) are of the opposite sign and the same magnitude.
- The charges in (a) are of the opposite sign and different magnitude, the charges in (b) are of the same sign and different magnitude.

![Image of equipotential lines for two different configurations of two charges](image)

7. A capacitor with air between its plates is charged to 120 V and then disconnected from the battery. When a piece of glass is placed between the plates, the voltage across the capacitor drops to 30 V. What is the dielectric constant of the glass? (Assume the glass completely fills the space between the plates.)

- 4
- 2
- $1/4$
- $1/2$
4.9 Problems

1. Electrons in a TV tube are accelerated from rest through a potential difference of $2.00 \times 10^4$ V from an electrode towards the screen 25.0 cm away. What is the magnitude of the electric field, if it assumed to be constant over the whole distance? You may assume that the electron moves parallel to the electric field at all times.

2. A proton moves 1.5 cm parallel to a uniform electric field of $E = 240$ N/C. How much work is done by the field on the proton?

3. It takes $3 \times 10^6$ J of energy to fully recharge a 9 V battery. How many electrons must be moved across the 9 V potential difference to fully recharge the battery?

4. What is the effective capacitance of the four capacitors shown at right?

5. Calculate the speed of a proton that is accelerated from rest through a potential difference of 104 V.

6. A proton at rest is accelerated parallel to a uniform electric field of magnitude 8.36 V/m over a distance of 1.10 m. If the electric force is the only one acting on the proton, what is its velocity in km/s after it has been accelerated over 1.10 m?

7. Three charges are positioned along the $x$ axis, as shown at left. All three charges have the same magnitude of charge, $|q_1| = |q_2| = |q_3| = 10^{-9}$ C (note that $q_2$ is negative though). What is the total potential energy of this system of charges? We define potential energy zero to be all charges infinitely far apart.

8. Two identical point charges $+q$ are located on the $y$ axis at $y = +a$ and $y = -a$. What is the electric potential for an arbitrary point $(x, y)$?
9. What is the equivalent capacitance for the five capacitors at left (approximately)?

10. The charge distribution shown is referred to as a linear quadrupole. What is the electric potential at a point on the $y$ axis?

11. Three charges are arranged in an equilateral triangle, as shown at left. All three charges have the same magnitude of charge, $|q_1| = |q_2| = |q_3| = 10^{-9}$ C (note that $q_2$ is negative though). What is the total potential energy of this system of charges? Take the zero of potential energy to be when all charges are infinitely far apart.

12. A parallel plate capacitor has a capacitance $C$ when there is vacuum between the plates. The gap between the plates is half filled with a dielectric with dielectric constant $\kappa$ in two different ways, as shown below. Calculate the effective capacitance, in terms of $C$ and $\kappa$, for both situations. Hint: try breaking each situation up into two equivalent capacitors.
4.10 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. Potential difference.

2. Decreases; stays the same. The capacitance of a parallel plate capacitor is \( C = \frac{\epsilon_0 A}{d} \). If we pull the plates apart and increase the spacing \( d \), the capacitance decreases. Nothing happens to the charges already on the plates if the capacitor is disconnected, though – they have no where to go!

3. 0. The charge is moved along the surface of the conductor, which is always at the same electric potential. Since the charge has moved through no net potential difference, no work has been done.

4. \( KE_e = KE_p \). All of the potential energy gained by the proton and electron has to be converted into kinetic energy, and both particles lose the same potential energy by moving through the potential difference. Both particles have equal but opposite charges and move through equal and opposite potential differences – since the negatively charged electron moves through a positive potential difference, and the positively charged proton moves through a negative potential difference, the net loss of potential energy \( q\Delta V \) is the same. Therefore, the amount of kinetic energy gained by each particle is the same. Since both particles started at rest, their resulting kinetic energies have to be the same. The velocity of the electron will be much greater, however, owing to its smaller mass – recall that kinetic energy is \( \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \).

5. \( \frac{1}{2}C_0 \). The capacitance of a parallel plate capacitor whose plates have an area \( A \) and a separation \( d \) is \( C = \frac{\epsilon_0 A}{d} \). If we imagine the plates to be rectangular of length \( l \) and width \( w \), the area \( A \) is \( lw \). Let the capacitance of the capacitor be \( C_0 = \frac{\epsilon_0 lw}{d} \) before dimensions are shrunk. Once we reduce the length, width, and separation by two times, we have:

\[
C = \frac{\epsilon_0 \left( \frac{1}{2}l \right) \left( \frac{1}{2}w \right)}{\left( \frac{1}{2}d \right)} = \frac{\epsilon_0 \frac{1}{2}lw}{d} = \frac{1}{2}C_0
\]

It is easy to prove that if we chose, e.g., circular plates, the answer would be the same – for any reasonable shape, the area goes down as the square of the dimensional decrease, while the separation just goes down as the factor itself.

6. This is probably another question most easily answered by elimination. In (a), the charges are clearly of the same magnitude, since the graph is perfectly symmetric, while in (b) the charges must be of different magnitude to explain the asymmetric graph. Therefore, the third answer cannot be correct.

In (a), the potential is constant along a vertical line separating the two charges (since there is a perfectly vertical line running halfway between the charges). This would only be true if they are of opposite signs. If the charges were of the same sign, there would be equipotential lines running horizontally from charge to charge. Similarly, the charges must also be of opposite sign in (b). This also rules out the first answer.

Based on similarity of (a) and (b), it must be that if (a) has charges of opposite magnitude, then so does (b). This also means that the fourth answer is out, which leaves only the second
answer as a possibility. If you are still not clear on why the correct answer must be the second one, you may want to look carefully at the examples of equipotential lines in different situations presented in this chapter.

7. 4. Without the piece of glass, our capacitor has a value we’ll call \(C\). The charge stored on the capacitor is \(Q = CV = 120C\) when the initial voltage is \(V_{\text{initial}} = 120\, \text{V}\). The piece of glass acts as a dielectric, which increases the capacitance to \(\kappa C\) (\(\kappa\) is always greater than 1).

Since the battery was disconnected, after inserting the piece of glass the total amount of charge \(Q\) stays the same - there is no source for additional charge to enter the capacitor. Now, however, the voltage \(V_{\text{final}}\) is less and the capacitance is more. We can set the initial amount of charge before inserting the glass equal to the final charge after inserting the glass, and solve for \(\kappa\):

\[
\begin{align*}
Q & = CV_{\text{initial}} = \kappa CV_{\text{final}} \\
\text{or} \quad CV_{\text{initial}} & = \kappa CV_{\text{final}} \\
\implies V_{\text{initial}} & = \kappa V_{\text{final}} \\
\kappa & = \frac{V_{\text{initial}}}{V_{\text{final}}} = \frac{120}{30} = 4
\end{align*}
\]

4.11 Solutions to Problems

1. \(8.00 \times 10^4 \, \text{V/m}\). In a constant electric field, the electric field, potential difference and displacement are related by:

\[
\Delta V = -|\bar{E}|\,|\Delta \bar{x}|\cos \theta
\]  

(4.58)

Since the displacement and electric field are parallel everywhere, \(\theta = 0\), and we have just \(\Delta V = E\Delta x\). We have a potential difference \(\Delta V = 2 \times 10^4 \, \text{V}\) developed over a displacement of \(\Delta x = 25\, \text{cm} = (0.25\, \text{m})\). Plugging in the numbers:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta V & = -E\Delta x \\
2 \times 10^4 \, \text{V} & = -E(0.25 \, \text{m}) \\
\implies E & = -\frac{2 \times 10^4 \, \text{V}}{0.25 \, \text{m}} = -8.00 \times 10^4 \, \text{V/m}
\end{align*}
\]

(4.59) (4.60) (4.61)

Since we want only the magnitude of the electric field, it is sufficient to write \(8.00 \times 10^4 \, \text{V/m}\).

2. \(5.8 \times 10^{-19} \, \text{J}\). The work done in moving a single charge through a constant electric field is given by:

\[
W = qE_x\Delta x
\]  

(4.62)

where \(E_x\) is the component of the electric field parallel to the displacement. In this case, the displacement is always parallel to the electric field, so \(E_x\) is just the total field and \(\Delta x\) the displacement. Now we just plug in the numbers, remembering to put the displacement in meters:
\[ W = qE \Delta x \quad \text{(4.63)} \]
\[ = (1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}) (240 \text{ N/C}) (0.015 \text{ m}) \quad \text{(4.64)} \]
\[ \approx 5.8 \times 10^{-19} \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} = 5.8 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J} \quad \text{(4.65)} \]

In the last line we used the fact that one Joule is defined to be one Newton times one meter.

3. \(2 \times 10^{24}\) electrons. The energy required to charge the battery is just the amount that the potential energy of all the charges changes by. Each electron is moved through 9 V, which means each electron changes its potential energy by \(-e \cdot 9 \text{ V}\), where \(e\) is the charge on one electron. The total potential energy is the potential energy per electron times the number of electrons, \(n\). Basically, this is conservation of energy: the total energy into the battery has to equal the amount of energy to move one electron across 9 V times the number of electrons.

\[
\Delta E_{\text{in}} + \Delta PE = 0 \\
3.6 \times 10^6 \text{ J} + n(-e \cdot 9 \text{ V}) = 0 \\
e \cdot 9 \text{ V} = 3.6 \times 10^6 \text{ J} \\
\frac{n e \cdot 9 \text{ V}}{e \cdot 9 \text{ V}} = 3.6 \times 10^6 \text{ J} \\
\frac{n}{(1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}) (9 \text{ V})} = 3.6 \times 10^6 \text{ J} \\
\approx 2 \times 10^{24} \text{ } \\
\]

Here we make use of the fact that Coulombs times Volts is Joules. As usual, if you just use proper SI units throughout, the units will work out on their own.

4. 6.02 \(\mu\text{F}\). See page 128, this is the same capacitor layout!

5. \(1.41 \times 10^5 \text{ m/s}\). When the proton is accelerated through a potential difference \(\Delta V\), it loses a potential energy of \(e\Delta V\), which is converted into kinetic energy. We only need to apply conservation of energy, noting that the proton started at rest, and choosing our zero of potential energy such that the final potential energy is zero:
4.11 Solutions to Problems

\[ E_{\text{initial}} = E_{\text{final}} \]
\[ KE_{\text{initial}} + PE_{\text{initial}} = KE_{\text{final}} + PE_{\text{final}} \]
\[ 0 + q\Delta V = \frac{1}{2}m_p v_f^2 + 0 \]
\[ \Rightarrow v_f^2 = \frac{2q\Delta V}{m_p} \]
\[ v_f = \sqrt{2q\Delta V \over m_p} = \sqrt{2 \cdot 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} \cdot 104 \text{ V} \over 1.67 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}} \]
\[ \approx 1.41 \times 10^{-5} \left[ \text{C} \cdot \text{V/kg} \right]^\frac{1}{2} \]
\[ = 1.41 \times 10^{-5} \left[ \text{J/kg} \right]^\frac{1}{2} = 1.41 \times 10^{-5} \left[ \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{s}^2 \cdot \text{kg} \right]^\frac{1}{2} \]
\[ = 1.41 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m/s} \]

The units are a bit tricky here, but remember that if you keep everything in proper SI units from the start, they will always work out ok. Remember from the definition of electrical potential that one Volt is equal to one Joule per Coulomb, 1 V = 1 J/C – it then follows that 1 C · V = 1 J.

6. 42.0 km/s. Of course, 42 is the answer to life, the universe, and everything.\footnote{From \textit{Hitchhiker’s Guide to the Galaxy} ... there are often nerd jokes on physics exams.}

Anyway. The proton starts from rest, and hence has no kinetic energy. It is accelerated by an electric field, and thus gains kinetic energy. The kinetic energy gained must come from the electric field. A charge \( q \) moving parallel to a constant electric field \( E \) over a distance \( \Delta x \) changes its potential energy by:

\[ \Delta PE = qE\Delta x \]

The charge on a proton is just \( +e \), and \( E \) and \( \Delta x \) are given. The change in kinetic energy is just the final kinetic energy of the proton, since it started from rest. The gain in kinetic energy must equal the change in potential energy:

\[ \Delta PE = PE_{\text{initial}} - PE_{\text{final}} = -\Delta KE = - (KE_{\text{initial}} - KE_{\text{final}}) \]
\[ eE\Delta x - 0 = -\left(0 - \frac{1}{2}m_p v_{\text{final}}^2\right) \]
\[ eE\Delta x = \frac{1}{2}m_p v_{\text{final}}^2 \]
\[ \Rightarrow v_{\text{final}}^2 = \frac{2eE\Delta x}{m_p} \]
\[ v_{\text{final}} = \sqrt{\frac{2eE\Delta x}{m_p}} \]

Plugging in what we are given ...
4.11 Solutions to Problems

\[ v_{\text{final}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 (1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}) (8.36 \text{ V/m}) (1.10 \text{ m})}{1.67 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}}} \]

\[ \approx 42000 \sqrt{\text{C} \cdot \text{V/kg}} \]

\[ = 42000 \sqrt{\frac{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2}{\text{s}^2 \cdot \text{kg}}} \]

\[ = 42 \text{ km/s} \]

Making absolutely sure that the units work out, one should note that Coulombs times Volts is Joules, or kg·m²/s². If you always use proper SI units, it will work out though, and you won’t have to remember lots of unit conversions.

7. The potential energy of a system of charges can be found by superposition, by adding together the potential energy of all unique pairs of charges. In this case, we have three distinct pairs of charges – (1,2), (1,3), and (2,3). The potential energy of the pair (1,2) is the electric potential that charge 2 feels due to charge 1, times charge 2:

\[ PE_{(1,2)} = k_e \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}} = k_e q_1 q_2 \]

Here \( r_{12} \) is the separation between charges 1 and 2, or just 1.0 m in this case. We do the same for the other two pairs of charges, and add all three energies together (being very careful with signs):

\[ PE_{\text{total}} = PE_{(1,2)} + PE_{(1,3)} + PE_{(2,3)} \]

\[ = k_e \left( \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}} + \frac{q_1 q_3}{r_{13}} + \frac{q_2 q_3}{r_{23}} \right) \]

\[ = k_e \left( q_1 q_2 \frac{r_{12}}{r_{12}} + q_1 q_3 \frac{r_{13}}{r_{13}} + q_2 q_3 \frac{r_{23}}{r_{23}} \right) \]

\[ = \left( 9 \times 10^9 \frac{\text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2}{\text{C}^2} \right) \left[ \frac{(-10^{-9} \text{ C}) (10^{-9} \text{ C})}{1 \text{ m}} + \frac{(10^{-9} \text{ C}) (10^{-9} \text{ C})}{3 \text{ m}} + \frac{(-10^{-9} \text{ C}) (10^{-9} \text{ C})}{2 \text{ m}} \right] \]

\[ = \left( 9 \times 10^9 \frac{\text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2}{\text{C}^2} \right) \left( \frac{10^{-18} \text{ C}^2}{\text{m}} \right) \left[ -1 + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \]

\[ = \left( 9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \right) \left[ -\frac{7}{6} \right] \]

\[ \approx -1.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ J} \]

Here we used the fact that a 1 J \( \equiv \) 1 N·m.

8. \( \frac{k_e q}{\sqrt{x^2 + (a-y)^2}} + \frac{k_e q}{\sqrt{x^2 + (a+y)^2}} \). For this one, it is perhaps easier to draw ourselves a picture:

We will label the upper charge 1, and the lower charge 2. The principle of superposition tells us that we only need to find the potential at point \((x, y)\) due to each separately, and then add the results together. First, we focus on charge 1, located at \((0, a)\). First, we need the distance \(d_1\) from charge 1 to the point \((x, y)\). The horizontal distance is just \(x\), and the vertical distance...
has to be $a - y$. Therefore,

$$d_1 = \sqrt{x^2 + (a - y)^2}$$

(4.66)

The potential due the first charge, which we’ll call $V_1$ is then found from Eq. 4.14:

$$V_1 = \frac{k_e q}{d_1} = \frac{k_e q}{\sqrt{x^2 + (a - y)^2}}$$

(4.67)

The potential due to the second charge at $(0, -a)$ is found in an identical manner, only noting that the vertical distance is now $a + y$:

$$d_2 = \sqrt{x^2 + (a + y)^2}$$

(4.68)

$$V_2 = \frac{k_e q}{d_2} = \frac{k_e q}{\sqrt{x^2 + (a + y)^2}}$$

(4.69)

Finally, since potential is a scalar quantity (it has only magnitude, not direction), the superposition principle tells us that the total electric potential at point $(x, y)$ is just the sum of the individual potentials due to charges 1 and 2:

$$V_{\text{tot}} = V_1 + V_2 = \frac{k_e q}{\sqrt{x^2 + (a - y)^2}} + \frac{k_e q}{\sqrt{x^2 + (a + y)^2}}$$

(4.70)

Without resorting to approximations, there isn’t really a much more aesthetically pleasing form for this one.

9. First of all, we should notice that the 7 $\mu$F capacitor has nothing connected to its right wire, so it can’t possibly be doing anything in this circuit. We can safely ignore it. Next, the 3 $\mu$F and 14 $\mu$F capacitors are simply in series, so we can readily find their equivalent capacitor:
4.11 Solutions to Problems

\[ C_{\text{eff},3\&14} = \frac{(3\,\mu\text{F})(14\,\mu\text{F})}{(3\,\mu\text{F}) + (14\,\mu\text{F})} \approx (2.65\,\mu\text{F}) \]

This 2.65\,\mu\text{F} effective capacitor is purely in parallel with the 6\,\mu\text{F} capacitor. We can therefore just add the two capacitances together and come up with an equivalent capacitance for the 3, 14, and 6\,\mu\text{F} capacitors:

\[ C_{\text{eff},3,14,\&6} = C_{\text{eff},3\&14} + 6\,\mu\text{F} = 8.65\,\mu\text{F} \]

Finally, that equivalent capacitance is just in series with the 20\,\mu\text{F} capacitor, so the overall equivalent capacitance is readily found:

\[ C_{\text{eff, total}} = \frac{C_{\text{eff},3,14,\&6}20\,\mu\text{F}}{C_{\text{eff},3,14,\&6} + 20\,\mu\text{F}} \approx 6\,\mu\text{F} \]

10. Once again, we can simply use the principle of superposition. The total electric potential at any point is just the sum of the electric potentials due to each point charge. We’ll label the charges 1-3 from left to right, and calculate the potential due to each first.

If we take an arbitrary point on the \(y\) axis \((0, y)\), what is the distance to charge 1? The vertical distance will always be just \(y\), and the horizontal distance is just \(d\). Therefore, the distance \(d_1\) to the first charge is:

\[ d_1 = \sqrt{d^2 + y^2} \quad (4.71) \]

The electric potential \(V_1\) due to charge 1, \(+Q\), is then found from Eq. 4.14:

\[ V_1 = \frac{k_eQ}{d_1} = \frac{k_eQ}{\sqrt{d^2 + y^2}} \quad (4.72) \]

The distance to charge 2 is simply \(y\), since it is also located on the \(y\) axis. The electric potential \(V_2\) due to charge 2 is then:

\[ V_2 = \frac{-2k_eQ}{y} \quad (4.73) \]

Finally, the distance to charge 3 is just the same as the distance to charge 1. Since both charges also have the same magnitude, \(V_1 = V_3\). The total potential at a point \((0, y)\) is then just the sum of the potentials from all three individual charges:
4.11 Solutions to Problems

\[ V_{\text{tot}} = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 \]  
\[ = \frac{k_e Q}{d_1} = \frac{k_e Q}{\sqrt{d^2 + y^2}} + \frac{-2k_e Q}{y} + \frac{k_e Q}{d_1} = \frac{k_e Q}{\sqrt{d^2 + y^2}} \]  
\[ = \frac{2k_e Q}{\sqrt{d^2 + y^2}} + \frac{-2k_e Q}{y} \]  
\[ = 2k_e Q \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^2 + y^2}} - \frac{1}{y} \right] \]  

(4.74) \hspace{1cm} (4.75) \hspace{1cm} (4.76) \hspace{1cm} (4.77)

11. \(-9 \mu J.\) The potential energy of a system of charges can be found by calculating the potential energy for every unique pair of charges and adding the results together. In this case, we have three unique pairings: charges 1 and 2, charges 2 and 3, and charges 1 and 3:

\[ PE = PE_{1\&2} + PE_{2\&3} + PE_{1\&3} \]  
\[ = k_e \left( \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}} + \frac{q_1 q_3}{r_{13}} + \frac{q_2 q_3}{r_{23}} \right) \]  

(4.78) \hspace{1cm} (4.79)

Here \( r_{12} \) is the distance between charge 1 and 2, and so on. Since we have an equilateral triangle, all distances are 1 m. Since all charges are equal in magnitude, we can simplify this quite a bit once we plug in what we know - we just need to keep track of the signs of the charges:

\[ PE_{\text{total}} = k_e \left( \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}} + \frac{q_1 q_3}{r_{13}} + \frac{q_2 q_3}{r_{23}} \right) \]  
\[ = \left( 9 \times 10^9 \frac{N \cdot m^2}{C^2} \right) \left[ \frac{\left( 10^{-9} \text{ C} \right) \left( -10^{-9} \text{ C} \right)}{1 \text{ m}} + \frac{\left( 10^{-9} \text{ C} \right) \left( 10^{-9} \text{ C} \right)}{1 \text{ m}} + \frac{\left( -10^{-9} \text{ C} \right) \left( 10^{-9} \text{ C} \right)}{1 \text{ m}} \right] \]  
\[ = \left( 9 \times 10^9 \frac{N \cdot m^2}{C^2} \right) \left( \frac{10^{-18} \text{ C}^2}{\text{m}} \right) [-1 + 1 - 1] \]  
\[ = \left( 9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \right) [-1] \]  
\[ \approx -9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ J} \]

Again, we used the conversion \( 1 \text{ J} \equiv 1 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}.\)

12. (a) **Dielectric parallel to the plates:** \( C_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2K}{1+K} C.\)

It is easiest to think of this as two capacitors in series, both with half the plate spacing - one filled with dielectric, one with nothing. First, without any dielectric, we will say that the original capacitor has plate spacing \( d \) and plate area \( A. \) The capacitance is then:

\[ C_0 = \frac{\epsilon_0 A}{d} \]  

(4.80)

The upper half capacitor with dielectric then has a capacitance:

\[ C_d = \frac{K\epsilon_0 A}{d/2} = \frac{2K\epsilon_0 A}{d} = 2KC_0 \]  

(4.81)
The half capacitor without then has

\[ C_{\text{none}} = \frac{\epsilon_0 A}{d/2} = \frac{2\epsilon_0 A}{d} = 2C_0 \]  

(4.82)

Now we just add the two like capacitors in series:

\[ \frac{1}{C_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{1}{2KC_0} + \frac{1}{2C_0} \]  

(4.83)

\[ C_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4KC_0}{2KC_0 + 2C_0} \]  

(4.84)

\[ = \frac{2K}{1 + \frac{1}{K}}C_0 \]  

(4.85)

(b) Dielectric “perpendicular” to the plates: \( C_{\text{eff}} = \frac{K+1}{2} C \).

In this case, we think of the half-filled capacitor as two capacitors in parallel, one filled with dielectric, one with nothing. Now each half capacitor has half the plate area, but the same spacing. The upper half capacitor with dielectric then has a capacitance:

\[ C_d = \frac{K\epsilon_0 A}{d} = \frac{K\epsilon_0 A}{2d} = \frac{1}{2} KC_0 \]  

(4.86)

The half capacitor without then has

\[ C_{\text{none}} = \frac{\epsilon_0 A}{d} = \frac{\epsilon_0 A}{2d} = \frac{1}{2} C_0 \]  

(4.87)

Now we just add our parallel capacitors:

\[ C_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} KC_0 + \frac{1}{2} C_0 \]  

(4.88)

\[ = \frac{1}{2} (K + 1) C_0 \]  

(4.89)

\[ = \frac{K + 1}{2} C_0 \]  

(4.90)
Current and Resistance

5.1 Electric Current

Electric current is something that we use and hear about every day, but few of us stop to think about what it really is. What is an electric current? An electric current is nothing more than the net flow of charges through some region in a conductor.

If we take a cross section of a conductor, such as a circular wire, an electric current is said to exist if there is a net flow of charge through this surface. The amount of current is simply the rate at which charge is flowing, the number of charges per unit time that traverse the cross-section. Strictly speaking, we try to choose the cross-sections for defining charge flow such that the charges flow perpendicular to that surface, somewhat like we did for Gauss’s law. Figure 5.2 shows a cartoon depiction of how we define current.

Current is a flux of charge through a wire in the same way that water flow is a flux of water through a pipe. As we shall see, this is a reasonable way to think about electric circuits as well – current always has to flow somewhere, and you don’t want an open connection any more than you would want an open-ended water pipe. Voltage is more like a pressure gauge – you can have a voltage even when nothing is flowing, it just means there is the potential for flow (nerdy pun intended).

If a net amount of charge $\Delta Q$ flows perpendicularly through a particular surface of area $A$ within a time interval $\Delta t$, we define the electric current to be simply the amount of charge divided by the time interval:

\[
I \equiv \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta t}
\]

In other words, current is charge flow per unit time.

This represents a conservation law as well. Charge can neither be created or destroyed. If we have some steady stream of charge pouring into of a region of fixed volume, then the charge density inside would continually grow (tending toward infinity!) if there were not also some compensating flow of charges out of the volume. Putting it the other way around, if a steady stream of charges were leaving the fixed volume, the charge density would also become infinitely large if there were not some other source of charges to replace those lost. But creating charges out of thin air is the
one thing that definitely will not happen! Therefore, the change in the total number of charges in a volume at any time has to equal the net flow of current through that volume, otherwise we would require spontaneous generation of charge[1]

**Units of electric current I:** Coulombs per second [C/s] or Amperes [A].

We should get one thing out of the way right off the bat: the definition for the current direction is somewhat confusing. The historical definition is that current flow is defined as the direction that positive charges would be moving. Of course, at this point we know that usually it is really electrons doing all the work[2] but the definition of electric current came before we knew about electrons. Figure 5.3 is a small exercise to help you understand the calculation of current.

In our previous investigations of electrostatics, we showed that the electric potential (“voltage”) must be the same everywhere in a conductor. This is not true when currents are flowing. When currents flow, we no longer have electrostatic equilibrium – its very definition was that no charges were moving! When currents flow, the electric potential continuously decreases from the point of the current’s source to its sink[3]

**Direction of Current Flow:** the direction of current flow is defined as the direction of net positive charge flow. The flow of electrons, which are usually responsible for the current, is opposite the direction of current flow due to their negative charge.

## 5.2 Getting Current to Flow

Current in real conductors is due to the (net) motion of microscopic charge carriers. How much current flows depends on the average speed of these charge carriers, the number of charge carriers per unit volume (the density of charge carriers), and how much charge is carried by each. But how do we get charges to flow in the first place?

Recall what happened when we charged conductors (Sec. 3.2.1 and 3.2.2). If we take a piece of conductor, and deposit some charge on it, those charges will flow to distribute themselves evenly

---

[1] We have waved our hands a bit here, since we should talk about current density and charge density, but the essential points are the same.

[2] In certain ionic conductors, of the sorts important for batteries for instance, the flow of positive ions does play a key role.

[3] Except in superconductors, where the voltage is always zero. That is another story entirely, though.
on the conductor’s surface. If we then connect the conductor to ground, the excess charge will flow down through the wire – this is a current! So this is one way to make electric currents - put some excess charge on an isolated conductor, and take it away by connecting it to ground. Of course, this is a somewhat cumbersome method ...

More generally, what are we doing when we put excess charge on the conductor? We are changing its electric potential relative to the ground. Let’s say we take electrons away from our conductor, which makes its potential positive relative to ground. Once we connect the conductor to a ground wire, electrons in the ground wire are attracted to our conductor and its relatively positive potential, and they flow up from the ground into the conductor until the conductor is at the same electric potential as the ground. Any time we can make one conductor, or part of a conductor, at a different potential then another, current will try to flow between them. Try being the operative word.

Whether any current does is another story. It depends on how we connect conductors which are at different potential. If we make the potential difference big enough, though, the electrons will always find a way to flow and make a current. Think about how this explains “static” shocks, or the sparks from a Van de Graaff generator, for example.

So this is our answer – in order to get a net flow of charges, we need to provide a potential difference (voltage). The presence of a voltage gives rise to an electric field across the conductor, which in turn causes an electric force, which accelerates the charges. The effectiveness of a potential difference to cause a current depends on the density of charge carriers, their average speed, and microscopic properties of the conductor itself.

The free charges in conductors are extremely numerous and fairly mobile, as we already know. Inside a normal conductor, like copper, there is a fantastic density of charge carriers, $\sim 10^{22}$ electrons per cm$^3$. So many, in fact, that they continuously scatter off of each other and the fixed atoms in the conductor (about once every $10^{-14}$ sec or so, even in a good conductor!). Typical drift speeds in copper are $\sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ m/s for moderate electric fields, compared to the speed of random thermal electron motion of $\sim 10^5$ m/s. Any particular charge carrier has a hard time getting anywhere. Even though the charges are mobile, and able to move at fantastic speeds, the time it takes to actually get anywhere is quite a bit

---

From now on, we will interchangeably use the phrases “potential difference” and “voltage.” From our point of view, they are the same thing.
longer than expected. A bit like pachinko.

One result of all these collisions is that the carriers in, *e.g.*, copper, cover huge *distances* but have a very small *displacement* – most of their movement is wasted, and they end up close to where they started out, so their *net* velocity is very small. Even when we apply a potential difference, the net flow of charges is more sluggish than we might expect, due to all these collisions. It is a bit like trying to get 90,000 people out of Bryant-Denny stadium – even though there is a lot of commotion inside, the net flow of people out the exits is disappointingly small.

The net velocity of charge flow[^1] we call the *drift velocity*, $v_d$. In normal conductors, like copper, this drift velocity is more or less proportional to the voltage applied, a point which we will explore in depth presently.

### 5.3 Drift Velocity and Current

Our conceptual physical picture of current in conductors is basically complete. A voltage induces an electric field, which gives the carriers a net velocity in one direction, which is an electric current. This drift motion along the electric field is superimposed upon the random thermal motion of the charge carriers (just like the random thermal motion in an ideal gas). From here, all we need to do is apply our knowledge of electric forces and fields and kinematics to come up with a relationship between current, field, and voltage. There are many steps yet, but none too difficult.

So first: given a drift velocity $v_d$, through a conductor of cross section $A$, what is the current? The number of charges that flow through our cross section $A$ in the time $\Delta t$ is just the free charge which is physically close enough to *reach* the surface $A$ within that time. Those charges close enough must cover the distance $\Delta x$ in the time $\Delta t$. Since the average speed of the carriers is $v_d$, then we must have $\Delta x = v_d \Delta t$. In other words, in a certain amount of time $\Delta t$, the charge carriers will have, on average, covered a distance $\Delta x$, such that $v_d = \Delta x / \Delta t$. This is illustrated schematically in Figure 5.4.

![Figure 5.4: A small piece of a conductor of cross-sectional area $A$. The charge carriers move with a speed $v_d$, and are displaced by $\Delta x = v_d \Delta t$ in a time interval $\Delta t$. The number of carriers in a section of length $\Delta x$ is, on average, $n A v_d \Delta t$, where $n$ is the density of the charge carriers.](image)

[^1]: Distinct from and not to be confused with the random thermal motion, see below.
is just the number contained within the volume $A \cdot \Delta x$, or $Av_d \Delta t$. A bit more mathematically, we can write this:

\[
\text{number of charge carriers } \equiv N = \text{charge density} \times \text{volume} \\
= \text{charge density} \times \text{area} \times \text{distance covered in time} \Delta t \\
= nA\Delta x = nAv_d \Delta t
\] (5.2, 5.3, 5.4)

Here we have used $n$ to represent the number of charges per unit volume, the carrier density. The total amount of charge is the number of charge carriers times how much charge each one carries, which we'll call $q$. The current then is just the total amount of charge, $Nq$ divided by the total amount of time, $\Delta t$:

\[
I = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta t} = \frac{Nq}{\Delta t} = \frac{nqAv_d \Delta t}{\Delta t} = nqAv_d
\] (5.5)

Current flow related to drift velocity:

We can see that the drift velocity and resulting current are larger when the carriers carry more charge $q$, or when their mass is small. However, it would be nice to have expressions that didn’t directly involve the cross-sectional area of the conductor, so we can calculate general properties independent of any particular conductor shape or size. For this reason, it is common to introduce current density, $J$, which is just the current per unit area. Rewriting Eq. 5.5 in terms of current density, we come up with a simpler and more general expression:

\[
J \equiv \frac{I}{A} = nqv_d
\] (5.6)

Current density related to drift velocity:

Now we can calculate the current density for any given material of arbitrary geometry, and later specify a cross-sectional area to determine absolute currents.

The units of current density: $J$ is current per unit area, and has units of amperes per square meter [$\text{A/m}^2$].

5.4 Resistance and Ohm’s Law

From Equation 5.5, we saw that the current through a conductor can be expected to scale with the drift velocity. You might expect that the effect of increasing the applied voltage across a conductor
ΔV is to increase the drift velocity. This is basically true, but justifying that statement will require a few more steps.

More accurately, the presence of a potential difference between two points on the conductor means that those two points are at different potential energies. Recall that negative charges want to move from regions of lower potential to regions of higher potential. In a conductor, even when a current flows, the charges like to spread out as evenly as possible. This even and moving distribution of charge gives rise to a uniform electric field. If the potential difference ΔV is applied over some distance \( l \), and the electric field is uniform, we know from Equations 4.12 and 4.13 that the electric field along the length of the conductor must be given by:

\[
E = \frac{\Delta V}{l}
\]  

(5.7)

The presence of the electric field causes an acceleration of the charge carriers:

\[
a = \frac{F_e}{m} = \frac{q}{m}E
\]

(5.8)

Thus the acceleration of the charge carriers depends only on the electric field and their charge-mass ratio, \( q/m \), about \( 1.76 \times 10^{11} \) C/kg for electrons. In order to figure out how much current will flow for a given potential difference, we need to find a way to take into account the dissipative effect of all the collisions the carriers are constantly undergoing. In a sense, the collection of charge carriers is a bit like an ideal gas, and our treatment here is reminiscent of an ideal gas law derivation. The analogy is a close one (and useful if you are a chemist) – the innumerable electrons in a conductor are often called an electron gas.

### 5.4.1 Drift Velocity and Collisions

If we assume the charge carriers are electrons, of mass \( m_e \) (and charge \(-e\)), then each has an average momentum \( p = m_e v_d \)[vi]. We expect on average that each collision an electron experiences will completely destroy all forward momentum – they are stopped cold by every single collision. This makes some sense, since most of the collisions will be with the atoms making up the conductor, which are very heavy compared to electrons, rather than with other electrons. If all forward momentum is destroyed, then the electron is left with only its random thermal motion. If there were no electric force present to accelerate the electrons, the random thermal motion of all the electrons will cancel out, and there is no net flow or current.

We can easily find the thermal velocity of the carriers just like we do for an ideal gas – the thermal energy of the electrons is \( \frac{3}{2}k_BT \), where \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant, and we equate this to

[vi] Since we are talking about zillions of collisions in every possible random direction, there is no need to carry around the vector baggage here. We will just deal with scalar magnitudes.
the carriers’ kinetic energy:

\[ \frac{3}{2} k_B T = \frac{1}{2} m v_{th}^2 \]  
\[ \Rightarrow v_{th} = \sqrt{\frac{3k_B T}{m}} \sim 10^5 \text{ m/s (at 295 K)} \]  

Here we use \( v_{th} \) to specify the thermal velocity distinctly from the electric-field-induced drift velocity. As it turns out, the thermal velocity typically greatly exceeds the drift velocity (by ten million times or so!) – the acceleration of the carriers by the electric field induces only a tiny velocity compared to that given by the random thermal motion of the carriers. Again, this is what leads to carriers covering huge distances but having very small displacements. The overall motion is terribly chaotic, and even fairly large electric fields only alter the carrier velocity in conductors by parts per million at best. Still, the random thermal velocities do not contribute to the electric current \( \text{vii} \); it is only the tiny field-induced drift velocity that gives rise to electric current.

**Boltzmann’s Constant:**

\[ k_B = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K} = 8.62 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV/s} \]  

We should also keep in mind that the collisions the carriers undergo are not continuous, but happen one after another with some average time between them \( \tau \). In that time interval, the electron loses its momentum \( m_e v_d \) due to a collision, and thereafter regains it due to the action of electric field present, only to lose it again about \( \tau \) seconds later. As stated above, the presence of the electric force \( F_e \) gives the electron an acceleration \( a = F_e/m_e \), which allows it to regain its former drift velocity. From kinematics, we would expect a mean displacement \( v_d \approx a \tau \).ix

The starting and stopping motion of the carriers gives us an average rate at which the electrons are losing momentum due to the collisions and associated impulse forces. We can straightforwardly find this momentum change as:

\[ \left( \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta t} \right)_{\text{loss}} = \frac{m_e v_d}{\tau} \]  

Remember, \( \Delta p/\Delta t \) is also a force – we are still dealing with kinematics, even though we have involved electricity. Once the scattering event is over, the electron regains momentum through the action of the electric force caused by the electric field. We can easily write down the momentum change:

\[ \Delta p = \frac{m_e v_d}{\tau} \]  

\[ \text{vii} \] They do give rise to electrical noise, however.

\[ \text{viii} \] For Cu, we can estimate \( \tau \sim 2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ s} \).

\[ \text{ix} \] Depending on the method of derivation, there may be a factor of 2 in this expression, but the physics is the same.
gained up until the next collision:

\[
\left( \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta t} \right)_{\text{gain}} = F_e = qE = -eE
\]  

(5.13)

Now, the total momentum loss has to equal the total momentum gain for there to be a steady state. If this were not true, the momentum would quickly build up, and the whole wire would start to move! So we must impose conservation of momentum:

\[
\left( \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta t} \right)_{\text{loss}} = \left( \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta t} \right)_{\text{gain}}
\]  

(5.14)

\[
\frac{m_e v_d}{\tau} = -eE_x
\]  

(5.15)

\[
v_d = \frac{-e\tau}{m_e} E
\]  

(5.16)

Now we have an expression for the average drift velocity of electrons flowing along the wire, in terms of the average time between carrier collisions:

**Drift velocity and electric field:**

\[
v_d = \frac{-e\tau}{m_e} E
\]  

(5.17)

here \(\tau\) is the mean time between collisions, and \(E\) the electric field.

The minus sign makes sense here, by the way. Since electrons are negatively charged, they move in the opposite direction that the electric field lines point. It is also reassuring that the drift velocity increases as \(\tau\) increases, since more time between collisions means more time spent accelerating, and that in principle lighter carriers would have a higher velocity since they are more easily accelerated. Finally, the proportionality with the electric field is what we expect.

For typical metals, we can estimate\(^2\) drift velocities of about \(5 \times 10^{-3}\) m/s for a moderate electric field of 1 V/m, about eight orders of magnitude below the thermal velocity! Really, the effect of the electric field is quite negligible in one sense, but it has profound consequences.

Another way of seeing this is as an application of Newton’s laws – \(\Delta p/\Delta t\) is nothing more than force, and the equations above are also in some sense a force balance between the electric force, and the impulse force due to the collision.

### 5.4.1.1 Mean Free Path and Mobility

Instead of dealing with the mean time between collisions, we could just as easily have started with the mean distance that electrons travel before undergoing a collision.\(^8\) This quantity is known as

---

\(^{2}\)Here we do mean the distance covered between collisions, not the displacement
the *mean free path*, $\lambda_{\text{mfp}}$, and it has essentially the same meaning as it does in the kinetic theory of gasses. The shorter the time between collisions, the smaller the mean free path, and vice versa. The mean time and mean free path are easily related through kinematics:

$$
\lambda_{\text{mfp}} = \tau (v_d + v_{th}) \approx \tau v_{th} \quad (5.18)
$$

Here we are considering the total distance covered not just the net displacement, so we need to use the *total* velocity, $v_d + v_{th}$. For the last relationship, we have made use of the fact that $v_{th} \gg v_d$. What this means is that the mean distance (and mean time) between collisions does *not* really depend on the applied electric field, but really *only* comes from the random thermal motion of the carriers.

As another aside, the proportionality constant between drift velocity and electric field in Eq. 5.17 is often called the carrier *mobility*, which is just what it sounds like. In this case, we write $v_d = \mu E$, where $\mu$ is the mobility:

### Carrier mobility:

$$
v_d = \mu E \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = \frac{q \tau}{m} \quad (5.19)
$$

where $q$ is the charge of the carrier, $m$ its mass, and $v_d$ its drift velocity. Mobility relates the drift velocity of carriers to the applied electric field. The units for mobility are m$^2$/V·s.

From the units of $\mu$ (m$^2$/V·s) and $E$ (N/C or V/m), we can see that mobility is a quantity that tells us how far a charge is able to move per second per unit of electric field (V/m). Now we have a nice expression for *exactly* what we mean by mobility, rather than just a vague notion.

### 5.4.2 Current, Electric Field, and Voltage

From here, the rest is easy, we already derived Eq. 5.6 above, relating drift velocity and current density! Plugging Eq. 5.17 into Eq. 5.6:

### Relation between current density and electric field:

$$
J = \frac{I}{A} = n q v_d = -n e E \frac{\tau}{m_e} = \frac{n e^2 \tau}{m_e} E \equiv \frac{1}{\varrho} E \quad (5.20)
$$

where $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the conductor, $E$ is the electric field, $q$ is the charge per electron of $-e$, $n$ is the density of electrons in the material, $\tau$ is the average time between electron collisions, and $\varrho$ is a constant of proportionality known as the *resistivity* of the conductor.
5.4 Resistance and Ohm’s Law

In the end, it turns out that current density (or current) and electric field are simply proportional. We could almost have guessed this in the first place, but now we have a formal relationship between the two, and we even know the constant of proportionality. In this regard, we have sneakily defined a new quantity \( \rho \), the electrical resistivity, which is the constant of proportionality between current density and electric field.\footnote{We will use a slightly different rho character for resistivity, \( \rho \), to distinguish it from the one we use for mass density, \( \rho \).}

**Resistivity \( \rho \) for “free electrons”**

\[
\rho = \frac{m_e}{n e^2 \tau}
\]

where \( n \) is the density of electrons in the material, and \( \tau \) is the average time between electron collisions.

**Units of resistivity:** \( \rho \) has units of Volt-meters per amp [V·m/A], or Ohm-meters, [Ω·m].

Resistivity represents the effectiveness with which a given electric field or potential difference causes a current to flow, and is a (strongly) material-dependent property – it is a measure of the resistance of a material to current flow. We see that the resistivity gets larger when the time between electron collisions gets smaller, just as we would expect, and it gets larger when we increase the density of free carriers. We will return to the resistivity of various materials shortly. We can go further in our analysis by noting that the potential difference and electric field are simply related by Eq. 5.7, \( E = \Delta V/l \), which leads us to:

\[
J = \frac{I}{A} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\Delta V}{l} \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta V = \frac{\rho l}{A} I = \rho l J
\]

In other words, we find \( J \propto I \propto \Delta V \) – the current flow in a conductor is proportional to the magnitude of the applied voltage, and the amount of current one gets for a particular applied voltage depends on the conductor’s resistivity and geometry. We can make this simpler by introducing a new constant of proportionality \( R = \frac{\rho l}{A} \). This, along with the definition of current density \( (J = I/A) \), will allow us to relate \( I \) and \( \Delta V \) directly. **This new constant of proportionality \( R \) between \( I \) and \( \Delta V \) is known as the resistance of the conductor**, and it allows us to connect \( \Delta V \) and \( I \) in the traditional form known as Ohm’s law:
5.4 Resistance and Ohm’s Law

**Ohm’s Law:**
Current through and voltage across a conductor are proportional, the constant of proportionality is the **resistance** of the conductor.

\[
\Delta V = IR \quad \text{or} \quad I = \frac{\Delta V}{R} \quad \text{or} \quad R = \frac{\Delta V}{I}
\]  

(5.23)

\(R\) is different for different conductors and geometries.

### 5.4.3 Resistance

The presence of resistance does not mean that conductors “lose” current, greater resistance just lessens the ability of a given \(\Delta V\) to create a current. **Resistance** is somewhat analogous to viscosity for a liquid or kinetic friction – it just makes it **harder** for charge to flow, a larger resistance requires a larger potential difference for the same current.

**The units for resistance** \(R\) are volts per ampere [V/A], or Ohms [Ω]

Not all conductors follow Ohm’s law, it is only valid for certain materials (it is valid for most metals). Those conductors that do follow Ohm’s law give a specific resistance \(R\) for a given \(\Delta V\) and \(I\), and are called “ohmic.” Those that do not follow Ohm’s law are simply “non-ohmic.” A **Resistor** is a circuit element made out of such an ohmic conductor, which provides a specific value of \(R\) for use in a circuit. The circuit diagram symbol for a resistor is shown below, and Fig. 5.5 shows a picture of a common type of resistor.

**Circuit diagram symbols for resistors:**

A non-ohmic device is characterized by a current-voltage plot that is *not* a straight line, current and voltage are not proportional. What this means is that our simple model of relatively free electrons drifting along in a conductor does not apply in those cases. Often this means that the electrons are interacting in a more complicated way with other electrons or atomic nuclei. One common example non-ohmic behavior is a device called a **diode**, which we will encounter in our laboratory experiments shortly. A diode is a semiconductor device that only lets charge pass through in one direction – in essence it is a “check valve” for electrons. In the “reverse” direction, ideal diodes do not allow current to flow at all. In the “forward” direction, diodes allow current to flow as soon as a certain threshold voltage has been reached. Perhaps without knowing it, you encounter diodes every day, in the form of Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs) commonly found on electronic panels (and these days in newer traffic lights). In LEDs, the onset of current flow beyond the threshold voltage is commensurate with the onset of light output.

*Figure 5.5: A picture of a common type of resistor.*

*Dr. LeClair*  
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5.4 Resistance and Ohm’s Law

Figure 5.6 shows current ($I$) as a function of voltage ($V$) for a 200 Ω resistor (Ohmic), and a red light-emitting diode (LED; non-Ohmic). For an Ohmic device, the slope of an $I$ vs. $V$ curve is $\Delta I/\Delta V = 1/R$. The higher the slope on the plot, the lower the resistance. The resistor shows a constant slope, as expected, while the diode shows a slope which dramatically decreases at higher applied voltages – the resistance decreases dramatically as $V$ increases. Note that this measurement is for a “forward biased” LED, the threshold voltage of $\sim 1.5$ V is clearly visible. For negative voltages, essentially zero current flows through the LED (and there is no light output).

**Question:** would a capacitor follow Ohm’s law?

No. When a constant potential difference is applied to a capacitor, no steady current flows.

5.4.4 Resistors as Circuit Elements

What good is a device like a resistor that apparently restricts current flow? In Sect. 4.5 we realized that in order to place different objects at different potentials – thus creating current flow – we need a voltage source. What happens if we want to put several objects at several different voltages? This is one thing resistors can do, they can be used to control voltage levels. In this capacity, you have probably used dozens of resistors already today – dimmer switches, volume controls, and a million other things. Another useful function of resistors is to divide a single current up into two or more, which we will learn about in the next chapter.

In order to start to see the utility in resistors, we should think a bit about what happens when we source a current through a resistor. In Fig. 5.7a, there is a current $I$ in the resistor of value $R$. Ohm’s law (Eq. 5.23) tells us that the potential difference due to the current $I$ in the resistor $R$ has to be $\Delta V = IR$.

When thinking of a resistor as an actual, physical component, what this means is that the voltage difference between the two ends of the resistor is $\Delta V = V_b - V_a$. When charges flow from point $a$
to point \( b \) in the resistor, they lower their potential energy by \( \Delta V \). This might be more clear if we purposely ground point \( a \), Fig. 5.7(a), which defines \( V_a = 0 \). Now charges start out at zero potential, and lose \( \Delta V \) after traversing the resistor, and end up with potential \( V_b = -\Delta V \). Similarly, if we ground point \( b \), Fig. 5.7(c), then \( V_b = 0 \), and \( V_a = \Delta V \). What we have just figured out is one of the basic functionalities of a resistor – controlling the relative voltages between points \( a \) and \( b \) when a current is flowing.

\[
\Delta V = V_b - V_a = -IR
\]

Incidentally, this all still works if we are using a voltage source instead of a current source. If instead we applied a voltage difference of \( \Delta V \) between points \( a \) and \( b \) of the resistor using a voltage source, the potential difference would create a current \( I = \frac{\Delta V}{R} \), in accordance with Ohm’s law. Whether we source constant voltage, constant current, or some combination of the two, Ohm’s law is still valid for resistors.

5.4.5 Resistivity of Materials

So far, we have mathematically derived the expected relationship between current, voltage, and electric field. We have even found a way to relate the proportionality constants, resistance and resistivity, to materials properties like the mean time between carrier collisions and mean free path. Do the dependencies we found make any sense, though, and how do we relate this to what we will actually measure in the lab? What resistance should we find for a particular copper wire, for example?

We can figure out if what we have makes sense qualitatively. From the discussion above, we can see that the drift velocity, and resulting current, get larger if we apply our potential difference between points very close together (make \( l \) small). We might also expect that the current depends on how big the cross sectional area \( A \) of the conductor is – the larger \( A \) is, the more charge carriers per unit time will be able to flow through comfortably.

We would expect that for a given \( \Delta V \), applied over a conductor of length \( l \), that \( I \propto A \) and \( I \propto l^{-1} \). This mostly makes sense (and it is what we have derived above) – we need thick wires to carry large currents, and for long wires we need larger \( \Delta V \) to make the same current flow. So how do we find out the “intrinsic” resistance of a material, independent of size? In fact, this is exactly what the resistivity \( \rho \) is. If we know the resistivity of a conductor, and its dimensions, we can calculate the expected resistivity. And vice versa – if we know the resistance and dimensions of a conductor, we can find its resistivity if we recall the definition of resistance based on Eq. 5.22.

\[ \Delta V = V_b - V_a = -IR \]
5.4 Resistance and Ohm’s Law

Resistivity, resistance, and geometry:

\[ \rho = \frac{RA}{l} \quad \text{or} \quad R = \frac{\rho l}{A} \]  

where \( \rho \) is the material’s resistivity, \( R \) is the resistance of the conductor, \( A \) is the cross-sectional area of the conductor, and \( l \) is its length.

Resistivity is material dependent. Copper, for example, is a better conductor than steel, which is one reason why we use it for the vast majority of wiring (also, it is reasonably cheap!). Resistivity does depend on extrinsic parameters, however. For given samples of, e.g., copper, the resistivity can vary wildly depending how pure the copper is, its microstructure, and many other factors. Comparing resistivities between different materials absolutely is only truly valid for extremely pure, perfect crystals at low temperatures. One can make a very dirty sample of copper that is a worse conductor than steel at room temperature, for example. The resistivity for many common conducting materials is listed in Table 5.1.

### 5.4.6 Variation of Resistance with Temperature

The resistivity \( \rho \), and therefore the resistance \( R \), of a conductor depends on many factors. One primary consideration is the purity and morphology of the conductor, as discussed briefly above. Another primary factor is temperature. For most metals, resistivity decreases as temperature decreases. In fact, many use this as a (loose) definition of “metallic behavior” when discussing resistivity.

In conductors, this can be qualitatively understood in simple terms. Much of the resistance of a conductor comes from the myriad collisions between the electrons and the atoms of the conductor. As the temperature of the conductor increases, its constituent atoms vibrate with greater amplitudes. As a result, the electrons find it increasingly difficult to navigate through the conductor at higher temperatures. One way to envision this is to view a plucked guitar string. As the string vibrates, it moves vaster than your eye can keep up with.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( \rho_0 ) [( \Omega \cdot \text{m} )]</th>
<th>( \alpha_\rho ) [C(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>1.59\times10^{-8}</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1.72\times10^{-8}</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>2.44\times10^{-8}</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>2.82\times10^{-8}</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>1.0\times10^{-7}</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>1.1\times10^{-7}</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>2.2\times10^{-7}</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>3.5\times10^{-5}</td>
<td>-0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>6.4\times10^{2}</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>10^{10} – 10^{14}</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard rubber</td>
<td>\sim 1\times10^{13}</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz (fused)</td>
<td>8\times10^{17}</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon</td>
<td>\geq 1\times10^{22}</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and it appears to be larger and fuzzier. As the temperature increases, the atoms appear slightly "larger and fuzzier" from the electron’s point of view. This increases the scattering of the electrons, the result of which is increased resistance.

Over a limited temperature range, the resistivity of many conductors increases linearly with temperature, according to:

Temperature variation of resistivity in a conductor:

\[
\varrho = \varrho_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha_\varrho (T - T_0) \right]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5.25)

where \(\alpha_\varrho\) is called the temperature coefficient of resistivity. The values of \(\varrho_0\) and \(\alpha_\varrho\) are listed for many materials in Table 5.1.

A positive value for \(\alpha_\varrho\) is expected for metals, based on increased vibration of atoms at higher temperatures. Certain materials obey this empirical relationship far better than others. For platinum, it is an exceptionally good approximation over a wide temperature range. As a result, the resistance variation of carefully fabricated platinum pieces is often used to actually measure temperature. Figure 5.8 shows the roughly linear behavior of resistance with temperature for a thin film of Cobalt. At the lowest temperatures, the resistance varies very little – as thermal fluctuations become tiny, the dominant contribution to resistance is actually imperfections and impurities. At higher temperatures, above \(\sim 80\) K in this case, the observed resistance is roughly linear with temperature.

A negative value of \(\alpha_\varrho\) is observed for semiconductors. This is because conduction in semiconductors is fundamentally different from that in metals. At lower temperatures, charges in semiconductors are weakly bound to host atoms and not very mobile, leading to a high resistivity. At higher temperatures, random thermal motion of the charges overcomes this weak bonding, and the charges actually become more mobile at higher temperatures. Based on Eq. 5.19 we would expect a larger mobility to lead to a larger drift velocity for the same applied electric field (or voltage), and hence a larger current. A larger current for the same voltage or electric field means a lower resistance, and the resistance of semiconductors decreases as temperature increases.\xii

---

\xii We are ignoring the fact that the number of carriers also increases as temperature increases in a semiconductor, which is significant and also causes resistance to decrease as temperature increases.
5.5 Electrical Energy and Power

Now we know that when a potential difference is applied between the ends of a conductor, an electric field is set up within the conductor, creating an electric force on the electrons which drives a current. This decreases the potential energy of the carriers, and increases their kinetic energy. The repeated collisions, which result in the relatively small drift velocity, transfer (kinetic) energy from the carriers to the conductor’s atoms. This carrier’s kinetic energy is converted into primarily vibrational energy of the atoms, which corresponds to a temperature increase in the conductor. In short: all of the collisions in a conductor dissipate energy as heat, and this is a power loss.

Where does the energy come to cause this heat? From the power source driving the current, which could be the chemical energy stored in a battery. How much power is lost? Let us consider a resistor of value $R$ in a circuit with a constant current through it, resulting in a potential difference $\Delta V = I R$. When an amount of charge $\Delta Q$, corresponding to some number of electrons, passes through a resistor, it passes through a potential difference of $\Delta V$, so lowers its potential energy by $\Delta P E = \Delta Q \Delta V$. This lost potential energy is what can be converted into heat inside the resistor.

If it takes an amount of time $\Delta t$ for the charge packet $\Delta Q$ to go through the resistor, the rate of potential energy loss is:

$$\frac{\Delta P E}{\Delta t} = \frac{\Delta Q \Delta V}{\Delta t} = I \Delta V$$

(5.26)

Here we used Eq. 5.1. The rate at which the charges lose potential energy is equal to the rate at which the internal energy of the resistor rises. Energy change per unit time is nothing more than power (which we will denote by a fancy scripted $\mathcal{P}$ to avoid confusion with pressure).

**Power delivered in a circuit**

$$\mathcal{P} = I \Delta V$$

(5.27)

In fact, Equation 5.27 is valid for any type of device, Ohmic or not. We didn’t make any special assumptions, only that the packet of charge $\Delta Q$ passes through a net potential difference of $\Delta V$, so this result works for any sort of electronic device, not just resistors. If we do have an Ohmic device, say just a plain resistor, we know the relationship between $I$ and $\Delta V$ from Equation 5.23. Substituting that into the expression above:

**Power delivered to a resistor**

$$\mathcal{P} = I^2 R = \frac{\Delta V^2}{R}$$

(5.28)
5.6 Quick Questions

1. An electric current is:
   - □ The rate at which charge flows through a surface
   - □ The rate at which electric potential changes
   - □ The number of charges per unit volume
   - □ A flow of electrons

2. Which of the following correctly states Ohm’s law:
   - □ \( \Delta V = I/R \)
   - □ \( \Delta V = IR \)
   - □ \( R = I/\Delta V \)
   - □ \( I = \Delta Q/\Delta t \)

3. An electric current of 1\( \mu \)A flows through a conductor, which results in a 1.5 mV potential difference. The resistance of the conductor is:
   - □ 1.5 \( \Omega \)
   - □ 6.6 \( \times 10^{-4} \) \( \Omega \)
   - □ 1.5 \( \times 10^{-9} \) \( \Omega \)
   - □ 1500 \( \Omega \)

4. Which of the following does not obey Ohm’s law? Check all that apply.
   - □ A resistor
   - □ A slab of Copper
   - □ A diode
   - □ An insulator
   - □ A capacitor
5. Consider the positive and negative charges moving horizontally through the four regions below. Which one has the highest current? Consider the \( +x \) direction to be to the right.

- A
- B
- C
- D

6. When we power a light bulb, are we using up charges and converting them to light?

- Yes, charges moving through the filament produce “friction” which heats up the filament and produces light
- Yes, charges are emitted and observed as light
- No, charge is conserved. It is simply converted to another form such as heat and light.
- No, charge is conserved. Charges moving through the filament produce “friction” which heats up the filament and produces light.

7. The drift velocity of charges in a typical copper wire is very small, \( \sim 10^{-3} \text{ m/s} \). At this rate, it would take about 15 minutes after flipping the switch for your lights to come on. Why do your lights actually come on almost instantaneously?

- Charges are already in the wire. When the circuit is completed, there is a rapid rearrangement of surface charges in the circuit.
- Charges store energy. When the circuit is completed, the energy is released.
- Charges in the wire travel very fast
- The circuits in a home are wired in parallel. Thus, a current is already flowing

8. If you double the current through a resistor ...

- The potential difference doubles
- The potential difference is half
- The potential difference is the same
- None of the above
9. Suppose a current-carrying wire has a cross-sectional area that gradually becomes smaller along the wire, so that the wire has the shape of a very long cone. How does the drift speed vary along the wire?

- It slows down as the cross section becomes smaller
- It speeds up as the cross section becomes smaller
- It doesn’t change
- More information is required

10. If the number of carriers in a conductor \( n \) decreases by 100 times, but the carriers’ drift velocity \( v_d \) increases by 5 times, by how much does its resistance change?

- It increases by 20 times.
- It decreases by 500 times.
- It decreases by 20 times.
- It increases by 500 times.

11. A current \( I \) flows through two resistors in series of values \( R \) and \( 2R \). The wire connecting the two resistors is connected to ground at point b. Assume that these resistors are part of a larger complete circuit, such that the current \( I \) is constant in magnitude and direction. What is the electric potential relative to ground at points a and c, \( V_a \) and \( V_c \), respectively? *Hint: what is the potential of a ground point?*

- \( V_a = -IR, \ V_c = -2IR \)
- \( V_a = 0, \ V_c = -3IR \)
- \( V_a = +IR, \ V_c = +2IR \)
- \( V_a = +IR, \ V_c = -2IR \)

12. The figure at right shows the current-voltage relationship for a light-emitting diode (LED) and a resistor. When the voltage is 1.7 V, which has the higher resistance?

- The resistor.
- The LED.
- Cannot be determined.
- They have the same resistance.
13. Suppose a (cylindrical) electrical wire is replaced with one of the same material, but having every linear dimension doubled - the length and radius are twice their original values. Does the new wire have:

- [ ] the same resistance
- [ ] twice the resistance
- [ ] half the resistance
- [ ] four times the resistance
- [ ] one quarter the resistance
5.7 Problems

1. A potential difference of 11 V is found to produce a current of 0.45 A in a 3.8 m length of wire with a uniform radius of 3.8 mm. What is the resistivity of the wire?

2. In a time interval of 1.37 sec, the amount of charge that passes through a light bulb is 1.73 C. How many electrons pass through the bulb in 5.00 sec?

3. A toaster is rated at 550 W when connected to a 130 V source. What current does the toaster carry?

4. (a) A high-voltage transmission line with a diameter of 1.60 cm and a length 200 km carries a steady current of 1000 A. If the conductor is copper wire with a free charge density of \( n = 8.20 \times 10^{28} \) electrons/m\(^3\), how long does it take one electron to travel the full length of the line?

   (b) A high-voltage transmission line carries 1000 A starting at 600 kV for a distance of 150 mi. If the resistance in the wire is 0.5 \( \Omega/\text{mi} \), what is the power loss due to resistive losses?
5.8 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. An electric current is the rate at which charge flows through a surface. If a net amount of charge $\Delta Q$ flows perpendicularly through a surface cross section of area $A$ in a time interval $\Delta t$, the electric current $I$ is the net charge divided by the amount of time, as given by Equation 5.1

$$ I = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta t} $$

2. $\Delta V = IR$ correctly states Ohm’s law. Current through and voltage across a conductor are proportional, the constant of proportionality is the resistance of the conductor. Ohm’s law is stated in Equation 5.23

$$ \Delta V = IR $$

3. The resistance of the conductor is 1500 $\Omega$. Using Ohm’s Law:

$$ R = \frac{\Delta V}{I} = \frac{1.5 \text{ mV}}{1 \mu\text{A}} = \frac{1.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ V}}{1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ A}} = \frac{1.5}{10^{-3}} \text{ } \Omega = 1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ } \Omega 

4. Diodes, insulators, and capacitors do not obey Ohm’s law. A resistor by definition obey’s Ohm’s law. A normal conductor like copper also obey’s Ohm’s law. A diode has a non-linear $I-V$ relationship, and therefore does not obey Ohm’s law. An insulator has no mobile charges, and cannot conduct current, so therefore does not obey Ohm’s law. A capacitor also does not let a constant current pass through it, and does not obey Ohm’s law.

5. A has the largest current. There are really only three rules to keep in mind: (1) a negative charge moving in one direction is the same thing as a positive charge moving in the opposite direction, (2) a positive and negative charge moving in the same direction cancel out, and (3) two charges of the same sign moving in the opposite direction cancel out. With that in mind ...

In figure A, there are 3 positive charges moving to the right, and two negative charges moving to the left, the same as 5 positive charges moving to the right.

In B, four positive charges move to the left, which gives a negative current.

In C, two positive charges moving to the right and two negative charges moving to the left gives the same as four positive charges moving to the right.

In D, this is the same as two positive charges moving to the left, for a negative current.

 Ranked from highest to lowest, we would have A, C, D, B.

6. No, charge is conserved. Charges moving through the filament produce “friction” which heats up the filament and produces light.

Charges are not used up, and charge cannot be converted to heat or light. The “friction” charges experience is resistance, which leads to a conversion of the charges’ electrical potential energy into vibrational energy in the wire (heat) through collisions between the charges and atoms in the wire. The filament heats up due to the collisions between the charges and its atoms, and glows at it gets hotter.
7. Charges are already in the wire. When the circuit is completed, there is a rapid rearrangement of surface charges in the circuit.

This one can be solved by elimination if nothing else. Clearly, the charges in the wire are not traveling very fast, the problem states this. That takes out the third answer. Wiring the house in parallel does not make a difference – there is no current flowing through the light bulb when the switch is off no matter how the house is wired. If there were a current already, the light would be on! If this were true, what good would the switch be? There can be a current flowing in adjacent circuits, but this is not relevant for the bulb itself. This takes out the fourth answer.

Charges do not store energy just sitting in a wire, their energy only changes by moving between regions of differing electrical potential. Electrical potential energy is also not ‘released’ by the charges. Once a current flows, the charges collide with the atoms and the electrical potential energy is converted to vibrational energy of the atoms in the wire. This process requires a current to flow, so we still have to reconcile the tiny drift velocity with the almost instantaneous action of the light switch. Electrical potential energy cannot just magically be converted to light. This would be the same as saying that gravitational potential energy could just be released by an object. How? And released to where? The second answer, though it seems halfway reasonable at first, is just using a bunch of words that sound right in a non-meaningful way.

The real answer is that the wire is already full of charges. Turning on the light switch pushes charges in one end of the wire, and this displaces the charges already in the wire all along its length. The charges on the far end of the wire are pushed out as a result, and this is how current flows almost instantaneously – even though a single charge moves slowly, each charge pushes its neighbor further down the wire, and the net movement of charge occurs rapidly across the wire.

It is the same as turning on the hot water faucet in a way. Water comes out right away – the pipe is already filled with water. Hot water only comes out after some time, since it takes a while for water to go from the water heater to the faucet. Charges come out of the wire right away, but they are not the same charges entering the other end of the wire – the wire is already full of charge.

8. If you double the current through a resistor, the potential difference doubles. Since \( I = \frac{\Delta V}{R} \), if \( I \) doubles and \( R \) remains the same, \( \Delta V \) must also double. This is a conceptual question, but one that is most easily answered with a bit of algebra. Recall the relation between potential difference, current, and resistance (Ohm’s law):

\[
R = \frac{\Delta V}{I}
\]

If we double the current \( I \) to \( 2I \), and the resistance remains the same, it is easy to see that the \( \Delta V \) must also double:

\[
R = \frac{(?)\Delta V}{2I} \quad \implies \quad (?) \text{ must equal } 2
\]

9. The drift speed increases as the cross section becomes smaller. We can relate current, area, and drift velocity using Eq. 5.5:

\[
I = v_d n q A \quad \text{or} \quad v_d = \frac{I}{n q A}
\]
This tells us that drift velocity scales inversely with the area, so if the area decreases, the drift velocity must increase. Again, it works the same way for water in pipes – the smaller the pipe, the higher the pressure and the larger the velocity.

10. This is easily answered with some algebra. First, we recall the relation between current and drift velocity:

\[ I = n q A v_d \]

What we are really after is the resistance, however, which we can find with Ohm’s law:

\[ R = \frac{\Delta V}{I} = \frac{\Delta V}{n q A v_d} \propto \frac{1}{n v_d} \]

So the resistance is inversely proportional to the carrier density and drift velocity. Let’s say the initial resistance is \( R_0 \), and the resistance after changing \( n \) and \( v_d \) is just \( R \). If we decrease the number of carriers by 100 times, the resistance goes up by 100 times. If we increase the drift velocity by 5 times, the resistance goes down by 5 times.

\[ R_0 \propto \frac{1}{n v_d} \]
\[ R \propto \frac{1}{(\frac{n}{100})(5v_d)} = \frac{1}{\frac{n v_d}{20}} = \frac{20}{n v_d} \]
\[ \Rightarrow R = 20R_0 \]

Even though we don’t know what the actual resistance \( R_0 \) is, we can say that \( R \) is twenty times more. The one tricky step here is to write down the proper relationship between resistance and the given quantities, not just the relationship between current and the given quantities.

11. What we have to remember here is that grounding a point in circuit defines its potential to be zero, so \( V_b = 0 \). First, consider the resistor \( R \). If there is a current \( I \) flowing through it from left to right, we know that the potential difference between points a and b must be \( \Delta V_{ba} = V_b - V_a = -IR \). That is, the presence of a current \( I \) means that there is a drop of potential for charges going across the resistor. If we know that the potential at b is zero due to the ground point, \( V_b = 0 \), then in order to satisfy \( \Delta V_{ba} = V_b - V_a = -IR \), we have to have \( V_a = +IR \).

12. The LED has the higher resistance. Resistance is just voltage divided by current. If we pick a constant voltage of 1.7 V, then which ever component has a lower current has a higher resistance. At 1.7 V, the curve for the LED is well below that of the resistor, so the LED has a much smaller current at the same voltage, and thus a higher resistance.

13. Half the resistance. Let’s say the original resistance is \( R_0 \), and the original wire has a length \( l_0 \) and radius \( r_0 \). Since the material is the same, we can presume that the resistivity \( \rho \) is the same as well. The original resistance can be written in terms of the resistivity, length, and cross-sectional area \( (A = \pi r_0^2) \) of the wire:

\[ R_0 = \frac{\rho l_0}{A} = \frac{\rho l_0}{\pi r_0^2} \]
5.9 Solutions to Problems

1. \(2.9 \times 10^{-4} \, \Omega \cdot m\). We first need to know the relation between resistivity and resistance, which includes the cross-sectional area of the wire \(A\) and its length \(l\):

\[
R = \frac{\varrho}{A} \quad \text{or} \quad \varrho = \frac{RA}{l}
\]

And then we add in the relation between current, voltage, and resistance, viz. \(R = \frac{\Delta V}{I}\).

\[
\varrho = \frac{RA}{l} = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta V}{I}\right) A}{l} = \frac{\Delta V \cdot A}{I \cdot l}
\]

The wire is said to have a uniform radius, which can only be true if its cross section is circular. The area of the circular cross section is then just \(A = \pi r^2\). Making sure we keep track of the units, we just plug everything in and run the numbers:

\[
\varrho = \frac{\Delta V \cdot A}{I \cdot l} = \frac{11 \, V \cdot \pi (3.8 \times 10^{-3} \, m)^2}{0.45 \, A \cdot 3.8 \, m} = 2.9 \times 10^{-4} \, \frac{V \cdot m}{A} = 2.9 \times 10^{-4} \, \Omega \cdot m
\]

2. \(3.95 \times 10^{19}\) electrons. We know that each electron carries a charge of \(-1.6 \times 10^{-19} \, C\), so if we can figure out how much total charge has flowed through the bulb in 5 seconds, we can divide by the charge per electron to get the total number of electrons. First, we can calculate the amount of charge per second - the current - over the first 1.37 seconds from the given quantities:

\[
I = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta t} = 1.73 \, C = 1.26 \, C/s = 1.26 \, A
\]

Next, we can find the total charge that passes in 5 seconds by rearranging the formula:

\[
\Delta Q = I \Delta t = 1.26 \, A \times 5.00 \, s = 6.31 \, C
\]

Finally, we can divide the total charge by the charge per electron:

\[
\text{# of electrons} = \frac{\text{total charge}}{\text{charge per electron}} = \frac{6.31 \, C}{1.60 \times 10^{-19} \, C/\text{electron}} = 3.95 \times 10^{19} \, \text{electrons}
\]

3. \(4.23 \, A\). We know that Watts (W) are a unit of power, and that electrical power can be expressed as \(\mathcal{P} = I \Delta V\). Since we know \(\mathcal{P}\) and \(\Delta V\), it is straightforward to find \(I\), remembering
that a Watt is the same as a Volt times an Ampere:

\[ I = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\Delta V} = \frac{550 \text{ W}}{130 \text{ V}} = 4.23 \text{ W/V} = 4.23 \text{ V} \cdot \text{A/V} = 4.23 \text{ A} \]  \tag{5.32}

4. (a) about 16.7 years. First things first: to find out how long the electron takes to travel the length of the line, we need to know its velocity (since we already know the length). We can calculate drift velocity from the density of electrons, their individual charge, the current, and the cross-sectional area of the wire (noting that we are given the diameter, not the radius, and converting that to meters):

\[ I = nqv_d A \]  \tag{5.33}

\[ \Rightarrow v_d = \frac{I}{nqA} \]  \tag{5.34}

\[ = \frac{1000 \text{ A}}{(8.20 \times 10^{28} \text{ electrons/m}^3) (1.60 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C/electron}) \left(\pi \left[\frac{0.018 \text{ m}}{2}\right]^2\right)} \]  

\[ = 3.79 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m/s} \]  \tag{5.35}

Here we used the fact that 1 A = 1 C/s to make the units come out properly. Next, given a velocity \( v_d \) and a distance \( d \), we can calculate how long the journey takes:

\[ \Delta t = \frac{d}{v_d} = \frac{200 \times 10^3 \text{ m}}{3.79 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m/s}} = 5.28 \times 10^8 \text{ texts} \approx 16.7 \text{ yr} \]  \tag{5.37}

(b) 7.5 MW. The power loss in the wire is most easily calculated from the current and resistance: \( \mathcal{P} = I^2R \). We can find the resistance of the whole wire from the length and the resistance per unit length:

\[ R = (0.5 \Omega/\text{mi}) (150 \text{ mi}) = 75 \Omega \]  \tag{5.38}

Now we can readily calculate \( \mathcal{P} \):

\[ \mathcal{P} = I^2R \]  \tag{5.39}

\[ = (1000 \text{ A})^2 (75 \Omega) \]  \tag{5.40}

\[ = 7.5 \times 10^7 \text{ A}^2 \cdot \Omega \]  \tag{5.41}

\[ = 7.5 \times 10^7 \text{ A}^2 \cdot \text{V/A} \]  \tag{5.42}

\[ = 7.5 \times 10^7 \text{ V} \cdot \text{A} \]  \tag{5.43}

\[ = 7.5 \times 10^7 \text{ W} = 75 \text{ MW} \]  \tag{5.44}

Here we used the conversions 1 \( \Omega = 1 \text{ V/A} \) and 1 W = 1 V · A.
WHAT do we mean by direct current? “Direct current” is a constant flow of charges in the single direction, for example electrons from a low to high potential. Charge flow whose magnitude varies in time will be considered at the end of this chapter (Section 6.6), and time variation of both magnitude and direction of charge flow will be considered in later chapters.

The circuit components we know about so far – batteries, resistors, capacitors, and diodes – can be used in various combinations to construct circuits. These circuits direct and control the flow of electrical energy. In this chapter, we will learn some rules that allow the analysis of a variety of simple direct current circuits to deduce their behavior. These principles follow from conservation of energy and conservation of charge. Most of the circuits we consider in this chapter are presumed to be “steady-state,” that is, the currents are constant in magnitude and direction. Toward the end of the chapter, we will consider some circuits where the magnitude of the current varies in time, but its direction is constant.

6.1 Sourcing Voltage

A current can only be maintained in a closed circuit by a source of electrical energy. The simplest way to generate a current in a circuit is to use a voltage source, such as a battery. As discussed in Sect. 4.5, a voltage source essentially raises or lowers the potential energy of charges that pass through it. The amount of energy gained per charge that passes through a device is the potential difference that the voltage supplies, $\Delta V$, measured in Joules per Coulomb (J/C), i.e., Volts (V). Though voltage is strictly an energy per unit charge, it is often useful to think of a voltage as a “pressure” of sorts, which tries to force charges through an electric circuit. Just like hydrostatic pressure, the presence of a voltage does not necessarily lead to a current, this only occurs when a completed circuit is present.

In this way of thinking, a voltage source is a sort of generalized power supply which can be thought of as a “charge pump” that tries to force charges to move within an electric field inside the source. Many batteries, for instance, are “electron pumps” in which negatively charged electrons move opposite to the direction of the electric field. In an idealized voltage source, the output terminals provide a constant potential difference $\Delta V$, and can pump any amount of charge through any closed circuit connected to the output terminals.

Figure 6.1: André-Marie Ampère (1775 - 1836), was a French physicist who was one of the main discoverers of electromagnetism.

\[\text{Figure 6.1: André-Marie Ampère (1775 - 1836), was a French physicist who was one of the main discoverers of electromagnetism.}\]

\[\Delta V\]

\[\text{Remember, as we mentioned last chapter, that long after this term was invented, scientists realized that electrons, negative charges, are what actually “flow” in a current, and the direction of current is opposite the direction of electron flow. It is confusing and annoying. So it goes.}\]
**Direct current**: a constant flow of charges in the single direction, voltages and currents do not change in time. It is often abbreviated dc or DC. dc is preferred.

In reality, pure voltage sources do not exist. Real voltage sources always have internal resistances, which “use up” some of voltage, and they have power limits which restrict the amount of current that can be sourced. A real voltage source is one which can supply, at best, a specified voltage, but the actual output may be less. Let us make this clearer by example.

Real batteries always have some internal resistance $r$, as illustrated in Figure 6.2. Real batteries therefore behave as a voltage source $\Delta V$ in *series* with an internal resistance $r$. This has the effect that the voltage at the battery terminals is always less than the rated value. Consider the circuit in Figure 6.3a, a battery specified to provide $\Delta V$ Volts connected to a resistor of value $R$. If we neglect the internal resistance of the battery, the potential difference across the battery terminals is $\Delta V$ as rated. The rated voltage of a battery is the *idealized* terminal voltage of the battery in the limit that the battery itself has no internal resistance.

![Figure 6.2: A real battery provides a voltage $\Delta V$, but has an internal resistance $r$. The actual output voltage developed at its terminals depends on $r$ and the resistance of the circuit hooked up to the battery.](image1)

Now let us analyze the circuit of Figure 6.3b, the circuit diagram representation of the pictorial version in Figure 6.3a. The battery itself is everything inside the blue rectangle, and is modeled as a source of voltage $\Delta V$ in series with an internal resistance $r$.

![Figure 6.3: (a) A circuit consisting of a resistor connected to the terminals of a battery. (b) A circuit diagram of a source of voltage $\Delta V$ having an internal resistance $r$, connected to an external resistor (load) $R$.](image2)

First, consider what happens to a positive charge moving *through the battery* from point $a$ to $b$. As the charge goes from the negative to positive terminal of the battery, its potential increases by $\Delta V$. Once it goes through the internal resistor $r$, however, its potential *decreases* by an amount $Ir$.

---

$ii$We are assuming, as we almost always will, that wires connecting to the battery have no resistance.
where \( I \) is the current in the circuit. Thus, the voltage at the battery output terminals, points \( a \) and \( b \), is the raw voltage \( \Delta V \) minus the loss due to the internal resistance:

\[
\Delta V_{\text{terminals}} = V_b - V_a = \Delta V - Ir
\]  

(6.1)

This makes it clear that the voltage across the battery terminals \( \Delta V_{\text{terminals}} \) is the same as the rated voltage \( \Delta V \) when the current is zero. This is why another name for the rated voltage is the open-circuit voltage – rated and actual voltages are only the same for a real battery when nothing is connected and no current flows.

Now consider the effect of connecting an external resistor in Figure 6.3b. The external resistor (or resistive device, such as a light bulb) you are trying to power is often called the load resistance. Since it is directly connected to the battery terminals, and the wires are assumed to be perfect, it must have a potential difference across it of \( \Delta V_{\text{terminals}} \). The potential difference across the load resistor and the current through it must also follow Ohm’s law, hence \( \Delta V_{\text{terminals}} = IR \) (using Eq. 5.23). Combining this with Equation 6.1, we can relate the rated battery voltage to the internal resistance and the load resistance:

\[
\Delta V_{\text{terminals}} = IR = \Delta V - Ir
\]  

(6.2)

\[
\Rightarrow \Delta V = IR + Ir
\]  

(6.3)

The total rated voltage of the battery is partly spent on the load resistor and partly spent on the internal resistance. This is just conservation of energy – charges must go all the way around a closed loop and come back with the same energy. If not, we would have a perpetual motion device, gaining energy out of thin air! Every bit of potential gained by charges from a voltage source must be lost somewhere else in the circuit loop – in a resistor for example. We will see later that this is part of a more general rule – the sum of voltage sources and voltage losses in a closed loop must be zero.

Now that we have related the battery’s rated voltage \( \Delta V \) to the internal and load resistances, we can solve Eq. 6.3 for the current \( I \) through the battery and resistor:

\[
I = \frac{\Delta V}{R + r}
\]  

(6.4)

where \( R \) is the resistance of the load connected to the battery, \( r \) is the internal resistance of the battery, and \( \Delta V \) is the rated open-circuit battery voltage.

Now it is clear that the current delivered by the battery through the resistor actually depends on both the resistor’s value and the internal resistance of the battery. If \( R \gg r \), of course we do not
need to worry about the internal resistance of the battery. When the load resistance is high enough
that we can neglect the internal resistance, nearly all of the rated voltage is developed across the
load resistor. We can explicitly write down the actual voltage developed across the load resistor \( R \)
to make this more clear:

\[
\Delta V_{\text{load}} = IR = \Delta V \frac{R}{r+R} \tag{6.5}
\]

where the quantities are the same as in Eq. 6.4. The voltage delivered to the load depends
on the value of the load and internal resistances.

Now it is even easier to see that when \( r \) is small enough to be neglected, the battery operates as
nearly an ideal voltage source, suppling almost the whole \( \Delta V \) to the load itself. This is usually how
things work out. In a nutshell, to operate properly voltages sources like high load resistances
compared to their internal resistance.

### Batteries: neither constant \( I \) nor \( V \)

- Equations 6.1 and 6.5 indicate that the terminal voltage of a battery depends on
  its own internal resistance and the load resistance, so a battery is not a constant
  voltage source.
- Equation 6.4 indicates that the current supplied depends on the load resistance, so
  a battery is not a constant current source.

We can also find the power output of our battery by multiplying Equation 6.3 by \( I \). Keep in
mind that the total power output is the total voltage \( \Delta V \) times the total current \( I \).

\[
\mathcal{P} = I \Delta V = I \cdot I (r + R) = I^2 (R + r) = I^2 R + I^2 r \tag{6.6}
\]

The total power output \( I\Delta V \) of the battery is delivered both to the resistor and the battery’s
internal resistance, at the rate \( I^2 R \) to the resistor and \( I^2 r \) within the battery itself. Again, if
\( R \gg r \) we do not need to worry about the power lost in the battery itself, and this is usually the
case. One thing to keep in mind: should you connect too small a load to the battery (for example
by short-circuiting it), such that \( r \sim R \), you will immediately notice the \( I^2 r \) power dissipated within
the battery itself – in the form of heat.

Just to be complete, we can also write the power output in another way, using Eq. 6.4

\[
\mathcal{P} = \Delta V \frac{R}{r+R}, \quad \Delta V = \Delta V^2 \frac{R}{r+R} \tag{6.7}
\]

\[\text{iii} \text{If not ... you probably have a badly designed circuit, and very quickly, a dead battery!}\]
The expressions above tell us what power is delivered by the battery or voltage source. Batteries and other voltage sources typically have power ratings (in Watts) which tell you the maximum $P$ that can be delivered. From the equations above, it is straightforward to calculate the proper resistances, voltages, and currents within a given power rating.

Everything above applies not just to batteries, but to any sort of voltage source. All real voltage sources have an internal resistance, and are subject to the same considerations above. Batteries, however, have an additional constraint that they have a limited capacity. The available capacity of a battery depends upon the rate at which it is discharged – if a battery is discharged at a high rate, the available capacity will be lower. Conversely, discharging a battery at a low rate prolongs its life. Batteries are usually given a capacity rating of A·h or mA·h along with their rated voltage.

From the rated voltage and capacity, we can calculate a product of power and hours which tells us how long a battery can deliver a certain power:

$$P \cdot \text{hours} = \text{capacity} \cdot \Delta V \quad \text{or} \quad \text{hours} = \frac{\text{capacity} \cdot \Delta V}{P}$$

### 6.2 Sourcing Current

A current source is nothing more than a device that delivers and absorbs a constant current, sourcing and sinking a constant number of charges per unit time. An ideal current source (which exists only on paper) delivers a constant current to any closed circuit connected to its output terminals, no matter what the voltage or load resistance. Though a battery provides a simple example of a voltage source, there is no correspondingly simple realization of a current source.

We can approximate a current source, however, with a single battery and resistor. In the circuit of Fig. 6.3, a battery with internal resistance connected to a load resistor, the current through the load is given by Eq. 6.4. If we make the load resistor very small (or equivalently, make the internal resistance of the battery very large), $r \gg R_{\text{load}}$, then the current through the load resistor is $I \approx \Delta V/r$. This does provide a roughly constant current, but the power loss in the internal resistor will be severe, and it is generally impractical to construct a current source in this way.

How more realistic constant current sources work internally is a bit beyond the scope of our discussion. However, that does not prevent us from seeing how they behave when connected to a circuit. In the same way that a real voltage source can be considered an ideal voltage source in series with a resistor, a real current source can be considered an ideal current source in parallel with a resistor, as shown in Fig. 6.4.

---

*For example, a typical alkaline AA battery has a capacity of $\sim 2.85$ A·h at its rated voltage of 1.5 V.

*You may have already guessed that this is a serious oversimplification. You would be right.
The current source attempts to supply a current \( I \) to its own internal resistance and the load resistor. When a stream of charges tries to leave the current source, it quickly encounters a junction between the internal resistor and the load resistor. As we will find out in more detail in Sect. 6.3.2, when a current encounters a junction it splits up and takes both paths, inversely proportional to their resistance. That is, more current goes through the smaller of the two resistors, but some current goes through the larger resistor too. Since charge must be conserved, the sum of the currents in the two resistors must equal the total current before the junction.

If the internal resistance is very large, almost all of the current goes through the load, and the current source is nearly ideal. If the load resistance becomes comparable to the internal resistance, however, a significant portion of the current takes the “parasitic” path (\( I_p \) in the figure) through the internal resistance, and the source is no longer close to ideal. You will figure out how to calculate the actual current through the load in Sect. 6.3.2, but for now we will quote you the result:

\[
I_{\text{load}} = I \frac{r}{r + R} \tag{6.9}
\]

As you can see, the current through the load is independent of the load resistance \( R \) and nearly equal to the source current \( I \) when \( r \gg R_{\text{load}} \). In other words, current sources want low load resistances, in contrast to voltage sources. This brings up one answer to a common question: is it better to source current or voltage? If the load you are trying to source has a large resistance, sourcing voltage is generally better. If the load is small, sourcing current is generally better.

Source voltage or current?

- Current sources have high internal resistances and like low load resistances.
- Voltage sources have low internal resistances and like high load resistances.
- If the load has a large resistance, sourcing voltage is generally better.
- If the load has a small resistance, sourcing current is generally better.

\footnote{For sources, internal resistance is often called “output resistance.” Good laboratory current sources can have internal resistances above \( 10^{14} \Omega \), while good laboratory voltage sources can have internal resistances below 1 \( \Omega \), so with good equipment either \( I \) or \( \Delta V \) can usually be sourced without issues. Noise is what usually determines which is actually used, but even so, the rule of thumb stated is still valid.}
6.3 Combinations of Resistors

Based on section 6.1, we can now understand a bit more clearly what happens when we connect a battery to a single resistor. What about combinations of resistors? In section 4.6.4, we learned that complicated combinations of capacitors could usually be reduced to a single effective capacitance, based on the rules for two distinct pairings, series and parallel. The same is true for resistors. Moreover, the these two combinations of resistors end up being useful circuits in their own right.

6.3.1 Resistors in Series

Figure 6.5 shows two resistors connected in series with a battery. The resistors could be, e.g., light bulbs or heaters, or just plain resistors. When the resistors $R_1$ and $R_2$ are connected to the battery, the current through each resistor is the same. This makes sense – there is only one single path in the circuit, so there can only be one current. This is because every charge that flows through $R_1$ must also flow through $R_2$ and back to the battery. This is just conservation of charge, in the same way we say that any water flowing into a pipe has to come out again.

![Figure 6.5: (a) Two resistors $R_1$ and $R_2$ connected in series with a battery. (b) The currents in the resistors are the same, and the equivalent resistance of the combination is $R_{eq} = R_1 + R_2$.](image)

We know the current is the same through both resistors, and conservation of energy tells us that the potential difference between points $a$ and $c$ must equal the battery voltage $\Delta V$, Equation 6.1. The potential difference between $a$ and $c$ we can break up into the sum of the potential difference between $a$ and $b$ and the potential difference between $b$ and $c$: $\Delta V_{ac} = \Delta V_{ab} + \Delta V_{bc}$. What is the potential difference between points $a$ and $b$? This is just the potential drop across the resistor $R_1$, $IR_1$. Similarly, the potential difference between points $b$ and $c$ is $IR_2$. Conservation of energy tells us that the potential drop across both resistors together must equal the battery voltage:

$$\Delta V = IR_1 + IR_2 = I(R_1 + R_2) = IR_{eq}$$

with

$$R_{eq} = R_1 + R_2$$

(6.10)

The right hand side of this equation shows us that the potential drop across both resistors is the same as it would be for a single resistor of $R_{eq} = R_1 + R_2$. In other words, in series, resistors just add together. No matter how many we have, the equivalent resistor of a series combination is just the sum of the individual resistances. Notice, however, that the current through resistors in series is the same. Further, since series resistors must have the same current, they all have the same current as their equivalent resistance as well.
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6.3 Combinations of Resistors

Two Resistors in Series:

\[ R_{eq} = R_1 + R_2 \]  
\[ (6.11) \]

Three or More Resistors in Series:

\[ R_{eq} = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + \ldots \]  
\[ (6.12) \]

The current through resistors in series is the same.

The potential difference (voltage) across each resistor is unless the resistors are identical, hence series resistors are often called “voltage dividers.” Figure 6.6 shows how a voltage divider can be used as an audio volume control, using a single variable resistor. This can be seen in Figure 6.5 – from the battery voltage \( \Delta V \) we have generated two different (lower) voltages, \( \Delta V_1 = IR_1 \) between points \( a \) and \( b \), and \( \Delta V_2 = IR_2 \) between points \( b \) and \( c \).

Perhaps this will help? Resistors in series add like capacitors in parallel, and vice versa.

### 6.3.2 Resistors in Parallel

Resistors in series, we found, added like capacitors in parallel. As you might expect, resistors in parallel add like capacitors in series. Consider the parallel combination of resistors in Figure 6.7. Both resistors are connected directly to the battery terminals, so the potential difference across both resistors is the same. The currents are not the same, unless the resistors are identical.

Current in parallel circuits behaves just like a “T” in a system of water pipes. Current coming out of the positive pole of the battery flows to point \( a \), and splits into two parts, \( I_1 \) flowing through \( R_1 \) and \( I_2 \) flowing through \( R_2 \). The larger portion of the current goes through the smaller resistor.

**Junctions in circuits:** current splits up to take all possible paths at once, divided up inversely proportional to the resistance of the path.
Because charge has to be conserved, just like water flowing into a network of pipes eventually has to come out, the current \( I \) that enters point \( a \) must equal the total current leaving that point: \( I = I_1 + I_2 \). Since the potential drop must be the same across both resistors, we can easily find \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \):

\[
I_1 = \frac{\Delta V}{R_1} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \frac{\Delta V}{R_2} \quad \text{(6.13)}
\]

We want to find a single equivalent resistor \( R_{eq} \), such that \( I = \Delta V/R_{eq} \). First, we just write down the expression for the total current, and rearrange it a bit:

\[
I = I_1 + I_2 \quad \text{(6.14)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\Delta V}{R_1} + \frac{\Delta V}{R_2} = \Delta V \left[ \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} \right] \quad \text{(6.15)}
\]

\[
= \Delta V \left[ \frac{R_2}{R_1 R_2} + \frac{R_1}{R_1 R_2} \right] = \Delta V \left[ \frac{R_2 + R_1}{R_1 R_2} \right] \quad \text{(6.16)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\Delta V}{R_{eq}} \quad \text{(6.17)}
\]

Now we can equate the right-hand sides of Equations 6.16 and 6.17 to find out what \( R_{eq} \) is:

\[
\frac{\Delta V}{R_{eq}} = \Delta V \left[ \frac{R_2 + R_1}{R_1 R_2} \right] \quad \text{(6.18)}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{R_{eq}} = \left[ \frac{R_2 + R_1}{R_1 R_2} \right] \quad \text{(6.19)}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{R_{eq}} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} \quad \text{or} \quad R_{eq} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2} \quad \text{(6.20)}
\]

So now we have derived that resistors in parallel add inversely, just like capacitors in series. The
6.3 Combinations of Resistors

potential difference (voltage) across resistors in parallel is the same, and the equivalent resistance is always less than the smallest resistance in the group.

**Two Resistors in Parallel:**

\[
\frac{1}{R_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} \quad \text{or} \quad R_{\text{eq}} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}
\]  
(6.21)

**Three or More Resistors in Parallel:**

\[
\frac{1}{R_{\text{eq}}} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_3} + \ldots
\]  
(6.22)

The current through each is different, hence **parallel resistors are often called “current dividers.”** This can be seen from Figure 6.7 – from a single current \(I\), we have generated two different and smaller currents \(I_1\) and \(I_2\).

Perhaps this will help?

Resistors in parallel add like capacitors in series and vice versa.

What happens this time if one of the resistors fails? The other continues to be powered this time. Household circuits are wired in parallel, so that each device operates independently of the others. Further, all devices operate at the same voltage when wired in parallel. If they were connected in series, the voltage seen by each device would depend on how many devices were connected and their individual resistances. Parallel wiring is why the lights do not dim when you turn on the TV!

The disadvantage to parallel wiring is that when one device fails, the others would suddenly see a larger current – if \(R_1\) failed in Figure 6.7, \(R_2\) would suddenly see the full current \(I\), not just \(I_2\), which could cause serious problems. In reality, circuit breakers are inserted in series with each device, which limit the current to some maximum value (typically 15 or 20 A).

**What to do for more complex combinations of resistors?**

1. **Combine** resistors that are in parallel or series in to single equivalent resistors, using (6.21) and (6.11).
2. **Series** resistors all have the same current, and \(R_{\text{eq}} = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + \ldots\)
3. **Parallel** resistors all have the same voltage, and \(\frac{1}{R_{\text{eq}}} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_3} + \ldots\)
4. **Redraw** the circuit after every combination.
5. **Repeat** the first two steps until there is only equivalent one resistors \(R_{\text{eq}}\) left.
6. **Use Ohm’s law**, \(\Delta V = IR_{\text{eq}}\) (Equation 5.23), to find the current in \(R_{\text{eq}}\).
7. **Reverse** your steps one by one to find the current and voltage for each equivalent resistors along the way, until you recreate the original diagram.
**Christmas tree lights:**
Imagine for a minute that the resistors in Figure 6.5 are light bulbs. What happens if one of them fails? In particular, if the bulb’s filament breaks, since there is only a single current running through both bulbs, there is an open-circuit condition and no current at all. Both bulbs go dark, even though only one is broken. You can imagine now why it is a bad idea to wire many, many resistors or light bulbs together in series some times – a single point of failure renders everything useless.

Probably you have experienced this problem with older holiday lights. These lights are wired in series, so if any single bulb on the string becomes “open,” no bulbs will light. Modern equivalents have an internal “shunt” that activates when the bulb’s filament burns out to avoid this problem. When the filament breaks, they actually short-circuit the bulb to keep the circuit continuous and the other bulbs in the string lit.


### 6.3.3 Example: a Complex Resistor Combination

Just as we saw with complex capacitor combinations (Sec. 4.6.4.3), once you know the rules for series and parallel resistors, you can simplify most complicated resistor combinations.

Consider the example in Figure 6.8 where we have resistors $R_1$ through $R_4$ connected to a battery supplying a voltage $\Delta V$. Now trace the wires from the negative pole of the battery. A current $I$ will be present in the single wire leaving the battery, and it will split up into $I_1$ and $I_2$ when it encounters the first junction. The currents $I_1$ and $I_2$ will recombine at the junction just before $R_4$, and the current $I$ goes back to the battery. Conservation of charge tells us $I = I_1 + I_2$.

![Figure 6.8](image)

(a) $I_1 + I_2 = I$

(b) $I_1 = I_2 = \frac{\Delta V}{R_{2-3} + R_1 + R_4}$

(c) $I_1 = I_2 = \frac{\Delta V}{R_{1-2-3} + R_4}$

(d) $I_1 = I_2 = \frac{\Delta V}{R_{eq} + R_1 + R_4}$

We will assume that the battery’s internal resistance is negligible compared to any of the resistors $R_1-R_4$ so we may neglect it. If we want to include it, we can always do that by including a resistor $r$ in series with the voltage source, like in Figure 6.2.

---
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We start to simplify by combining the simple series pair $R_2$ and $R_3$ into $R_{2-3}$, as shown in Figure 6.8a-b. Equation 6.11 tells us:

$$R_{2-3} = R_2 + R_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.23)

Once we have done that, Figure 6.8b, we have a simple parallel combination of $R_{2-3}$ and $R_1$. Equation 6.21 tells us that the equivalent resistance of these two, $R_{1-2-3}$ (Figure 6.8c) is:

$$\frac{1}{R_{1-2-3}} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_{2-3}} \text{ or } R_{1-2-3} = \frac{R_1 R_{2-3}}{R_1 + R_{2-3}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.24)

Now we are left with only a simple series pair (Figure 6.8c), $R_{1-2-3}$ and $R_4$, which we combine into $R_{eq}$ (Figure 6.8d). Note that the current through $R_{eq}$ is just $I$.

$$R_{eq} = R_{1-2-3} + R_4$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.25)

Once we have a single resistor, Figure 6.8d, we know that $\Delta V = IR_{eq} = I (R_{1-2-3} + R_4)$. If we are given the values of the resistors and $\Delta V$, we can calculate the current $I$, and the voltage drop across $R_4$:

$$I = \frac{\Delta V_4}{R_4} \text{ and } \Delta V_4 = IR_4$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.26)

Working backwards to Figure 6.8d, we know that the total voltage drop across $R_{1-2-3}$ and $R_4$ together is $\Delta V$. Since the voltage drop across $R_4$ alone is $\Delta V_4 = IR_4$, and the total voltage in the whole circuit has to be $\Delta V$, the voltage across $R_{1-2-3}$ has to be $\Delta V - \Delta V_4$. This is just conservation of energy again.

Now going back to Figure 6.8b, we know that since $R_1$ and $R_{2-3}$ are in parallel, they have the same voltage drop, which has to be $\Delta V - \Delta V_4$. This gives us immediately the current $I_2$ in $R_1$:

$$I_2 = \frac{\Delta V - \Delta V_4}{R_1} = \frac{\Delta V - IR_4}{R_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.27)

This then gives us $I_1$ by conservation of charge.
$I_1 = I - I_2 = \frac{\Delta V}{R_4} - \frac{\Delta V - \Delta V_4}{R_1} = \frac{\Delta V}{R_4} - \frac{\Delta V - IR_4}{R_1}$ (6.28)

Finally, back to Figure 6.8, since $R_2$ and $R_3$ are in series, they have the same current $I_1$ given above. That gives us the voltage drops across $R_2$ and $R_3$ as $I_1R_2$ and $I_1R_3$, respectively. And now we know everything about this circuit! Well, except what possible use it might have ... but that is another topic entirely.

### 6.4 Current and Voltage Measurements in Circuits

At this point, we know how to make some simple (but useful) circuits, and properly source either current or voltage. What we have not really touched on so far is how to measure currents and voltages properly in circuits. As with sourcing, each type of measurement has its own non-idealities.

#### 6.4.1 Measuring Voltage

A voltmeter is just what it sounds like – a device that measures voltage, or potential difference, between two points. A typical voltmeter has two input terminals, and one simply connects wires from these input terminals to the points within a circuit between which one wants to know the potential difference. If we wish to measure the potential difference across a particular component in a circuit, we connect the voltmeter in parallel with that component.

Of course, the idea is to measure the potential difference while disturbing the circuit as little as possible. For this reason, voltmeters have very high internal resistances (see Fig. 6.10a), and no current flows through an ideal voltmeter. As an example, Fig 6.9a shows an incorrect use of a voltmeter – connecting the voltmeter in series with the resistor and battery. No current flows through an ideal voltmeter, so connecting the voltmeter in this way essentially opens the circuit and nothing is measured. Figure 6.9b shows the proper use of a voltmeter – in parallel with the component to be measured, a resistor in this case. The voltmeter probes the potential on both sides of the resistor, but since no current flows through it, it does not affect the circuit.

Real voltmeters are not ideal, you might have guessed. A real voltmeter has a finite input resistance, and, even when connected properly, draw a small amount of current. As shown in Fig. 6.10a a voltmeter connected properly forms a parallel resistor network with the load resistor.

\^{viii}Good laboratory voltmeters can have internal resistances on the order $10^{10}$ Ω or more. For voltmeters, internal resistance is often called “input resistance.”
What the voltmeter really measures then is not just the load, but the equivalent resistance of the load in parallel with its own internal resistance $r$.

![Incorrect connection of a voltmeter. Voltmeters have enormous internal resistances, current will not flow through them. (b) Correct connection of a voltmeter. The two input terminals of the voltmeter connect across the resistor, and draw no current.]

Put another way, the voltmeter forms a current divider with the load, and “steals” part of the current through the load. The voltmeter “stealing” part of the current obviously leads to inaccurate results, and the measured voltage drop across the resistor is no longer $IR_{load}$ like we expect. We should try to figure out how bad this problem is! If we assume there is a current $I$ in the wire leading to the resistor, we can readily calculate the voltage measured by the voltmeter:

$$\Delta V_{\text{measured}} = I R_{\text{eq}} = \frac{r R_{\text{load}}}{r + R_{\text{load}}} I = \frac{I R_{\text{load}}}{1 + \frac{R_{\text{load}}}{r}} = \frac{\Delta V_{\text{expected}}}{1 + \frac{R_{\text{load}}}{r}}$$

The ratio between the measured voltage and the expected value is $1/(1 + \frac{R_{\text{load}}}{r})$, which tells us two things. First, the measured value is always smaller than the true value, since $1/(1 + \frac{R_{\text{load}}}{r}) < 1$. Second, so long as the load resistor is small compared to the internal resistance of the meter, $R_{\text{load}} \ll r$, the measured and expected values will be very close. Given the enormous internal resistance of most modern voltmeters, this is usually the case, but one must still exercise caution. Using a meter with insufficient internal resistance is known as “measuring the meter,” and is something you will encounter in your laboratory experiments.

### 6.4.2 Measuring Current

An ammeter is the device that measures current, and it behaves rather differently than a voltmeter. Measuring the flow of charge has similarities with measuring the flow of fluids. A flow meter measures fluid flow by allowing the fluid of interest to pass through it. Similarly, an ammeter measures charge flow by allowing current to pass through it. Ammeters therefore connect in series with the device of interest.
6.4 Current and Voltage Measurements in Circuits

![Diagrams](a) An ideal voltmeter has an infinite internal resistance, and no current flows through it. Hence, it measures the true voltage drop across the resistor, $\Delta V = IR$. (b) A real voltmeter has a finite internal resistance $r$, and forms a voltage divider with the load resistor. Some current flows through the voltmeter itself if $R_{load}$ is comparable to $r$, and the measured voltage is less than the true voltage on the resistor.

![Diagrams](a) Incorrect connection of an ammeter. Ammeters have tiny internal resistances, and current flows readily through them. If an ammeter is connected incorrectly in parallel with the load, it will create current divider (parallel resistor network) with the load resistor. The small internal resistance of the ammeter will “steal” all of the current from the load resistor. (b) Correct connection of an ammeter. The ammeter connects in series with the resistor to measure the current, and creates no additional voltage drop.

Figure 6.10: An ideal voltmeter has an infinite internal resistance, and no current flows through it. Hence, it measures the true voltage drop across the resistor, $\Delta V = IR$. (b) A real voltmeter has a finite internal resistance $r$, and forms a voltage divider with the load resistor. Some current flows through the voltmeter itself if $R_{load}$ is comparable to $r$, and the measured voltage is less than the true voltage on the resistor.

A simple ammeter can be constructed using a precise resistor and a good voltmeter, as shown in Fig. 6.12. A precise resistor placed in series with the device to be measured (in place of the ammeter in Fig. 6.11 for instance), and a voltmeter measures the voltage drop across this precise resistor.

Since the value of the resistor is known precisely, the measured voltage drop across it yields the current via Ohm’s law:

$$I = \frac{\Delta V_{\text{measured}}}{R_{\text{precise}}} \quad (6.30)$$

In this way currents can be measured reasonably accurately, but this is far from an ideal ammeter. First, this technique of current measurement brings in all the non-idealities associated with real

---

\textsuperscript{18}This is how we will measure currents in our laboratory sessions, see Appendix A
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voltmeters as discussed above. Second, placing a resistor within the circuit of interest introduces an additional voltage drop, which can affect other components. Care must be exercised when using this technique. The precise resistor can be chosen carefully as not to introduce a sufficiently large voltage drop to alter the circuit too much, the voltages on other components in the circuit must be independently measured to take this effect into account, or the circuit must be designed from scratch to account for this additional voltage drop.

Voltmeters and Ammeters

- Voltmeters have a high internal resistance and draw little current.
- Voltmeters connect in parallel with the device to be measured.
- Ammeters have a low internal resistance, and current passes through readily.
- Ammeters connect in series with the device to be measured.

6.5 Kirchhoff’s Rules and Complex dc Circuits

We have just seen that simple circuits can be analyzed using Ohm’s law and the rules for series and parallel resistors. However, there are ways in which resistors can be connected such that there is not a single equivalent resistance. For these more complicated cases, we use two simple rules, known as Kirchhoff’s rules:

Kirchhoff’s Rules:

1. The sum of currents entering any junction must equal the sum of the currents leaving that junction. a.k.a. the “junction rule.”
2. The sum of the potential differences across all the elements around any closed circuit loop must be zero. a.k.a. the “loop rule.”

The junction rule is nothing more than conservation of charge. Whatever charge flows into a given point in a circuit has to flow out again, charges are neither created nor destroyed. Figure 6.13 illustrates this rule, that the current entering the junction \( I_1 \) has to be the same as the sum of the currents leaving the junction \( I_2 + I_3 \), that is, \( I_1 = I_2 + I_3 \). Again using our fluid analogy, this would be the same as a “tee” in a water pipe. The flow rate into the pipe equals the total flow rate out of the two branches.
6.5 Kirchhoff’s Rules and Complex dc Circuits

The loop rule is nothing more than conservation of energy. Like we saw in Section 6.3.3, any charge that moves around a closed loop in a circuit must gain as much energy as it loses. Charges gain energy when going through a source of voltage, and lose energy by way of a potential drop across a resistor. Charges also lose energy by going backwards into a source of voltage. As one example, potential energy of the charge is converted into chemical energy when charging a battery.

In general you can use the junction rule one time fewer than the total number of junction points in the circuit. All this means is that one point has to be left such that your resulting circuit is still a closed loop. The loop rule can be used as often as needed, until you have only one loop left. Solving any particular problem requires as many unique independent equations as you have unknowns. Figure 6.14 illustrates the rules for determining whether the voltage difference across a resistor or battery is positive or negative when applying Kirchhoff’s rules.

6.5.1 Example: analyzing a simple parallel or series circuit

In order to understand the power of Kirchhoff’s laws in analyzing complicated circuits (ones for which the simple series and parallel rules will not work, for example), we should first analyze a circuit we already understand. In that light, we will re-examine our parallel resistor circuit in Fig. 6.7 according to our ‘Using Kirchhoff’s rules’ box below.
Using Kirchhoff’s Rules:

1. Assign symbols and directions to the currents in all branches of the circuit.
2. If you guess the direction of the current wrong at first, don’t worry. The magnitude will be correct, but the sign will come out negative, indicating that the direction is opposite what you expected.
3. Use the loop rule on as many loops as you can to come up with more equations to solve the problem.
4. When you use the loop rule, chose a direction for going around the loop and stick with it. Pick, e.g., clockwise or counterclockwise, and always do that.
5. As you go around a loop, add up voltage drops and rises according to these rules (see Figure 6.14)
   (a) Going across a resistor with the current lowers the potential by $-IR$
   (b) Going across a resistor against the current raises the potential by $+IR$
   (c) If you cross a voltage source from $-$ to $+$, the change in potential is $+\Delta V$
   (d) If you cross a voltage source from $+$ to $-$, the change in potential is $-\Delta V$
6. Use the junction rule as often as you can to generate new equations to solve the problem
7. Solve the equations you generate for the unknown quantities
8. Plug your answers back into the original equations to check for consistency.

In this case, we have already assigned the proper symbols and labeled the currents in each branch. The next step is to use the loop rule as many times as possible. For this circuit, there are only two loops present - an upper one, containing $R_2$ and $I_1$, and a lower one containing $R_1$ and $I_2$. For the upper loop, the loop rule says that the sum of all voltage sources and drops around the loop must be zero. Traversing the loop clockwise from point $a$ (an arbitrary choice), we first go through $R_2$ in the direction of the current, giving a voltage drop, and then through $R_1$ against the current, giving a voltage increase. The wires themselves are still assumed to be perfect, and give no voltage changes. Accordingly, using the labeled current in each resistor:

$$0 = -I_1R_2 + I_2R_1$$  \[ (6.31) \]

$$\Rightarrow \quad I_1 = \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right) I_2$$  \[ (6.32) \]

Now consider the bottom loop, again traversing clockwise from point $a$. We first go through $R_1$ in the direction of the current, giving a voltage drop, and then go through the battery from $-$ to $+$, giving a voltage increase. Thus:
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\[ 0 = -I_2 R_1 + \Delta V \]  
\[ \Rightarrow \Delta V = I_2 R_1 \]  
\[ \text{and} \quad I_2 = \frac{\Delta V}{R_1} \]

Combining what we know so far:

\[ I_1 = \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right) I_2 = \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right) \frac{\Delta V}{R_1} = \frac{\Delta V}{R_2} \]  
\[ \text{(6.36)} \]

That does it for the loop rule. Next, we need to apply the junction rule. Our only junctions are at points a and b, and both give the same result:

\[ I = I_1 + I_2 \]  
\[ \text{(6.37)} \]

Now we can combine this result with the equations we got from the loop rule for \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \):

\[ I = I_1 + I_2 = \frac{\Delta V}{R_2} + \frac{\Delta V}{R_1} \]  
\[ \text{(6.38)} \]
\[ I = \Delta V \left( \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_1} \right) \]  
\[ \text{(6.39)} \]
\[ \Rightarrow R_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\Delta V}{I} = \left( \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_1} \right)^{-1} \]  
\[ \text{(6.40)} \]

On inspection, this is exactly our formula for adding resistors in parallel. How about the case of series resistors, Fig. 6.5? Much easier in fact. Again, we already have everything labeled, so we just start with the loop rule – made simpler by the fact that we have only one loop now. We will traverse it clockwise from point a once again, which means we first pass through resistors \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) in the direction of the current, and then through the battery in the positive direction:

\[ 0 = -IR_1 - IR_2 + \Delta V \]  
\[ \Rightarrow \Delta V = I (R_1 + R_2) \]  
\[ \text{(6.41)} \]
\[ \text{(6.42)} \]

There is no junction rule in this case, since we have no junctions! So this is it, which you should recognize as our formula for adding resistors in series.
6.5.2 Example: analyzing a complex circuit

That was easy enough, right? Of course, that means it is time to consider a more pathological example, such as the circuit in Fig. 6.15a. Don’t panic! If we systematically follow the rules, and our handy-dandy guide for using them (Page 191), we can make short work of this circuit too. The first step is to label all of the components and assign directions to the separate currents in each unique branch, Fig. 6.15b. Again, if we guess the direction incorrectly, it isn’t a big deal - the sign of the current will just come out negative, letting us know that the direction is opposite what we expected. What is important is just to put down something so that the rules can be properly applied.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta V_1 &= 19 \text{ V} \\
R_1 &= 6 \Omega \\
R_2 &= 4 \Omega \\
R_3 &= 4 \Omega \\
R_4 &= 1 \Omega
\end{align*}
\]

The next step is to apply the loop rule as many times as possible. In this case, we have two distinct loops: a loop on the left, including only currents \( I_1 \) and \( I_3 \), and a loop on the right, including only currents \( I_2 \) and \( I_3 \). We will start by applying the loop rule to the left loop, traversing clockwise from point \( b \) and remembering to follow the sign conventions:

\[
0 = -I_1 R_2 + \Delta V_1 - I_1 R_1 - I_3 R_4 - \Delta V_3
\]
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Make sure you understand why each term has the sign that it does, using Fig. 6.14 as a reference if necessary. Next, we can apply the loop rule to the right side loop, this time starting from point (a) and moving clockwise:

\[ 0 = -I_2R_3 - \Delta V_2 + \Delta V_3 + I_3R_4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.45)

Still, we have too many unknowns and not enough equations. The next step is to apply the junction rule at points (a) and (b):

\[ I_1 = I_3 + I_2 \] \hspace{1cm} (6.46)
\[ I_3 = I_1 - I_2 \]

In fact, we get the same result applying the rule at either point. This makes sense, based on conservation of charge and the way the circuit is set up. Sometimes applying the loop and junction rules give you duplicate results, this is not a problem per se. So how do we solve this mess of equations? First, let’s put in the numbers we already know into Eqns. 6.44, 6.45, and 6.46 so we know what we have to find yet in the first place:

\[ \begin{align*}
(6.44) \quad & \Rightarrow \quad 0 = -4I_1 + 19 - 6I_1 - I_3 - 2 \\
& \quad = 17 - 10I_1 - I_3 \\
(6.45) \quad & \Rightarrow \quad 0 = -4I_2 - 6 + 2 + I_3 \\
& \quad = -4 - 4I_2 + I_3 
\end{align*} \hspace{1cm} (6.48)

Now, let’s rearrange and simplify Eqs. 6.47 and 6.48 and then substitute one into the other:

\[ I_3 = I_1 - I_2 \] \hspace{1cm} (6.50)
\[ 10I_1 + I_3 = 17 \] \hspace{1cm} (6.51)
\[ \Rightarrow 10I_1 + (I_1 - I_2) = 11I_1 - I_2 = 17 \] \hspace{1cm} (6.52)

Next, do the same thing for Eq. 6.47 and Eq. 6.49
\[ I_3 = I_1 - I_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.53)
\[ 4I_2 - I_3 = -4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.54)
\[ \implies 4I_2 - (I_1 - I_2) = -I_1 + 5I_2 = -4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.55)

We’re nearly done. Notice the similarity of Eq. 6.52 and 6.55 ... let’s multiply Eq. 6.52 by 5, and add that to equation 6.55:

\[ 55I_1 - 5I_2 = 85 \]
\[ + \quad -I_1 + 5I_2 = -4 \]
\[ 54I_1 = 81 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.56)

This gives us \( I_1 = 1.5 \) A. Now that we know \( I_1 \), we can put that into Eq. 6.55 and solve for \( I_2 \):

\[ -1.5 + 5I_2 = -4 \quad \implies \quad I_2 = -0.5 \text{ A} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.57)

Finally, we can use Eq. 6.50 to determine that \( I_3 = 2.0 \) A. Since both \( I_1 \) and \( I_3 \) came out positive, this means our original guess for the directions was correct. However, since \( I_2 \) came out negative, that means our initial guess was incorrect, and the \( I_2 \) actually goes the other direction. That was it!

### 6.6 RC Circuits

So far we have worried only about circuits with constant currents. In this section, we will start to analyze circuits whose current varies with time, though it is still in a single direction. The first example we will consider is Figure 6.6a, a resistor, capacitor, a voltage source, and switch in series. The switch \( S \) is open at first, and then suddenly closed. What happens? Before the switch \( S \) is closed, no current can flow in the circuit. We also know that if we wait for a long enough time after closing the switch, there can be no current – the capacitor will be charged to a value \( Q = C\Delta V \), but nothing else will happen.

As soon as the switch is closed, the voltage source \( \Delta V \) begins to charge the capacitor \( C \). What the voltage source really wants to do is drive charges through the resistor and capacitor to create a current. It can’t create a steady-state current in the capacitor, as we know, but the source is persistent, and keeps sending charge to the capacitor as long as it can. It will keep doing this until the capacitor is fully charged to its maximum value of \( Q = C\Delta V \). The flow of charges out of the source into the capacitor is, while it is going on, a current. The main difference now is that we
know this current can’t continue indefinitely, there is only a current present between the time we close the switch \( S \) and the time when the capacitor is fully charged. In the end, the current into the capacitor driven by the source diminishes over time, until it cannot pump any more charge into the capacitor. Once the capacitor is full, we have reached our steady state of zero current.

We know the charge on the capacitor increases as a function of time, but in what fashion? There is a simple formula for this, but the math behind its derivation is a bit tedious, and we will just present the result. If we assume the capacitor is totally uncharged before we close the switch, and we call the time at which the switch is closed \( t = 0 \), the charge on the capacitor varies according to:

\[
q(t) = Q \left( 1 - e^{-t/RC} \right)
\]  

(6.58)

where \( e = 2.71828 \ldots \) is Euler’s number, the base of natural logarithms (ln). This is what you see in the left part of the plot in Fig. 6.6b. We can see from this equation that the charge at \( t = 0 \) is zero \( (q(0) = 0) \), and approaches its maximum value of \( Q \) as \( t \to \infty \) \( (q(\infty) = Q) \). We can write the voltage on the capacitor as a function of time as well, since the relationship \( \Delta V_C(t) = q(t)/C \) must still be true:

\[
\Delta V_C(t) = \frac{Q}{C} \left( 1 - e^{-t/RC} \right) = \Delta V \left( 1 - e^{-t/RC} \right)
\]  

(6.59)

In principle, this equation tells us that it would take an infinite amount of time to fully charge the capacitor. This is just mathematics – the equation doesn’t know that charge is quantized and comes in discrete bits of \( e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} \).

**Question:** Why does the discreteness of charge imply that the capacitor’s charging time must be finite? Have you heard of Zeno’s paradox?

The term \( RC \) that appears in Equations 6.58 and 6.59 is curious. As it turns out, the units of \( RC \) end up being time, and the quantity \( RC \) we call the time constant, \( \tau \).
Time constant $\tau$ of an $RC$ circuit:

$$\tau = RC$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.60)

This gives $\tau$ in seconds [s] when $R$ is in Ohms [$\Omega$] and $C$ is in farads [F].

What this means is that the \textit{product} of the resistance and capacitance determine how long it takes to charge the capacitor! If we wait a time $\tau$ after throwing the switch, one time constant, our capacitor has charged to $63.2\%$ ($=1! - 1/e$) of its maximal value $Q$. If you substitute $t=\tau = RC$ in Equation 6.58 you can easily verify this. What is important is that the larger $\tau$ is, the longer it takes to charge a capacitor, and the smaller $\tau$ is, the more quickly it charges. At ten time constants ($t=10\tau$), the capacitor is over 99.99\% charged.

Ok. What happens if we wait a long time, the capacitor is essentially fully charged now, and we open switch $S$ again? Well, all the charge we put on the capacitor is going to come right back out. Just before we close the switch, the voltage on the capacitor is $Q/C$. Once we close the switch, the charge flows back out of the capacitor into the resistor. Charges first leave the bottom plate in Figure 6.6a, and enter the resistor, which lets some charges move from the top plate to the bottom plate of the capacitor. Lather, rinse, repeat, and after some time the capacitor is completely discharged.

If we close the switch at $t=0$, the charge on the capacitor varies as:

$$q = Qe^{-t/RC} = Qe^{-t/\tau}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.61)

Again the time scale is in units of $RC$ - after one time constant $\tau$, we have now lost $63.2\%$ of the charge, so $q=0.368Q$. We can write the voltage on the capacitor down too:

$$\Delta V_C = \frac{Q}{C} e^{-t/\tau} = \Delta V e^{-t/\tau}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.62)

Now we can better explain Figure 6.6b. In the experimental setup used (identical to your lab hardware), $R=1500\ \Omega$, $C=2200\ \mu F$, and $\Delta V=2.0\ \text{V}$. At $t=20\ \text{sec}$ in the graph, the switch is closed, and the capacitor begins to charge. At $t=0$, about 6 time constants later, the capacitor is about 99.8\% charged and the switch is opened again. The capacitor discharges, and another $6\tau$ later it is nearly fully discharged.
6.7 Quick Questions

1. In order to maximize the percentage of the power that is delivered from a battery to a device, the internal resistance of the battery should be:
   - □ As low as possible
   - □ As high as possible
   - □ The percentage does not depend on the internal resistance.

2. Two resistors connected in series are measured to have an equivalent resistance of 1000 Ω. The same two resistors in parallel are measured to have an equivalent resistance of 250 Ω. What are the values of the resistors?
   - □ One of the measurements is in error, this can’t be true.
   - □ One is 750 Ω, the other is 250 Ω.
   - □ Both are 500 Ω.
   - □ One is 200 Ω, the other is 50 Ω.

3. What is $R_{eq}$ for the circuit at the left?
   - □ 1000 Ω
   - □ 500 Ω
   - □ 1400 Ω
   - □ 1150 Ω

4. Refer to the figures at left. What happens to the reading on the ammeter when the switch $S$ is opened?
   - □ the reading goes up
   - □ the reading goes down
   - □ the reading does not change

5. A light bulb has a resistance of 230 Ω when operated at a voltage of 120 V. What is the current in the bulb? Recall 1 mA = $10^{-3}$ A.
   - □ 1.92 mA
   - □ 522 mA
   - □ 245 mA
   - □ 1.04 A
6. Consider the suspicious device at left. It takes approximately 135 light-emitting diodes (LEDs) to make up Err, second in command of the Mooninite Army. If each LED has a resistance of 200 Ω while lit, and all of the LEDs are in parallel, what is the equivalent resistance of Err?

- 27000 Ω
- 1.5 Ω
- 12 Ω
- 200 Ω

7. What is the equivalent resistance between points a and b?

- 31.1 Ω
- 12.5 Ω
- 17.3 Ω
- 20.8 Ω

8. What is the equivalent resistance of the five resistors at right?

- 28 Ω
- 74 Ω
- 22 Ω
- 54 Ω

9. Kirchhoff’s rules result from two basic physical laws. What are they?

- Conservation of Energy and Charge quantization
- Conservation of Energy and Conservation of Momentum
- Conservation of Charge and Conservation of Energy
- Coulomb’s law and Conservation of Charge
10. The switch $S$ is suddenly closed in the figure at left. What will the steady-state current be in the $2\,\Omega$ resistor?

- 2 A
- 4 A
- 3 A
- 1 A

11. Rank the currents at points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 from highest to lowest. The two resistors are identical.

- 5, 1, 3, 2, 4, 6
- 5, 3, 1, 4, 2, 6
- 5=6, 3=4, 1=2
- 5=6, 1=2=3=4
- 1=2=3=4=5=6

12. Two 1.60 V batteries - with their positive terminals in the same direction - are inserted in series into the barrel of a flashlight. One battery has an internal resistance of 0.270 $\Omega$, the other has an internal resistance of 0.151 $\Omega$. When the switch is closed, a current of 0.600 A passes through the lamp. What is the lamp’s resistance?

- 2.25 $\Omega$
- 3.73 $\Omega$
- 4.91 $\Omega$
- 6.80 $\Omega$

13. A flashlight uses a 1.5 V battery with a negligible internal resistance to light a bulb rated for a maximum power of 1 W. What is the maximum current through the bulb? Assume that the battery has more than enough capacity to drive this current, i.e., it is ideal.

- 0.67 A
- 1.50 A
- 2.25 A
- 0.50 A
14. What is the current through the 9 Ω resistor in the figure at right?

- 347 mA
- 581 mA
- 716 mA
- 1.32 A

15. A 9 V battery with a 1 Ω internal resistance is connected to a 10 Ω resistor. What is the actual voltage across the 10 Ω resistor? Assume that the battery behaves as an ideal voltage source of 9 V in series with its internal resistance.

- 9.9 V
- 8.2 V
- 0.9 V
- 4.5 V

16. Refer to the figure at right. Which circuit properly measures the current and voltage for the resistor? You may assume that the voltmeters and ammeters are perfect, and the battery is ideal.

- circuit (a)
- circuit (b)
- circuit (c)
- circuit (d)

17. A potential difference of 11 V is found to produce a current of 0.45 A in a 3.8 m length of wire with a uniform radius of 3.8 mm. What is the resistivity of the wire?

- 200 Ω · m
- 2.9 Ω · m
- 2.0 × 10^6 Ω · m
- 2.9 × 10^{-4} Ω · m
6.8 Problems

1. A regular tetrahedron is a pyramid with a triangular base. Six 14.0 Ω resistors are placed along its six edges, with junctions at its four vertices. A 9.0 V battery is connected to any two of the vertices. (a) Find the equivalent resistance of the tetrahedron between these vertices. (b) Find the current in the battery.

2. A group of students on spring break manages to reach a deserted island in their wrecked sailboat. They splash ashore with fuel, a European gasoline-powered 240 V generator, a box of North American 100 W, 120 V lightbulbs, a 500 W 120 V hot pot, lamp sockets, and some insulated wire. While waiting to be rescued they decide to use the generator to operate some bulbs.

   (a) Draw a diagram of a circuit they can use, containing the minimum number of lightbulbs with 120 V across each bulb, and no higher output.

   (b) One student catches a fish and wants to cook it in the hot pot. Draw a diagram of a circuit containing the hot pot and the minimum number of lightbulbs with 120 V across each device, and not more. Find the current in the generator and its power output.

3. You need a 45 Ω resistor, but the stockroom has only 20 Ω and 50 Ω resistors. How can the desired resistance be achieved under these circumstances?
6.9 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. **As low as possible.** Power is delivered to the internal resistance of a battery, so decreasing the internal resistance will decrease this *lost* power and increase the percentage of the power delivered to the device.

2. **Both are** 500 Ω. Call the two resistors $R_1$ and $R_2$. Connected in series, their equivalent resistance is $R_1 + R_2 = 1000 \Omega$. Connected in parallel, their equivalent resistance is $1/R_1 + 1/R_2 = 250 \Omega$.

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 + R_2 &= 1000 \\
\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} &= \frac{1}{250} \\
\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{1000 - R_1} &= \frac{1}{250} \\
\frac{R_1 (1000 - R_1)}{1000 - R_1} &= \frac{R_1 (1000 - R_1)}{250} \\
1000R_1 - R_1^2 &= (250) (1000) \\
R_1^2 - 1000R_1 + 250000 &= 0 \\
&\Rightarrow R_1 = 500 \Omega = R_2
\end{align*}
\]

So there is a bit of math, but it works out in the end. Alternatively, a simpler way is to just look at the possible answers and try them out!

3. 1000 Ω. See the text. This is the same example circuit.

4. **The reading goes down.** When the switch is closed, we have $R_2$ in parallel with a switch. Switches (ideally) have zero resistance, so all the current goes through the switch and none goes through $R_2$ – if we calculate the equivalent resistance between $R_2$ in parallel with zero, the equivalent resistance is still zero. Thus, the battery is connected effectively only to $R_1$, and there is a current of:

\[
I_{\text{closed}} = \frac{\Delta V}{R_1}
\]

When the switch is opened, resistors $R_1$ and $R_2$ are now in series, so that the total circuit resistance is larger than when the switch was closed. As a result, the current decreases, since the applied voltage is the same in both cases. The total current is now:

\[
I_{\text{open}} = \frac{\Delta V}{R_1 + R_2} < \frac{\Delta V}{R_1} = I_{\text{closed}}
\]

No matter what $R_1$ and $R_2$ are, since resistances are always positive, the current has to be smaller when the switch is open.

5. 522 mA. We know the resistance $R = 230 \Omega$, and the voltage $V = 230$ Volts. We can get the current from Ohm’s law:
6. \( 1.5 \, \Omega \). We have 135 resistors in parallel \( R_1 \) through \( R_{135} \), all of the same value. We know that the equivalent resistance must be:

\[
\frac{1}{R_{\text{eq}}} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{R_{135}} = 135 \left( \frac{1}{R_1} \right) = \frac{135}{200}
\]

So \( R_{\text{eq}} = \frac{200}{135} \approx 1.5 \, \Omega \).

7. \( 17.3 \, \Omega \). First, note that you can combine the middle two resistors (7 \( \Omega \) and 11 \( \Omega \)) which are just in a simple parallel combination. The equivalent resistance for these two is:

\[
\frac{1}{R_{\text{eq, 7-11}}} = \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{11} = 0.234
\]

\[
\Rightarrow R_{\text{eq, 7-11}} = 4.28 \, \Omega
\]

Now we have three resistors in series - 4 \( \Omega \), 4.28 \( \Omega \), and 9 \( \Omega \). Resistors in series just add together, so the total equivalent resistance is:

\[
R_{\text{eq, total}} = 4 + 4.28 + 9 = 17.28 \approx 17.3 \, \Omega
\]

8. \( 54 \, \Omega \). Note that the 17 \( \Omega \) resistor is only connected on one end, so it doesn’t do anything! First, combine the 10 and 15 \( \Omega \) resistors in series to make 25 \( \Omega \). This 25 \( \Omega \) effective resistor is then in parallel with the 50 \( \Omega \) resistor. Combining those two makes (approximately) 17 \( \Omega \), which is now purely in series with the 37 \( \Omega \) resistor. Adding those two together gives you, to two significant figures, 54 \( \Omega \).

9. Conservation of Charge and Conservation of Energy. Conservation of energy tells us that the sum of voltage drops and sources around any closed loop has to be zero. Voltage is electrical potential energy per unit charge, and since the electric force is conservative, the change in electrical potential energy has to be zero around any closed path, not just in a circuit. Conservation of charge tells us that the current entering an element has to be the same as the current leaving it, and more generally that the sum of currents entering a junction must be the sum of the currents leaving it.

Conservation of momentum played no direct role in the two rules stated. It did help us derive Ohm’s law in a simple way, but it does not lead us to the rules above. Coulomb’s law does not directly lead us to rule (1) or (2) – it deals with electric force, whereas rule (1) deals with electric potential. At the very least, we need Coulomb’s law plus a bit of calculus to get rule (1), and it will not get us rule number (2). Finally, charge quantization does not imply conservation of charge. Charge quantization just says that charge comes in discrete units of \( e \), it does not tell us that charges cannot be created or destroyed.
10. **2 A.** After a long enough time, the capacitor will be completely charged. A current only flows in a capacitor while it is charging or discharging. Even during charging and discharging, the current steadily decreases with time until the capacitor is completely full or empty, respectively. Since the problem says “steady-state”, we may assume that the capacitor is no longer charging – if it were, the current would not be steady, but decreasing, and after a long enough time, the capacitor should be fully charged anyway.

If the capacitor is fully charged and no current flows through it, then there is also no current through the 1 Ω resistor in series with it. If there is no current through the resistor either, then there is no voltage drop across it, and that whole branch of the circuit actually does nothing. Remember, if no current flows through a path in a circuit, it isn’t doing anything except possibly storing energy. Portions of a circuit with no current can almost always be neglected when analyzing the rest of the circuit.

If the 1 mF-1 Ω branch of the circuit can be neglected, then the only things left are a single 6 V battery, a 1 Ω resistor, and a 2 Ω resistor, all in series. Finding the current now is a simple matter, since the 1 Ω and 2 Ω resistors in series just make an equivalent resistance of 3 Ω. Effectively, we have a single battery and resistor, for which we can easily calculate the current:

\[
I = \frac{\Delta V}{R_{eq}} = \frac{6 V}{3 \Omega} = 2 A
\]

11. **5=6, 1=2=3=4.** We only need to remember three things to figure this one out: (1) when a current encounters a junction, it splits up to take each path in amounts inversely proportional to the resistance of the path, (2) the current through a single loop of a circuit is the same everywhere, and (3) related to the last point, charge must be conserved, such that the same number of charges entering a wire have to leave it.

First, think about a current leaving the battery at point 5 and traveling clockwise around the circuit. The current reaches the junction leading to points 1 and 3, and must split up to take both paths. Since both paths have the same resistance (the resistors are equivalent, remember), the current will split up equally between the two. Therefore, the current is the same at points 1 and 3.

The current in the path from 1-2 or 3-4 is in just a single wire, and the current can’t change. Conservation of charge requires that every charge entering point 1 leaves through point 2 (and the same for points 3 and 4). Therefore, the currents at points 1 and 2 are equal, and so are those at points 3 and 4. Putting everything so far together, the current is the same at 1, 2, 3, and 4.

What about the currents at points 5 and 6? Conservation of charge again requires that the charges leaving the battery at 5 must eventually come back through point 6 – no charge can be gained or lost when going around the loop. Therefore, the currents at points 5 and 6 must be the same. Further, since the whole current leaving the battery at point 5 splits up into two separate (and equal) currents at points 1 and 3, the current at point 5 must be larger than the current at points 1 and 3. Therefore, overall the ranking from highest to lowest must be 5=6, 1=2=3=4.

12. **4.91 Ω.** Each battery has an internal resistance that acts in *series*. Once the bulb is connected, it is also in series with both batteries. All we have two batteries and three resistors in series, nothing more. The sum of the voltage sources (the batteries) has to equal the sum of the voltage drops (current through the resistors) around the whole circuit - conservation of
energy again. We know the current, the value of two of the resistors, and the voltages on the batteries. Let our unknown lamp resistance be \( r \):

\[
\text{total sources} = \text{total sinks} \quad (6.64)
\]
\[
1.6 \text{ V} + 1.6 \text{ V} = (0.6 \text{ A}) (0.151 \Omega) + (0.6 \text{ A}) (0.270 \Omega) + (0.6 \text{ A}) r \quad (6.65)
\]
\[
3.2 \text{ V} = 0.0906 \text{ V} + 0.162 \text{ V} + (0.6 \text{ A}) r \quad (6.66)
\]
\[
(0.6 \text{ A}) r = 2.95 \text{ V} \quad (6.67)
\]
\[
\Rightarrow r = 4.91 \text{ V/A} = 4.91 \Omega \quad (6.68)
\]

13. 0.67 A. Basically, all we need to remember is the relationship between power \( P \), current \( I \), and voltage \( \Delta V \):

\[
P = I \Delta V
\]
\[
1 \text{ W} = I (1.5 \text{ V})
\]
\[
\Rightarrow I = \frac{1 \text{ W}}{1.5 \text{ V}} \approx 0.67 \text{ A}
\]

14. 347 mA. In a preceding problem, we found the equivalent resistance of this circuit to be 17.3 \Omega. This single effective resistor is connected to a 6 V battery, so the current in the effective resistor has to be:

\[
I_{eq} = \frac{\Delta V}{R_{eq}} = \frac{6 \text{ V}}{17.3 \Omega} \approx 0.347 \text{ A} = 347 \text{ mA} \quad (6.69)
\]

Now, think about the circuit topology. The current through the equivalent resistor is the same as that through the 9 \Omega resistor! If we work backwards from finding the overall equivalent resistor, the equivalent resistor decomposes into a composite of the 4, 7, and 11 \Omega resistors and the 9 \Omega resistor in series. Since two series resistors must both have the same current, they both have the same current as their equivalent resistance as well, and the current in the 9 \Omega resistor must be 348 mA.

15. If we treat the battery as a perfect voltage source in series with its internal resistance, then the whole circuit under consideration is a perfect source of 9 V, a 1 \Omega resistor, and a 10 \Omega resistor all in series. The fact that they are all in series means they all have the same current. The internal resistance and the 10 \Omega load resistance in series are equivalent to a single 11 \Omega resistor, which means that effectively a perfect 9 V battery is connected to a single 11 \Omega resistor. In that case, we can find the voltage across the 10 \Omega resistor by first finding the current in the single loop of the circuit:

\[
I = \frac{\Delta V}{R_{eq}} = \frac{9 \text{ V}}{11 \Omega} \approx 0.818 \text{ A}
\]

The voltage across the 10 \Omega resistor is then just given by Ohm’s law:

\[
\Delta V_{10 \Omega} = I(10 \Omega) \approx 8.18 \text{ V}
\]
16. \textbf{(a) is the only correct schematic.} Remember: voltmeters have enormous internal resistances, and must be in \textit{parallel} with what they are measuring. Ammeters have tiny internal resistances, and must be in \textit{series} with what they are measuring. Based on this alone, (a) is the only correct schematic.

Circuit (b) is wrong because the ammeter is connected in parallel with the resistor. The ammeter’s resistance is sufficiently low (zero, ideally) that it will ‘steal’ all of the current from the resistor instead of measuring it. The same effect could be had by just connecting a short-cut wire across the resistor – the ammeter effectively takes it out of the circuit by providing a far lower resistance path, such that little current will actually go through the resistor. The fact that a low equivalent resistance is connected to the battery means a large current will flow, quickly draining the battery. The voltmeter is connected correctly, but in this case it will basically only measure the voltage drop across the ammeter itself.

Circuit (c) is wrong because the ammeter is in series \textit{and} the voltmeter is in parallel. The enormous resistance of the voltmeter (infinite, ideally) means that almost all of the battery’s voltage will be dropped across the voltmeter itself, and almost none will be left for the ammeter and resistor. Since the ammeter effectively short-circuits the resistor anyway, this circuit will measure neither $I$ nor $\Delta V$ correctly.

Circuit (d) is wrong because again the voltmeter is in series. The ammeter is correct, but the high resistance of the voltmeter will prevent all but the most miniscule currents from flowing anyway, so there will be nothing to measure!

17. $2.9 \times 10^{-4} \, \Omega \cdot m$. We first need to know the relation between resistivity and resistance, which includes the cross-sectional area of the wire $A$ and its length $l$:

\[
R = \frac{\rho l}{A} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho = \frac{RA}{l}
\]

And then we add in the relation between current, voltage, and resistance, \textit{viz.} $R = \Delta V/I$.

\[
\rho = \frac{RA}{l} = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta V}{I}\right) A}{l} = \frac{\Delta V \cdot A}{I \cdot l}
\]

The wire is said to have a uniform radius, which can only be true if its cross section is circular. The area of the circular cross section is then just $A = \pi r^2$. Making sure we keep track of the units, we just plug everything in and run the numbers:

\[
\rho = \frac{\Delta V \cdot A}{I \cdot l} = \frac{11 \, V \cdot \pi \left(3.8 \times 10^{-3} \, m\right)^2}{0.45 \, A \cdot 3.8 \, m} = 2.9 \times 10^{-4} \, \frac{V \cdot m}{A} = 2.9 \times 10^{-4} \, \Omega \cdot m
\]
3. Put two $50 \Omega$ resistors in parallel, and connect that combination in series with a $20 \Omega$ resistor. There are many other ways, this is perhaps the simplest.
Magnetism

Magnetism is a crucially important areas of applied physics, more so than you may be aware. Everything from motors to loudspeakers to Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) relies on magnets and magnetic fields. Though magnetism may seem like a phenomena completely distinct from electricity (and often less intuitive), in fact they are both different aspects of the unified force of “electromagnetism.” Using what we have learned from special relativity, we will be able to prove that electric and magnetic fields are really the same thing.

The electric fields and potentials we studied in Chapters 3 and 4 resulted from static distributions of electric charges in space. Magnetic fields, on the other hand, come from moving charges - the electric currents we studied in Chapters 5 and 6.

Magnetic fields affect moving charges, and conversely, moving charges produce their own magnetic fields. Another aspect of this symmetry between electric and magnetic fields is that time-varying magnetic fields induce electric fields, and vice versa. Electric and magnetic fields are fundamentally linked in their behavior in the time domain - the static aspect of one field is no more than the dynamic manifestation of the other.

However, It was not until 1820 that a formal link was established between the sciences of Electrostatics and Current Electricity and magnetism. In that year Øersted (Fig. 7.1 discovered that a magnetic compass needle was deflected by an electric current - in other words, electric currents produce magnetic fields. Within a few short months, Ampère (Fig. 6.1 had developed a theory integrating electricity and magnetism. This theory is symbolized by the notion of equivalence of a magnetic dipole (e.g., a bar magnet or a solenoid) and an electric dipole.

7.1 Magnetic Fields and Forces

While studying electric fields and forces, we described the interactions between charged objects in terms of electric fields. We said that an electric field surrounds any electric charge (or charge distribution), and that the presence of an external electric field causes electric charges (or charge distributions) to accelerate. When charged objects are stationary, knowledge of external electric fields and the object’s own electric field is sufficient to describe the static interactions between them.

The situation is different when charges are moving relative to one another or an external observer. Our first experience with moving charges was in the form of an electric current, the net flow of charges through some region in space. We discussed the relation between current and electric
potential, but curiously neglected to discuss the electric fields around moving charges. Indeed, the interaction between moving charges is qualitatively different in many respects, and for this reason the *magnetic field* is introduced. Moving charges are said to give rise to magnetic fields, which are treated separately from (but on equal footing with) electric fields. What we should not lose sight of is that, in fact, electric and magnetic fields represent *the same fundamental force of electromagnetism*, merely in different guises.

In this picture, in addition to containing an electric field, the region of space surrounding any *moving* electric charge also contains a magnetic field. A magnetic field also surrounds a magnetic substance making up a permanent magnet. This is because permanent magnets can be viewed in some sense as being made up microscopically of tiny current loops.

![Figure 7.2](attachment:field_lines.png)

**Figure 7.2**: (a) Field lines from a bar magnet, as visualized by spreading iron filings around the magnet. (b) Schematic illustrating the magnetic field lines from a bar magnet.

Historically, the symbol $B$ has been used to represent a magnetic field. The direction of the magnetic field $B$ at any location is the direction in which a compass needle would point at that location - magnetic field is a vector $\vec{B}$ just as the electric field $\vec{E}$ is. As with the electric field, we can represent the magnetic field by means of drawings with magnetic field lines. Figure 7.2 shows how the magnetic field lines of a bar magnet behave. Magnetic field lines point away from north poles, and toward south poles, as electric field lines point away from positive charges and toward negative charges. The main difference between the magnetic and electric aspects of the electromagnetic force is that *there are no isolated magnetic charges, magnets always come in north-south pole combinations*. You can verify this by breaking a magnet in half - this does not separate the poles, but produces two magnets with two poles each.

Figure 7.2 displays the field lines around an ordinary bar magnet, as visualized by spreading iron filings around the magnet, while Figure 7.2 shows a schematic illustration of the field lines and direction. Figure 7.3 shows the field lines for two bar magnets brought close together, connected ‘north-north’ and ‘north-south’. In the region between two opposite poles, Fig. 7.3a, the field lines are straight lines, representing a constant magnetic field of uniform direction. This is what happens when you break a single bar magnet in half, and move the pieces apart. Between like poles, Fig. 7.3b, the magnetic field vanishes where the fields from each pole cancel, and the field lines repel each other.

Associated with the presence of a magnetic field is a certain amount of potential energy, as with an electric field. Though we will not go into detail here, the energy tied up per unit volume goes as the square of the magnetic field-line density.

---

1A modern quantum physics view of the problem recognizes that electrons themselves have tiny magnetic moments, called *spin*, which are the cause of most magnetism we are familiar with. This does not affect our discussion, however.
7.1 Magnetic Fields and Forces

Figure 7.3: (a) Magnetic field pattern surrounding two bar magnets aligned N-S. Note that the field is reinforced in the region between the two magnets. (b) Magnetic field pattern surrounding two bar magnets aligned N-N. Note that the field is weaker between the two magnets, and cancels along a vertical line equidistant between them.

7.1.1 The Magnetic Force

Also associated with a magnetic field \( \vec{B} \) at some point in space, there is a magnetic force \( \vec{F}_B \) which affects a charged particle moving in that point in space. For now, let us assume that there are no electric or gravitational fields are present, only a magnetic field \( \vec{B} \). If test charge \( q \) moves with a velocity \( \vec{v} \) in a magnetic field \( \vec{B} \), the magnetic force \( \vec{F}_B \) has the following properties:

Properties of magnetic fields:
1. The magnitude \( |\vec{F}_B| \) of the magnetic force exerted on the particle is proportional to the charge \( q \) and to the speed \( |\vec{v}| \) of the particle.
2. The magnitude and direction of \( \vec{F}_B \) depend on the velocity of the particle and on the magnitude and direction of the magnetic field \( \vec{B} \).
3. When a charged particle moves parallel to the magnetic field vector, the magnetic force acting on the particle is zero.
4. When the particle’s velocity vector makes any angle \( \theta \neq 0 \) with the magnetic field, the magnetic force acts in a direction perpendicular to both \( \vec{v} \) and \( \vec{B} \). In other words, \( \vec{F}_B \) is perpendicular to the plane formed by \( \vec{v} \) and \( \vec{B} \).
5. The magnetic force exerted on a positive charge is in the direction opposite the direction of the magnetic force exerted on a negative charge moving in the same direction.
6. The magnitude of the magnetic force exerted on a moving charged particle is proportional to \( \sin \theta_{vB} \), where \( \theta_{vB} \) is the angle between particles velocity \( \vec{v} \) and \( \vec{B} \).

Figure 7.4 illustrates the direction of the magnetic force, magnetic field, and velocity vectors.

These properties can be nicely contained in a single vector equation:
**Magnetic Force on a Charged Particle:**

\[ \vec{F}_B = q \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \quad \text{or} \quad |\vec{F}_B| = q|\vec{v}||\vec{B}| \sin \theta_{vB} \quad \text{(7.1)} \]

where \( \theta_{vB} \) is the angle between the particles velocity \( \vec{v} \) and the magnetic field \( \vec{B} \). \( \vec{B} \) has units of teslas (T). \( \vec{F}_B \) is perpendicular to both \( \vec{B} \) and \( \vec{v} \).

The SI unit of magnetic field strength is the tesla (T), whereas the SI unit of magnetic flux (magnetic field lines flowing through some area, like electric flux) is the weber (Wb). 1 weber = 1 tesla flowing through 1 square meter, and is a very large amount of magnetic flux. If the magnetic force is in newtons, velocity in meters per second, and magnetic field in tesla, we can see from Equation [7.1] that a charge of 1 C moving perpendicularly to a magnetic field of 1 T with a speed of 1 m/s experiences a force of 1 N. Of course, we can also see that for a stationary particle or any uncharged particle, there is no force, and there is also no force when \( \vec{v} \) is parallel to \( \vec{B} \). Since the magnetic force is always perpendicular to the velocity, it never changes the energy of the charge it acts on. However, since the magnitude of the magnetic force does depend on the charge, it cannot strictly be classified as a conservative force either.

![Figure 7.4: (a) The direction of the magnetic force on a positively charged particle moving with a velocity \( \vec{v} \) in the presence of a magnetic field. When \( \vec{v} \) is at an angle \( \theta \) with respect to \( \vec{B} \), the magnetic force is perpendicular to both \( \vec{v} \) and \( \vec{B} \). (b) Oppositely directed magnetic forces \( \vec{F}_B \) are exerted on two oppositely charged particles moving at the same velocity in a magnetic field. The dashed lines show the paths of the particles.](image)

Figure 7.4 illustrates the vector relationship between force the force \( \vec{F}_B \), the velocity of a positively charged particle \( \vec{v} \), and the magnetic field \( \vec{B} \). The force \( \vec{F}_B \) will act in opposite directions on positively and negatively charged particles as the electric field does, and just as we expect from Eq. [7.1] since \( \vec{F}_B \) is proportional to \( q \). This is important to keep in mind, particularly since electric currents are almost invariably made up of moving negatively charged electrons, while mass spectrometers (Sect. 7.3.1.1) often involve the motion of positively charged ions. In other words, you will have to deal with both positive and negative cases, so be careful about signs and directions!
Thus far, we have considered the electric fields and potentials of static charge configurations, and even discussed at length the flow of charges that make up electric currents. We have curiously omitted a discussion of what the electric field of a moving charge looks like, however. As it turns out, the magnetic field we normally think of as a distinct physical phenomena is nothing more than a relativistic view of the electric field of moving charges. Before we delve deeper into magnetic phenomena, we will first demonstrate how magnetic fields are nothing more than a consequence of relativistic length contraction.

In order to see the fundamental symmetry between the electric and magnetic fields, we will conduct a hypothetical experiment using a current-carrying wire and a moving test charge, as shown in Fig 7.5. We have a conducting wire with current flowing to the right when viewed from the laboratory reference frame (O). For simplicity, we will assume the current is due to the flow of positive charges, spaced evenly with an average separation \( l^O \) when viewed from the lab frame O.

We know that our conducting wire must be electrically neutral in the laboratory frame, so in addition to the positive charges there must be an equal number of negative ions – the atoms making up the wire – also spaced at a distance \( l^O \). Now (still in the laboratory frame) we place a positive test charge \( Q \) a distance \( R \) from the wire. Since the wire is electrically neutral, there is no force on the test charge. What happens if the test charge is moving? We will give the test charge \( Q \) a velocity \( \vec{v} \) parallel to the wire, the same velocity with which the positive charges in the wire are moving for simplicity.

What does the now moving test charge experience, viewed from its own reference frame (\( O' \))? Since it is moving in the same direction, with the same velocity, as the positive charges in the wire, it sees those positive charges as at rest relative to itself, and the negative charges as moving to the left with velocity \( \vec{v} \).

When the positive charges are viewed from the laboratory frame \( O \), they appear to have an average spacing of \( l^O \), moving at velocity \( \vec{v} \). Once we switch to the test charge’s frame, the positive charges appear to be at rest – in switching reference frames, the velocity of the positive charges goes from \( \vec{v} \) to zero. From special relativity (Ch. 2), we know that moving objects undergo a length contraction.

\[ \text{Figure 7.5: An electric current in a wire viewed from the laboratory reference frame (O), and the reference frame of a moving test charge Q (O'). In the test charge frame, the spacing of the positive charges apparently increases while the spacing of the negative charges apparently decreases.} \]

\[ \text{Even though we know now that negatively-charged electrons really carry the current, working with positive charges will make the discussion simpler (by avoiding a lot of pesky minus signs), and will not change the analysis in any way.} \]
contraction. When we view the spacing \( l^O \) of the positive charges in the lab frame \( O \), we are viewing the contracted length. In the test charge’s frame \( O' \), we must un-contract the spacing \( l^O \) into the \( O' \) frame to figure out what the test charge really sees. If we call the spacing of the positive charges that the moving test charge experiences in its frame \( O' \) as \( l'^O \), we can easily relate it to the spacing viewed from the lab frame \( O \):

\[
\begin{align*}
   l'^O &= l^O \gamma \\
   \frac{l'_+}{l'_-} &= \frac{l^O}{\gamma^2} \\
   l'_+ &= \gamma \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \\
   l'_- &= \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}
\end{align*}
\]

Since we know \( \gamma \geq 1 \), it is clear that the spacing the test charge sees is larger than what we see in the lab frame. Meanwhile, what about the negative charges, which are stationary in the lab frame? The test charge sees from its frame the negative charges moving to the left with velocity \( \vec{v} \), so their spacing must be contracted to figure out the spacing of the negative charges \( l'^{-} \) the test charge sees:

\[
\begin{align*}
   \gamma l'^{-} &= l^O \\
   \frac{l'_-}{l'_+} &= \gamma \\
   l'_- &= \gamma \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}
\end{align*}
\]

Again, since \( \gamma \geq 1 \), the positive test charge sees a reduced spacing of the negative charges. Since the positive and negative charges now no longer appear to have the same spacing when viewed from the test charge’s frame, the test charge sees a net negative charge density, since there are effectively more negative charges per unit length than positive charges. The presence of a net negative charge density from the test charge’s point of view means that it experiences a net attractive force from the wire. From the lab frame, we would not expect any force between the test charge and the wire, but sure enough, a proper relativistic treatment leads us to deduce that a force must in fact be present.

How big is the force? First, we need to figure out the charge density in the wire that the test charge sees. Since we don’t want to restrict ourselves to any particular length of wire, we will calculate the number of charges per unit length as viewed in the test charge’s frame, \( \lambda'^O \). How do we find this? We know that all charges in the wire have charge \( q \), and we know their average spacing. Dividing \( q \) by the average spacing for each kind of charge will give us the number of charges per unit length for both positive and negative charges, and subtracting those two will give use the net charge density:
\[ \lambda^O = \lambda_O^O - \lambda_O^O \] (7.7)
\[ = \frac{q}{l_O^+} - \frac{q}{l_O^-} \] (7.8)
\[ = \frac{q}{l_O} \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} - \frac{q}{l_O} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \] (7.9)
\[ = \frac{q}{l_O} \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \right) \] (7.10)

This is a bit messy. However, we know that the drift velocity of charges in a conductor is very small compared to \( c \) \((\times 10^{-3} \text{ m/s}, \text{see Sect. 5.4.1})\). When \( v \ll c \), we can use the following approximations:

\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2} \quad v \ll c \] (7.11)
\[ \frac{1}{\gamma} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2} \quad v \ll c \] (7.12)

Using these approximations in Eq. 7.10 we can come up with a simple expression for \( \lambda^O \):

\[ \lambda^O = \frac{q}{l_O} \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \right) \] (7.13)
\[ = \frac{q}{l_O} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2} - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) \right) \] (7.14)
\[ = - \frac{q}{l_O} \frac{v^2}{c^2} \] (7.15)

Now that we have the charge density of the wire as viewed from the test charge’s frame, what is the electrostatic force? The problem is now to find the electric field at a distance \( R \) from a long, uniformly charged wire of charge density \( \lambda^O \), which we already did in Sec. 3.8.6. Using Eq. 3.28, we can immediately write down the electrostatic force experienced by the test charge in its reference frame:

\[ |\vec{F}| = Q |\vec{E}| = Q \cdot \frac{2k_e |\lambda^O|}{R} = \frac{2k_e Q v^2}{R c^2} \] (7.16)

\[ ^{iii} \]These approximations come from a Taylor series expansion. Don’t worry if you don’t know how to derive them.
We can simplify this a bit. The current in the wire is the charge $q$ divided by the time it takes the charges to move a unit length, which is $\Delta t = l/v$. Thus the current can be written as $qv/l$:

$$|\vec{F}| = Qv \left( \frac{2keI}{c^2R} \right)$$

(7.17)

If we associate the quantity in parenthesis with an effective magnetic field, then we have derived Eq. 7.1:

$$|\vec{F}| = Qv|\vec{B}|$$

(7.18)

with

$$|\vec{B}| = \frac{2keI}{c^2R}$$

(7.19)

This is it. A test charge moving near a current-carrying wire experiences a net force proportional to its charge, velocity, and the current in the wire. We have managed to derive the existence of the magnetic field and magnetic force from nothing more than Coulomb’s law and special relativity – a magnetic field is nothing more than the field of moving charges. Further, by analogy with Eq. 7.1, we have established that there is a magnetic field surrounding a long, straight wire. This is perhaps the most important result – electric currents create magnetic fields. Electricity and magnetism really are the same thing viewed from different reference frames. Amazing, isn’t it?

In some sense, it is remarkable that we can measure magnetic forces due to currents at all. The drift velocity is miniscule compared to $c$, $\frac{v}{c} \sim 10^{-12}$ or so, and $\gamma$ is barely different from 1, about $1.0 + 10^{-24}$. The magnetic force results from a tiny relativistic correction, certainly, but it is indeed a significant effect in the end because there are truly astronomical numbers of charges per unit length inside conductors. Even though the force per charge is miniscule, they make up for it in numbers. Before moving on, we note that if you repeat this analysis for the more complicated case that the test charge’s velocity is not the same as the charges in the wire, and not parallel, you still arrive at exactly Eq. 7.1. It just takes quite a bit longer . . .

### 7.1.3 Magnetic Field of a Long, Straight Wire

What is the direction of the magnetic field we just derived, associated with the current in the wire? So far, we only know its magnitude, but we can figure out the direction based on symmetry. The effective field $\vec{B}$ is due to the current in the wire, which is directed along the axis of the wire (of course). The magnitude of the magnetic field is also proportional to the current and falls off as distance increases, as one might expect. If we reverse the direction of the current, the force changes sign, so the direction of $\vec{B}$ must depend on the direction of the current. Symmetry maintains that

---

iv This just comes from kinematics, we know that the charge covers a distance $l$ according to $l = v\Delta t$.

v $\theta = 90^\circ$ in our case, so $\sin \theta = 1$.

vi Or, equivalently, Gauss’ law
it must be radially symmetric about the wire axis as well – in other words, the magnetic field must be constant in magnitude on circles drawn around the wire.

The force itself is directed perpendicular and toward the wire, and perpendicular to the test charge’s velocity. If the force is proportional to and perpendicular to the velocity, and proportional to the magnitude of the magnetic field, the force can only result from a vector product (or “cross product”) between the velocity and magnetic field, $\vec{F} = Q\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$. A cross product between $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{B}$ fulfills all the requirements – if the force and magnetic field are perpendicular, the magnetic field must be perpendicular to both. For this to be true and still have a radially symmetric field as required by symmetry, there is only one possibility: the magnetic field circulates around the wire! This is shown schematically in Figure 7.6.

![Figure 7.6: Magnetic field around a current-carrying wire. When a current $I$ flows, compass needles deflect in directions tangent to the circle, pointing in the direction of $\vec{B}$ due to the current. The second right-hand rule gives the direction of $\vec{B}$ from $I$, and vice-versa. The unit vector $\hat{\theta}$ is used to represent the angular direction.](image)

Now we have a simple mathematical form of the magnetic field surrounding a current-carrying wire:

**Magnetic field around a long, straight wire:**

$$\vec{B} = \frac{2\kappa I}{c^2 R} \hat{\theta} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi r} \hat{\theta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.20)

where $I$ is the current in the wire, $r$ is the distance from the axis of the wire, and $\hat{\theta}$ is the angular unit vector around the wire axis.

Just like electric field and electric potential near a point charge, $B$ diverges when you get infinitesimally close to the wire. The magnetic field doesn’t really become infinite, that just means that when we get too close, we are actually inside the wire, and different physics must be used.

The $\mu_0$ in Equation 7.20 is a new constant, the “permeability of free space,” and has the value

**Permeability of free space:**

$$\mu_0 \equiv 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{T} \cdot \text{m/A} = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{N/A}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.21)
The constants $\mu_0$, $\varepsilon_0$, and the speed of light $c$ are intimately related, so you really only have to remember two of the three:

**One less constant than you think:**

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 c^2} \quad (7.22)$$

This is the reason that $\mu_0$ is defined by Equation [7.21] using “≡” instead of “=” – the interdependence of these three constants led physicists to just define $\mu_0$ as fixed, since $c$ and $\varepsilon_0$ determine it uniquely anyway. If you substitute $k_e = 1/4\pi\varepsilon_0$ and $\varepsilon_0 c^2 = 1/\mu$ into Eq. [7.20] above, you can see that both forms are correct:

$$|\vec{B}| = \frac{2k_e I}{c^2 R} = \frac{2I}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 c^2 R} = \frac{2I}{24\pi\varepsilon_0 c^2 R} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi R} \quad (7.23)$$

Now there is just one more nagging point about the field surrounding the wire. Which direction does it circulate, clockwise, or counterclockwise?

### 7.1.4 Handedness

What we do not fully know yet is the proper sense of circulation of the magnetic field surrounding a current-carrying wire. In order to determine that, we need to think a bit deeper about three-dimensional geometry and “handedness.”

The fact that $\vec{B}$, $\vec{v}$, and $\vec{F}_B$ are mutually perpendicular implies a unique axis for each, since in three dimensions there are only three mutually perpendicular axes. This fact alone does not determine a unique direction for all three, however. We have two possible choices for the convention of direction, corresponding to two senses of “handedness,” or two possible coordinate systems, as shown in Fig. 7.7. You may recall the same problem when learning about torque and angular momentum. Or, if you are a chemist, you know this problem as chirality. An object is said to be ‘chiral’ if its mirror image cannot be superimposed on the original. No amount of rotation or translation will make the mirror image look exactly like the original. Your hands are good examples - no amount of rotation or manipulation will change a left hand into a right hand, hence the name. This is clearly the case for the the two coordinate systems in Fig. 7.7a and Fig. 7.7b, or the two helixes in Fig. 7.7c.

The magnetic force is in some sense chiral. Looking back at Fig. 7.4 if we were to reverse the direction of $\vec{v}$, then we would also have to reverse the direction of $\vec{B}$, but not $\vec{F}_B$. Similarly, we could reverse both $v$ and $\vec{F}_B$ and $\vec{B}$ would be left unchanged [vii]. The diagram of $\vec{B}$, $\vec{v}$, and $\vec{F}_B$ in

[vii] This is a result of the fact that the magnetic field is technically a pseudovector, not a true vector. Pseudovectors act just like real vectors, except they gain a sign flip under improper rotation. An improper rotation is an inversion followed by a normal (proper) rotation, just what we are doing when we switch between right- and left-handed coordinate systems. A proper rotation has no inversion step, just rotation.
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Fig. 7.4 is not equivalent to its mirror image, and is hence chiral. We will not dwell on this point, further, but suffice it to say, as a convention we always choose the right handed coordinate system.

We can easily pick which is the right-handed coordinate system and choose the proper directions of $\vec{B}$, $\vec{v}$, and $\vec{F}_B$, with a simple rule, the **right-hand rule number 1:**

**Right-hand rule # 1:**
1. Point the fingers of your right hand along the direction of the velocity.
2. Point your thumb in the direction of the magnetic field $\vec{B}$.
3. The magnetic force on a positive charge points out from the back of your hand.

-OR-

1. Point your fingers in the direction of $\vec{v}$.
2. Curl your fingers in the direction of $\vec{B}$, moving through the smallest angle.
3. Your thumb now points in the direction of the magnetic force for a positive charge.

Both forms of the right-hand rule (should) give you the same result, use whichever is more intuitive for you. Note that if you replace $\vec{v}$ with $x$, $\vec{B}$ with $y$, and $\vec{F}_B$ with $z$, the same rules let you choose a right-handed coordinate system. For a current-carrying wire, we can come up with a more specific rule, since the velocity of the charges making up the current is always along the axis of the wire. This rule is unimaginatively called the second right-hand rule:

**Right-hand rule #2:**
Point your thumb on your right hand along the wire in the direction of the current. Your fingers naturally curl around the direction of the magnetic field caused by the current, which circulates around the wire.
Question: Consider a proton moving with a speed of $1 \cdot 10^5 \text{ m/s}$ through the earth’s magnetic field ($|\vec{B}| = 55 \mu\text{T}$). When the proton moves east, the magnetic force acts straight upward. When the proton moves northward, no force acts on it. What is the direction and magnitude of the magnetic field?

Answer: First, the lack of a magnetic force when the proton moves north means that the magnetic field must be pointing either north or south – there is zero force only when velocity and magnetic field are parallel. The right-hand rule tells us that since the net force is upward, and the velocity is eastward, the magnetic field must be pointing north. (See Figure 7.4.) The magnitude of the magnetic force is readily calculated from Equation 7.1:

$$|\vec{F}| = q|\vec{v}||\vec{B}| \sin \theta = (1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C})(1 \cdot 10^5 \text{ m/s})(55 \times 10^{-6} \text{ T}) \sin 90^\circ = 8.8 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ N}$$

7.2 Ampère’s Law

Equation 7.20 lets us calculate the magnetic field due to a long, straight wire, but not much else. Deriving everything from electrostatics and special relativity is certainly too tedious for common usage. A more general technique is due to André-Marie Ampère, it is much in the spirit of Gauss’ law (Sect. 3.8). “Ampère’s law” relates the current flowing through a closed surface to the magnetic field tangential to the curve bounding the surface.

Take any arbitrary closed path surrounding a current, as in Figure 7.8, and break it up into infinitesimal segments $\Delta l$. Now find the component of the magnetic field parallel to the segment, $B_\parallel$, and compute the product $B_\parallel \Delta l$. The sum of all such products around the closed path gives the current passing through the surface bounded by the path:

![Figure 7.8: Ampère’s Law. Take any arbitrary closed path surrounding a current, and break it up into infinitesimal segments $\Delta l$, and find the component of the magnetic field parallel to the segment $B_\parallel$. The sum of all such products around the closed path gives the current passing through the surface bounded by the path.](image)
Ampère’s law:

\[ \sum_{\text{closed path}} B_\parallel \Delta l = \mu_0 I_{\text{enclosed}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.24)

where \( B_\parallel \) is the field component parallel to the segment \( \Delta l \), and \( I_{\text{enclosed}} \) is the current passing through the surface defined by the closed path.

Again, just like with Gauss’ law, we choose particularly convenient paths around a current, such that everywhere on the path \( B \) is either perfectly parallel, or perfectly perpendicular. Unlike Gauss’ law, we have to be careful about the direction in which we trace out the path.

Take the long, straight wire carrying a current \( I \). We know from symmetry that the magnetic field must be radially symmetric about the wire, so we choose our Ampérian paths to be circles centered on the wire, just like we chose spheres as our Gaussian surfaces surrounding point charges (Sec. 3.8).

By symmetry, the magnetic field has the same value everywhere on the circle, and must be tangential to the circle. That is, \( B_\parallel = B \) for every segment \( \Delta l \) on the wire. Computing the current is now easy, since \( B_\parallel \) can just be taken out of the sum:

\[ \sum B_\parallel \Delta l = B_\parallel \sum \Delta l = B_\parallel l_{\text{path}} = B_\parallel \cdot 2\pi r = \mu_0 I \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.25)

\[ \Rightarrow B_\parallel = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi r} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.26)

This is exactly the result given by Equation [7.20] which we derived from Ampère’s law and symmetry alone! While Ampère’s law is very simple and elegant, it cannot easily be used for complex current configurations which lack a nice symmetry like our wire has, and it is only valid for static cases, when the \( E \) and \( B \) fields do not vary with time. There, however, is a slightly more complex form which is valid in general. It relates not only current, but the time variation of the electric field to \( \sum B_\parallel \Delta l \).

### 7.2.1 Ampère’s and Gauss’ Laws

Fundamentally, both Gauss’ law and Ampère’s law are manifestations of the divergence theorem (a.k.a. Green’s theorem or the Gauss-Ostrogradsky theorem). Put simply, it states that the sum of all sources minus the sum of all sinks gives the net flow out of a region. The same law is applies in fluid dynamics. If a fluid is flowing, and we want to know how much fluid flows out of a certain region, then we need to add up the sources inside the region and subtract the sinks. The divergence theorem is basically a conservation law - the volumetric total of all sources minus sinks equals the flow across a volume’s boundary.

In the case of electric fields, this gives Gauss’ law (Equation [3.9]) - that the electric flux through any closed surface must relate to a net charge inside the volume bounded by that surface. In
the case of magnetic fields, *the same law* applies, but we know there are no unpaired “magnetic charges” - magnets always come in north-south pairs. Therefore, any closed surface always encloses *pairs* of magnetic poles, and there can be no net “magnetic charge” inside. Thus the net magnetic flux \( \Phi_B = BA \cos \theta_{BA} \), defined similarly to electric flux (Equation 3.5) out of any *closed surface* bounding a volume is zero.

**Definition of magnetic flux through a surface**

\[
\Phi_B = BA \cos \theta_{BA} \tag{7.27}
\]

where \( \theta_{BA} \) is the angle between the surface normal and the magnetic field.

Given *any* volume element, the net magnitude of the vector components of the magnetic field that point outward from the surface must be equal to the net magnitude of the vector components that point inward. This means that the magnetic field lines must be closed loops. Another way of putting it is that magnetic field lines cannot originate from somewhere – following the lines backwards or forward leads back to the starting position. Hence, this is a mathematical formulation of the statement that there are no single magnetic poles. Magnetic poles *always* come in north-south pairs, never alone.

By analogy, the net magnitude of the vector components of the *electric field* pointing outward must be equal to the net magnitude of the vector components pointing inward plus the amount of free charge inside. Electric field lines do originate from somewhere - from charges.

**Gauss’ laws:**

The electric flux \( \Phi_E \) through any *closed* surface is equal to the net charge inside the surface, \( Q_{\text{inside}} \), divided by \( \epsilon_0 \):

\[
\Phi_{E, \text{closed surface}} = \frac{Q_{\text{inside}}}{\epsilon_0} \tag{7.28}
\]

The magnetic flux \( \Phi_M \) through any *closed* surface bounding a volume is zero:

\[
\Phi_{B, \text{closed surface}} = 0 \tag{7.29}
\]

The fact that magnetic flux out of a closed surface is zero gives us gives us Ampère’s law. If there can be no net magnetic flux out of a closed region, then the tangential components of the magnetic field around any closed curve we draw on the surface must sum to zero. If they did not, then adding up all such curves to build up a closed volume would *not* lead to zero magnetic flux, which would imply the existence of single magnetic poles. For even more detail about what this means for the boundary conditions on the electric magnetic fields, see Appendix B.

What about a version of Ampère’s law for electric fields? Surely Gauss’ law for electric fields must imply something about the tangential components of the electric field around a closed loop.
Indeed they do, and it is this bit which explains how electric generators work. But not until next chapter!

### 7.3 The Magnetic Field in Various Situations

#### 7.3.1 Motion of a Charged Particle in a Magnetic Field

We have already found that a charged particle moving parallel to a current-carrying wire experiences a force directed toward the wire. Now, we wish to consider the slightly more general case of a single charged particle \(+q\) placed in a constant magnetic field, such that the particle’s velocity \(\vec{v}\) is perpendicular to the magnetic field \(\vec{B}\), Figure 7.9. We know that the magnetic force \(\vec{F}_B\) will always be perpendicular to \(\vec{v}\) and perpendicular to the field \(\vec{B}\). What is the resulting motion of the particle?

![Figure 7.9: When the velocity of a charge \(+q\) is perpendicular to a uniform magnetic field, the particle moves in a circle whose plane is perpendicular to \(\vec{B}\), which is into the page. The magnetic force \(\vec{F}\) on the charge is always directed toward the center of the circle.](image)

Take the case of the particle at the bottom of the circle in the figure, where the particle has a velocity directed to the right. Applying the right-hand rule gives a force vertically upward. The particle curves upward as a result, and then experiences a force to the left. And so on.

More generally we might ask: what is the locus of points such that the force, velocity, and magnetic field are always perpendicular? A **circle!** The magnetic force is always directed toward the **center of a circular path**, therefore the magnetic force causes a centripetal acceleration. As we know, whenever a particle moves in a circular path, it experiences an effective centripetal force \(mv^2/r\), which must equal the sum of all other forces. Centripetal force changes the direction of \(\vec{v}\), but not its magnitude, so we can relate it to the magnetic force with Newton’s second law:

\[
F_B = F_{\text{centr.}} = qvB = \frac{mv^2}{r}
\]

We can use this to find the radius of the path of a charged particle in a magnetic field:
A charged particle in a constant magnetic field moves in a circle, radius \( r \):

\[
r = \frac{mv}{qB}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.31)

The radius of the particle’s path is proportional to its momentum \( mv \), and inversely proportional to its charge \( q \) and the magnitude of the magnetic field \( B \). Equivalently, we can say the radius depends on the charge to mass ratio of the particle, \( m/q \).

If we know the radius of the particle’s path, then Equation 7.30 says that the velocity has to be \( v = \frac{qB}{m} \). Since the particle is in uniform circular motion, we can define an angular frequency \( \omega \), the time it takes the particle to go around one orbit:

Angular frequency of a charged particle in a constant magnetic field

\[
\omega = \frac{v}{r} = \frac{qB}{m} \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) = \frac{qB}{m}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.32)

where we have used Equations 7.30 and 7.31 in the last two steps. The period of the motion can be found as well:

Period of motion of a charged particle in a constant magnetic field

\[
T = \frac{2\pi r}{v} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} = \frac{2\pi m}{qB}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.33)

In other words, the charged particle undergoes oscillatory motion, with a period proportional to the mass to charge ratio \( m/q \), and inversely proportional to the magnetic field \( B \). This is roughly the basis of one type of magnetic resonance, similar to MRI.

What happens if the initial velocity is not perfectly perpendicular to the magnetic field? The motion of the particle within the plane perpendicular to the field is still a circle, but we have to add on a constant component in the direction parallel to the field. Circular motion in one plane, and constant velocity in a perpendicular plane gives a helix. Think about that for a minute, and inspect Figure 7.10.

### 7.3.1.1 The Mass Spectrometer

Figure 7.11 illustrates the basic operation of one type of mass spectrometer. Charged particles enter a region at left where two parallel plates create a constant electric field \( E \) in the vertical direction, while at the same time a constant magnetic field is applied into the page. The electric field causes a force \( qE \) to be exerted on the particle upward, while the magnetic field exerts a force \( qvB \) downward.
If the net electric + magnetic force is zero, the particle has no acceleration, and travels on a straight line path through a narrow aperture. For this to happen, we require:

\[ qE = qvB \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \frac{E}{B} \quad (7.34) \]

This is a “velocity selector,” which creates a stream of particles of a specific velocity based on the ratio \( E/B \). Once the particles leave the aperture, the experience only a magnetic field, and therefore only a force \( qvB \) directed initially downward. From the preceding section, we know that the particle’s subsequent motion will be in a circular path of radius

\[ r = \frac{mv}{qB} \quad (7.35) \]

If we solve Equation 7.34 for \( B \), and substitute it in the equation above, we see that the radius
of curvature can be expressed independently of its velocity:

\[ r = \frac{mE}{qB^2} = \left( \frac{m}{q} \right) \frac{E}{B^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.36)

After the first part of the detector fine-tunes the particles’ velocity, the second stage forces them to curve in a path that depends on their mass to charge ratio \( m/q \). If before the detector stage we ensure that all particles are singly-charged, or at least all have the same charge, the radius of curvature is directly related to the mass of the particle. The radius of curvature, and thus the mass of the particles, can be measured by placing a position-sensitive charge detector (green box) inside the second stage of the detector. Heavier particles curve less in the magnetic field, and land farther along the green plate, while lighter ones curl in tightly and land closer to the left side of the detector plate. Mass spectrometers based on this principle can be used to identify elements or compounds (as in a mass spectrometer), or to separate isotopes of a given element.

### 7.3.2 Magnetic Force on a Current-Carrying Conductor

We know already how a single charged particle moves in response to a magnetic field. We also know that an electric current is nothing more than a stream of moving charges. It is easy to see, then, that a wire carrying a current should experience a force in a magnetic field. The direction of the force is perpendicular to the direction of the current and the magnetic field, in agreement with the first right-hand rule.

What is the force on a current carrying wire? Let us take a wire of length \( l \), carrying a current \( I \) in a magnetic field of strength \( |\vec{B}| \equiv B \) perpendicular to the wire’s axis. If we break the current up into single charges moving at the drift velocity \( |\vec{v}| \equiv v_d \), then each charge making up the current experiences a magnetic force \( |\vec{F}_B| = qv_dB \). The total force on a segment of wire is the force per charge carrier times the total number of carriers in the wire. Given the number of carriers per unit volume \( n \), and the wire’s volume \( A \cdot l \), we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Total force)} &= (\text{force per charge carrier}) \times (\text{number of carriers}) \\
|\vec{F}_B| &= (qv_dB)(nAl) \\
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.37)

We already developed Equation 5.5 relating the current to drift velocity, \( I = nqv_dA \), so we are left with:
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\[ v_d = \frac{I}{nqA} \quad (7.38) \]

\[ |\vec{F}_B| = nqv_dBA \quad (7.39) \]

\[ = nq \left( \frac{I}{nqA} \right) BA \quad (7.40) \]

\[ = IBl \quad (7.41) \]

If the wire isn’t perpendicular to the magnetic field, but at some angle \( \theta \), we can repeat the analysis above with \( B \sin \theta \) in place of \( B \), and arrive at a general equation for the force experienced by current carrying wire:

**Force on a current-carrying wire:**

\[ |\vec{F}_B| = IBl \sin \theta \quad (7.42) \]

where \( \theta \) is the angle between the current and magnetic field.

Figure 7.12 shows the net force on a current-carrying wire. Note that a vector directed into the page is represented as a cross inside a circle, \( \otimes \), corresponding to the tails of arrows.

**Conventions for drawing vectors:**

A vector directed into the page is represented by a cross inside a circle, \( \otimes \)

A vector directed out of the page is represented by a dot inside a circle, \( \odot \)

7.3.3 Magnetic Force Between Two Parallel Conductors

We now know that a magnetic force acts on a current-carrying conductor when the conductor is placed in an external magnetic field. We also know that current-carrying wires create their own
magnetic fields. From this it also follows that a current-carrying wire experiences a force from another current-carrying wire.

Figure 7.13 shows two long, straight, parallel wires carrying currents $I_1$ and $I_2$ separated by a distance $d$. Wire 2 produces a magnetic field $\vec{B}_2$, which acts on wire 1. The direction of $\vec{B}_2$ is perpendicular to the wire, and must have a magnitude:

$$|\vec{B}_2| = \frac{\mu_0 I_2}{2\pi d} \quad (7.43)$$

from Equation 7.20. Equation 7.42 gives us the force $\vec{F}_{12}$ on wire 1 due to the presence of $\vec{B}_2$ due to $I_2$ in wire 2:

$$|\vec{F}_{12}| = |\vec{B}_2||I_1| = \left(\frac{\mu_0 I_2}{2\pi d}\right)I_1 = \frac{\mu_0 I_1 I_2 l}{2\pi d} \quad (7.44)$$

For an arbitrary wire, we can better write this in terms of the force per unit length:

**Force per unit length on wire 1 parallel to wire 2:**

$$\frac{|\vec{F}_{12}|}{l} = \frac{\mu_0 I_1 I_2}{2\pi d} \quad (7.45)$$

where $d$ is the separation between wires 1 and 2 carrying currents $I_1$ and $I_2$, respectively.

The direction of $\vec{F}_{12}$ is downward toward wire 2, as expected from the first right-hand rule. From Newton’s third law, we additionally know that $\vec{F}_{12} = -\vec{F}_{21}$, that is, the force on wire 2 is equal and opposite that on wire 1.

Two parallel wires carrying current in the same direction attract each other, and as you might expect, when the currents are in the opposite direction they repel one another. The reason for the
force being attractive for currents in the same direction and repulsive for opposing currents relates to the magnetic field lines in the region between the two wires, as shown in Figure 7.14. When the currents are in the same direction, the field lines tend to cancel between the wires, which leads to an attractive force. If the currents are identical, the force is exactly zero on a line halfway between the wires. When the currents oppose, the field lines reinforce between the wires, enhancing the field and leading to a repulsive force.

### 7.3.4 Torque on a Current Loop

Now we know how to find the force on a straight length of current-carrying wire in magnetic field. From there, it is no big trick to show that a loop of wire in a magnetic field experiences a torque. This result will be crucial to understanding how, e.g., electric motors and generators function in the next chapter.
Take the loop of wire carrying a current $I$ in a constant magnetic field $\vec{B}$ in Figure 7.15a. No magnetic forces act on the sides of length $a$ parallel to $\vec{B}$, since they are parallel to the field ($\sin \theta = 0$). We do expect forces to act on the sides of length $b$, however, since they are at right angles to the field. Further, since the sides are identical except for the fact that the current is in opposite directions, we expect that they experience the same magnitude of force (Equation 7.42), but in opposite directions:

$$|\vec{F}_1| = |\vec{F}_2| = BIb \quad (7.46)$$

From right-hand rule #1, the force on the left side of the loop, $\vec{F}_1$ has to be out of the page, while the force on the right side of the loop, $\vec{F}_2$, has to be into the page. If we fix the loop such that it pivots along a vertical axis running through the middle of the loop (the dashed line in Figure 7.15b), what will happen?

Figure 7.15b shows the loop viewed on edge. Both forces try to rotate the loop clockwise about the pivot axis. Recall that a torque $\vec{\tau}$ occurs when we have a force $F$ applied some distance $d$ from a pivot point, and $|\vec{\tau}| = Fd \sin \theta_F d$, where $\theta_F d$ is the angle between the force and the displacement to the pivot point. Consistent with our right-handed coordinate system, positive torque corresponds to clockwise rotation.

The forces $\vec{F}_1$ and $\vec{F}_2$ are applied at a distance $a/2$ from the loop’s pivot point, and the angle between the force and displacement is $90^\circ$, so the net torque is:

$$|\vec{\tau}|_{\text{max}} = F_1 \frac{a}{2} + F_2 \frac{a}{2} = (BIb) \frac{a}{2} + (BIb) \frac{a}{2} = BIab \quad (7.47)$$

The area of the loop is $A = ab$, so we can express the torque more generally as

$$|\vec{\tau}|_{\text{max}} = BIA \quad (7.48)$$

This simple result only holds when the field $\vec{B}$ is parallel to the plane of the loop. We can easily repeat the for the case when the field makes an angle $\theta$ with a line perpendicular to the plane of the loop, as shown in Figure 7.15c. All we have to do is change $B$ to $B \sin \theta$ - the torque only results from the component of $\vec{B}$ parallel to the loop plane:

$$|\vec{\tau}| = BIA \sin \theta \quad (7.49)$$

The loop has a maximum torque $BIA$ when the field is parallel to the plane of the loop, and is zero when the field is perpendicular to the plane of the loop. When placed in a magnetic field, the
loop will tend to rotate to smaller values of $\theta$, until its plane is perpendicular to the loop (or such that its area normal is parallel to the field), minimizing the torque it feels. What good is all this? The torque created on a current loop by a magnetic field is the basis of many electric motors!

We can further generalize our result and consider not just one loop of wire, but $N$ loops of wire tied together – a coil. All we have to do is add together the magnitude of the $N$ torques $|\vec{\tau}|$ from each loop, since they all act in the same direction:

Torque on a coil of $N$ turns in a magnetic field:

$$|\vec{\tau}| = BIA\sin \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.50)

where $I$ is the current carried by each loop of area $A$ in a coil of $N$ turns, placed in a constant magnetic field of magnitude $B$, and $\theta$ is the angle between a line perpendicular to the loop and $B$.

This simple and most general result holds for coils of of arbitrary shape, not just rectangles, so long as the loop can be contained by a cartesian plane. Since the problem of current loops comes up fairly often, we often define the quantity $IAN$ to be the magnitude of the magnetic moment of the coil $|\vec{\mu}|$. The magnetic moment vector $\vec{\mu}$ always points perpendicular to the plane of the coil, and the angle $\theta$ is now the angle between the magnetic moment and the field $B$. Using this definition:

$$|\vec{\tau}| = |\vec{\mu}||\vec{B}|\sin \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.51)

As a last remark, we point out that an electron orbiting an atomic nucleus can be thought of as a current loop, which implies that atoms would experience a torque when placed in a magnetic field. In a rough manner of speaking, this is the basis for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), the actual details of which are beyond our discussion. Magnetic resonance deals with the magnetic moments of individual electrons or protons, which is actually due to their quantum-mechanical spin, a topic we will cover in quantum physics.

7.3.5 Magnetic Fields of Current Loops and Solenoids

The magnetic field produced by a current-carrying wire can be magnified at a point by bending the wire into a loop. Consider the loop in Figure 7.16. The small segment of the loop $\Delta x_1$ produces a magnetic field at the loop’s center which is directed out of the page. The segment $\Delta x_2$ also produces a magnetic field directed out of the page, which adds to the field from segment $\Delta x_1$. This occurs for every tiny segment of the whole loop, with the result that the field at the center is much larger than anywhere else.
The magnetic field at the center of a loop of radius $R$ carrying a current $I$ is given by

$$\vec{B}_{\text{center}} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2R} \hat{z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.52)

where $\hat{z}$ is the direction pointing out of the page.

Deriving this result requires some calculus, so we will not reproduce it here. What we should notice is that compared to Equation [7.20] for a straight wire, the field is now $\pi$ times larger - bending the wire into a loop enhances the field, at least at the center, by about three times. Mathematics tells us that this is as good as it gets though – no other shape will give us a bigger enhancement.

The magnetic field lines for a current loop are shown in Figure [7.17]. All of the field lines converge toward the central region of the loop, creating a much higher field there. All of the field lines enter at the bottom of the loop and exit at the top. Notice how the current loop behaves as if it has a north and a south pole, just like a bar magnet. The magnetic moment of a circular current loop is the same as we found in Section [7.3.4], $|\vec{\mu}| = IA = 2\pi r I$, directed along the axis perpendicular to the loop plane and in accordance with the right-hand rule.

It is no accident that current loops look like permanent magnets - as we mentioned in Section [7.1], permanent magnetic materials can in some sense be modeled as consisting of tiny, atomic current loops. In fact, the field lines in Figure [7.17] are not just “like” a bar magnet, a current loop creates a magnetic field nearly indistinguishable from a bar magnet. This is our fundamental linkage between electricity and magnetism.

We can make current loops into a longer “bar magnet” by adding them together. If we make a coil of $N$ equivalent loops of wire stacked together, each carrying a current $I$, the field at the center...
is the sum of the fields from each of the $N$ coils:

$$\vec{B}_{\text{center}} = N \left( \frac{\mu_0 I}{2R} \right) \hat{z} \quad (7.53)$$

In other words, if bending a wire into a single loop enhances the field maximally, then the next best thing is to just add more loops. The field from every loop just adds to the total, so long as the currents are all running in the same direction.

### 7.3.5.1 Solenoids

Instead of stacking individual loops, we can take a long straight wire and bend it into a coil. Such a coil is called a *solenoid*, a type of *electromagnet*. Solenoids are important because they act as magnets only when current is supplied (there is no remnant field, like a permanent magnet has), and create an extremely uniform field inside them. A solenoid is one form of an electromagnet – solenoids using superconducting wire are crucial for creating the large magnetic fields required for Magnetic Resonance Imaging.

![Figure 7.18: Magnetic field lines around a solenoid. The field is nearly uniform inside if we are far from the edges, and small outside.](image)

Figure 7.18 shows a schematic of a solenoid and its magnetic field lines. The conductors going into and out of the page carry a current $I$. The field lines inside the solenoid are very nearly parallel, uniformly spaced, and close together. Subsequently, the field inside is strong - being the superposition of the field of many individual coils - and very uniform. Note how the solenoid looks just like a long bar magnet now – again, they are nearly indistinguishable.

The field outside the solenoid is weaker, nonuniform, and in the opposite direction. We can make the field inside more and more uniform by adding more and more coils, making the solenoid longer. If the solenoid is long compared to its diameter, the field will be very uniform toward the middle.

What is the field inside the solenoid? We can use Ampère’s law (Equation 7.24) to find out. Let us imagine that the total number of turns is $N$, and the length $l$. Take a closed loop for Ampère’s law like the loop labeled “1” in Figure 7.19. We will consider the solenoid to be so long that the field outside is essentially zero. Ampère’s law tells us to sum up $B_\parallel \Delta l$ around this loop. Since the field is constant on each side of rectangle 1 (though not the same on every side), we can just sum up $B_\parallel \Delta l$ for each side. The contribution from the top and bottom sides is clearly zero - the field is perpendicular to the length there. The contribution from the outside edge is also zero, since $B \approx 0$. 


The only non-zero contribution is from the inner side of the rectangle:

\[ \sum_{\text{path 1}} B_{\parallel} \Delta l = B_z L = \mu_0 I_{\text{enclosed}} \quad (7.54) \]

The right-hand side is total current that passes through rectangle 1. If there are \( N \) loops over the length \( l \), the current enclosed is just \( NI \).

**Field inside a long solenoid:**

\[ \vec{B} = \mu_0 \frac{N}{L} I \equiv \mu_0 n I \hat{z} \quad (7.55) \]

where \( \hat{z} \) is on the axis of the solenoid, \( N \) is the number of turns of wire each carrying a current \( I \), and \( L \) is the length of the solenoid (so there are \( n \equiv N/L \) turns per unit length).

For the last line, we have defined the quantity \( n \) to be the number of “turns per unit length” for convenience. Now, what if we try to apply Ampère’s law to loop 2? Again, the top and bottom sides give no contribution, since the field is perpendicular. The left and right sides experience the same (parallel) field \( B \), but on the right side the length vector is in the same direction as \( \vec{B} \), while on the left side it is opposing. This means that one side gives a positive contribution, and the other an equivalent negative contribution. So \( \sum B_{\parallel} \Delta l = 0 \), which must be true since \( I_{\text{enclosed}} = 0 \) for this path!

Path 3 is even easier - if the solenoid is long enough to neglect the field outside, then the contribution from every side is zero. Again we have \( \sum B_{\parallel} \Delta l = 0 \), and \( I_{\text{enclosed}} = 0 \), consistent with Ampère’s law.

### 7.4 Permanent Magnetic Materials

We know from everyday experience that permanent magnetic materials, when magnetized, are sources of strong magnetic fields. Why? We can, in a very rough sense, imagine electrons as in a circular orbit around an atomic nucleus. Electrons moving in this circular orbit constitute a current of sorts, and with that current loop is an associated magnetic moment.

This effect, as it turns out, is rather small. The magnetic properties of many materials can be explained by the fact that electrons not only behave as if they are orbiting the atomic nucleus, but
they also behave as if they are spinning like a top. (This analogy should not be taken literally, the “true” explanation results from quantum mechanical phenomena.) This spinning motion also represents moving charge, and with it is also associated a magnetic moment.

Electrons tend to group in pairs such that their “spin” magnetic moments cancel – you might remember this as Hund’s rule from chemistry. As a result, materials with an even number of electrons tend not to be strongly magnetic. If there are an odd number of electrons, a net magnetic moment results. Each one of the \( N \) unpaired electrons in a magnetic material possesses a magnetic moment \( \vec{\mu} \). If the material is a permanent magnet, all of the individual moments tend to line up in the same direction spontaneously, and they add together to form a very large field. If the materials is magnetic, but not a permanent magnet (proceeding section), the moments do not spontaneously align, but can be forced into alignment with a small external magnetic field.

If we define the number of unpaired electrons per unit volume is \( n \equiv N/V \), then the quantity \( n \vec{\mu} \equiv \vec{M} \) is called the magnetization of the material, or the magnetic moment per unit volume. The quantity \( \mu_r \) is the relative permeability of the material, just like \( \mu_0 \) is the permeability of vacuum.

The net result of this is that magnetic materials behave as if there is a large magnetic field present inside them, in addition to the external field. This internal magnetic field has a maximum value when the material is fully magnetized, known as the “saturation magnetization” of the material. The saturation magnetization can be the equivalent of hundreds of teslas in common magnetic materials!

\[
\vec{B}_{\text{inside}} = \mu_r \vec{B}_{\text{external}}
\]

What this equation tells us is that the field inside a magnetic material can be as much as \( \mu_r \) times the external field. In other words, the permeability of a material amplifies the applied magnetic field. This is only true for relatively low fields – once the material is completely magnetized, the field inside reaches a constant value known as the “saturation magnetization.” The relative permeability can be as high as \( 10^5 \) to \( 10^6 \), so the fields inside magnetic materials are truly colossal.

The behavior of the total magnetic field for a magnetic material, internal plus external, is shown in Figure 7.20. Important to note is that the total field is not zero when the applied field is zero – permanent magnets have a remnant magnetic field, which is why they are permanent magnets in the first place! Another key point is that the direction of the remnant magnetic field (positive or negative) depends on the history of the applied field – a
phenomena known as hysteresis, which is the basis for magnetic information storage in hard disks.

We have necessarily left out a great many fundamental details about permanent magnetic materials. A good introductory place to learn more is: [http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/solids/magperm.html](http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/solids/magperm.html)

### 7.4.1 Non-permanent magnetic materials

There are a great many materials which are not permanent magnets, but can *become* magnetized by an external magnetic field. In these materials, what often occurs is that the electron spin moments do not all line up together, but are in random directions. An external field can align them, however, which will magnetize the material. In all respects it will behave like a permanent magnet, except that it has *no hysteresis* - once the external field is removed, the material is no longer magnetized.

The strength of the induced magnetic alignment in a non-permanent magnetic material is nothing more than its relative permeability $\mu_r$. The internal magnetic field is enhanced by a factor $\mu_r$, like in a permanent magnetic material, but non-permanent magnets retain no magnetic behavior once the external field is removed.

### 7.4.2 Electromagnets

Now we can understand a bit how strong electromagnets work. Figure 7.21 shows a cross-section of an electromagnet. The permanent magnetic material (Iron, for example) is in the shape of an “O” with one small notch cut out of it. Wrapped around the closed end opposite the notch is a coil of copper wire of length $L$ (running into and out of the page) with $N$ turns each carrying a current $I$.

![Figure 7.21: An electromagnet with a permanent magnet core. A current in the “solenoid” coil wrapped around the iron core creates a small magnetic field. This small magnetic field magnetizes the core, creating a much larger field in the gap region. The field in the gap is larger than that of the solenoid alone by roughly a factor $\mu_r$.](image)

What happens in this construction? The current in the “solenoid” coil creates a magnetic field of $\mu_0 NI/L$ in the left-to-right direction. This relatively small magnetic field serves to magnetize the iron core, such that the field inside the core is $\mu_r$ times the field from the copper coil: $B_{\text{inside}} \approx$
μ₀ NI/L. So what is the field inside the gap? One can use Ampère’s law for that, or the boundary conditions on the magnetic field (Appendix B) but we will only quote the result for the field inside the gap here:

\[ B_{\text{gap}} \approx \mu_r \mu_0 \frac{N}{L} I \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.57)

So long as the gap is very narrow compared to the size of the core itself, the field is just about the same as that inside the magnetic material. Just like inside the core itself, the field in the gap is enhanced by a factor \( \mu_r \), so good electromagnet cores are made from materials with very high \( \mu_r \). Table 7.1 lists the relative permeability for a few permanent magnetic materials. Given that \( \mu_r \) can be thousands or hundreds of thousands, the reason for having a core in a electromagnet is clear - it is a magnetic field amplifier!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( \mu_r ) (representative)</th>
<th>( \mu_r ) (maximum)</th>
<th>Remnant field ([T])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iron</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nickel</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cobalt</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>permalloy (Ni_{78.5}Fe_{21.5})</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mumetal (Ni_{75}Cr_{2}Cu_{5}Fe_{18})</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>( \sim 1,000,000 )</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316 stainless steel</td>
<td>( \sim 1 )</td>
<td>( \sim 1 )</td>
<td>( \sim 0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.4.3 Permeability and Magnets on Your Fridge

A material that is strongly attracted to a magnet is also said to have a high permeability \( \mu_r \). Why is that? Why do magnets stick to a refrigerator door?\(^\text{viii}\)

A permanent magnet sticks to the side of the refrigerator because part of the refrigerator is able to become magnetized by a magnetic field, even though it is not a permanent magnet (i.e., it has no hysteresis). The internal field in the magnetized region is proportional to the relative permeability \( \mu_r \), as noted above. The magnetized region acts just like another magnet, and the field lines from this induced magnetic alignment join with those of the inducing magnet, forming continuous field lines that link the two together, as in the case of the two permanent magnets. The opposite alignment of magnetic poles gives an attractive force, which tends to brings the magnet closer to the fridge, which increases the force ... until they are stuck together.

This is a little bit like charging by induction with electric fields - the magnetic field from a permanent magnet pole (say, N) induces a magnetic pole in the refrigerator of the opposite sign\(^\text{viii}\)

\(^\text{viii}\)So long as it is not stainless steel. Austenitic stainless steels, like 310 and 316 (and 304 to a lesser extent) have extremely low permeabilities, and show almost no response to an external magnetic field.
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(S), just like a positively charged rod induces a negative charge on a conductor (Sect. 3.2.2). The difference is, again, that magnetic poles only come in pairs, so there is no magnetic version of charging by conduction (Sect. 3.2.1).
7.5 Quick Questions

1. Consider a proton moving with a speed of $|\vec{v}| = 1 \cdot 10^5$ m/s through the earth’s magnetic field ($|\vec{B}| = 55 \mu$T). When the proton moves east, the magnetic force acts straight upward. When the proton moves northward, no force acts on it. What is the direction of the magnetic field?

- North
- South
- East
- West

2. What is the magnitude of the magnetic force in the previous example?

- $2.2 \cdot 10^{-9}$ N
- $6.6 \cdot 10^{-15}$ N
- $8.8 \cdot 10^{-19}$ N
- $4.4 \cdot 10^{-13}$ N

3. The figure shows a simplified mass spectrometer. Particles with charge $q$ and mass $m$ enter at left with a velocity $v$, and encounter a region with both an $E$ and $B$ field as shown. What is the relationship between $v$, $B$, and $E$ for particles that make it through the aperture in the middle of the detector?

- $EB = v$
- $E/B = v$
- $E^2/B = v$
- $B/E = v$

4. Once the particle enters the second region of the detector from the previous question, it is in a region of magnetic field only. In this region, the particle travels in a circular path. What is the radius of the circle?

- $r = mB/qv$
- $r = qvB/m$
- $r = qB/mv$
- $r = mv/qB$
5. Permanent magnets sticking to a refrigerator door happens because the permanent magnet is able to induce magnetic poles in the steel of the door. This process is analogous to electrically charging objects by *induction*, where a charged object induces opposing charges in a conductor without contact.

Can a process like *conduction*, where a charged object transfers some of its charges to another, happen with magnets? Refer to the figure at left for the analogy.

- No, because there are no single magnetic charges.
- Yes, but it is a small effect due since $\mu_0 \ll \epsilon_0$
- Yes, this is how permanent magnets become magnetized
- No, because magnetic poles are not mobile.

6. An electron passes through a magnetic field without being deflected. What can you say about the angle between the magnetic field vector and the electron’s velocity, if no other forces are present?

- They could be in the same direction
- They could be perpendicular
- They could be in opposite directions
- Both the first and third are possible

7. What should happen to the length of a spring if a large current passes through it? *Hint: Think about the current in neighboring spring coils.*

- It shortens
- It lengthens
- Nothing
7.6 Problems

1. Sodium ions (Na\(^+\)) move at 0.85 m/s through a bloodstream in the arm of a person standing near a large magnet. The magnetic field has a strength of \(|\vec{B}| = 1.2\) T and makes an angle of 73° with the motion of the sodium ions. The arm contains 120 cm\(^3\) of blood, with \(3.0 \times 10^{20}\) Na\(^+\) ions per cubic centimeter.

If no other ions were present in the arm, what would be the magnetic force on the arm?

2. Two long parallel wires, each with a mass per unit length of \(\lambda = m/l = 0.040\) kg/m, are supported in a horizontal plane by 6.0 cm strings, as shown at left. Each wire carries the same current \(I\), causing the wires to repel one another, which causes the supporting strings to make an angle \(\theta = 16^\circ\) with one another.

Are the currents in the same direction or opposing? Find the magnitude of each current.

*Hint: consider the free-body diagram for one of the wires in the upper right. If a wire has mass \(m\) and length \(l\), \(\lambda = m/l\).*

3. Consider the mass spectrometer shown at left. The electric field between the plates of the velocity selector is \(|\vec{E}| = 1000\) V/m, and the magnetic fields in both the velocity selector and the deflection chamber have magnitudes of 1.0 T.

Calculate the radius of the circular path in the deflection chamber for a singly charged ion with mass \(m = 7.3 \times 10^{-26}\) kg (corresponding to CO\(_2\)).

4. An electron has a velocity of \(3 \times 10^6\) m/s perpendicular to a magnetic field and is observed to move in a circle of radius 0.3 m.

(a) What is the strength of the \(B\) field?

(b) What \(E\) field could you apply (in addition to the \(B\) field) to cause the electron to move
in a straight line instead? Give the magnitude and direction (relative to the \( B \) field and the electron’s velocity).

5. A wire with a weight per unit length of 0.10 N/m is suspended directly above a second wire. The top wire carries a current of 30 A and the bottom wire carries a current of 60 A. Find the distance of separation between the wires so that the top wire will be held in place by magnetic repulsion.

6. Three long parallel conductors carry currents of \( I = 2.8 \) A, as shown in the figure, with all currents coming out of the page. Given \( a = 2.0 \) cm, find the magnitude and direction of the magnetic field at all three points A, B, and C.
7.7 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. **North.** The proton will experience no force when it is moving in a direction parallel to the magnetic field. We already know then that the magnetic field is either pointing north or south, since the proton experiences no force when traveling north. But is it north or south?

When the proton moves east, it experiences a force upward. We can use the first right-hand rule to find definitively the direction of the $\vec{B}$ field. Put the fingers of your right hand along the proton’s velocity (east), and point the back of your hand in the direction of the resulting force (up). Your right thumb now points along the direction of $\vec{B}$ - north.

2. **8.8 \times 10^{-19} N.** The magnitude of the magnetic force has to be given by $|\vec{F}| = q|\vec{v}||\vec{B}|$:

$$|\vec{F}| = (1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})(1 \times 10^5 \text{ m/s})(55 \mu T) = (1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})(1 \times 10^5 \text{ m/s})(55 \times 10^{-6} \text{ T}) = 8.8 \times 10^{-19} \text{ N}$$

Strictly speaking, we have to note that 1 T = 1 N/A·m to be sure the units come out right. So long as you use the proper SI units for everything - C, m/s, T - you can usually be sure everything will work out all right.

3. **$E/B = v$** For the particle to make it through the aperture, it has to travel in a straight line. This will only happen if there is no net up-down force on the particle.

We can see that the $\vec{E}$ field gives an upward force on the negative charge $-q$, while the $\vec{B}$ field gives a downward force from the first right-hand rule. For there to be no net force, these two have to balance:

$$|\vec{F}_B| = |\vec{F}_E|$$
$$-qvB = -qE$$
$$\mp qvB = \mp qE$$
$$v = E/B$$

4. **$r = mv/qB$.** If the particle moves in a circular path, the net force it experiences must be equal to the centripetal force:

$$|\vec{F}_B| = |\vec{F}_C|$$
$$qvB = mv^2/r$$
$$rq\theta B = mv$$
$$rqB = mv$$
$$r = mv/qB$$

5. **No, because there are no single magnetic charges.** I suggest you read the section in Chapter 15 on charging by induction once more, and the answer should be clear.

6. **Both the first and third are possible.** The magnetic force is $\vec{F}_B = \vec{v} \times \vec{B}$, or $F_B = qvB \sin \theta$ where $\theta$ is the angle between $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{B}$, so the magnetic force is always perpendicular to the
electron’s velocity. The only way the electron can go through the region of magnetic field and experience no deflection is if it feels no force - a deflection from a straight line path implies an acceleration, which implies a force. This can only be true if \( \theta \) is 0 or 180° - the electron’s velocity and the magnetic field vector have to be parallel, or in opposite directions.

7. **It shortens.** Think about the individual coils making up a spring. The current through segments of adjacent coils are parallel, and hence adjacent sections of the spring coils should experience an attractive force. Each coil of the spring attracts every other one, and the net result is that the spring should shorten.

### 7.8 Solutions to Problems

1. \( \approx 5630 \text{ N} \). We have a stream of singly-charged \( \text{Na}^+ \) ions (i.e., \( q = e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} \)) moving at a velocity \( v \) at an angle \( \theta \) to a magnetic field \( B \). We know the force on a single ion is:

\[
F_{\text{single}} = evB \sin \theta
\]

The total force is just the force per ion times the number of ions. We have a density of ions \( \rho_{\text{Na}^+} = 3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^3 \), and a volume of \( V = 120 \text{ cm}^3 \). The total number of ions is then just \( N_{\text{Na}^+} = V \rho_{\text{Na}^+} = 3.6 \times 10^{22} \). Note that we don’t really have to convert \( \text{cm}^3 \) to \( \text{m}^3 \), since the units cancel. Put that all together:

\[
F_{\text{tot}} = (N_{\text{Na}^+}) (F_{\text{single}}) = (N_{\text{Na}^+}) (evB \sin \theta) \approx 5630 \text{ N}
\]

2. **67.8 A.** Basically, we have two current-carrying wires, both carrying the same current \( I \), and we know the magnitude of the force per unit length between them must be:

\[
\frac{F_m}{l} = \frac{\mu_0 I^2}{2\pi d}
\]

Here \( d \) is the lateral separation of the wires. If the wires are hanging as shown, then the magnetic force between the wires must be balancing the weight of each wire and the tension in the strings holding them up. Therefore the magnetic force must be repulsive, and the currents in the opposite direction.

Notice the free-body diagram in the upper right corner. In equilibrium, the sum of all forces is zero. Take +x to the right, and +y upward. Then we can write down the net forces in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions. **For convenience, we will say from now on \( \theta' \equiv \theta/2 \), since we only need the half angle to do the problem.**

\[
\Sigma F_x = T \sin \theta' - F_m = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_m = T \sin \theta'
\]
\[
\Sigma F_y = T \cos \theta' - mg = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad T = \frac{mg}{\cos \theta'}
\]

Plug the expression for \( T \) into the one for \( F_m \), and divide by the length of the wires, which we will call \( l \), so we can equate this result to Eq. 7.58.
\[
\frac{F_m}{l} = \frac{m}{l} g \tan \theta' = g \lambda \tan \theta' = \frac{\mu_0 I^2}{2\pi d}
\]

Note the substitution \( \lambda = m/l \) above. Now the question is, what is \( d \), the separation of the wires? Simple plane geometry relates the separation \( d \) to the length of the support wires (the 6 cm, we’ll call this \( h \)), and the angle \( \theta' \): \( d = 2h \sin \theta' \). Put that into the equation above and solve for \( I \) ...

\[
\frac{|\vec{F}_m|}{l} = \lambda g \tan \theta' = \frac{\mu_0 I^2}{2\pi d} = \frac{\mu_0 I^2}{4\pi h \sin \theta'}
\]

\[
I^2 = \frac{4\pi}{\mu_0} g \lambda h \sin \theta' \tan \theta'
\]

Putting in the numbers given, you should get \( I \approx 67.8 \text{ A} \). And as noted above, the currents are in opposite directions.

3. \( r = 4.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m} \). I think (hope?) you are all familiar with the mass spectrometer at this point. In the left-most region, there are both \( \vec{E} \) and \( \vec{B} \) fields. The electric force and the magnetic force act in opposite directions. Since the ion’s velocity is constant, there must be zero acceleration. If there is zero acceleration, the sum of all forces must be zero:

\[
\Sigma F = F_e - F_m = qE - qvB = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad qE = qvB \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \frac{E}{B}
\]

Next, in the region of purely magnetic field on the right, we have only a magnetic force. But, if the path of the ion is circular, then the sum of all forces must equal the centripetal force:

\[
\Sigma F = F_m = qvB = \frac{mv^2}{r} \quad \Rightarrow \quad r = \frac{mv}{qB}
\]

Now we can use the fact that \( v = E/B \) and simplify. Again we note that a singly-charged ion has a charge \( q = e \):

\[
r = \frac{mv}{qB} = \frac{m}{qB} \left( \frac{E}{B} \right) = \frac{mE}{qB^2}
\]

Plug in the numbers given (no unit conversions for once), and you find \( r = 4.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m} \), or \( r = 0.46 \text{ mm} \).

4. Since we have two parallel wires with currents flowing, we know we are going to have a magnetic force between. Now the problem says that the magnetic force is repulsive (which implies that the currents are in opposite directions), which it must be in order for the the top wire to be held in place against the force of gravity. This means we want to balance the gravitational force on the top wire, acting downward, against the repulsive magnetic force between the two wires, acting upward on the top wire.

The top wire is quoted to have a \textit{weight} per unit length of 0.10 N/m, which we will call \( \chi \). A \textit{weight} is already a force, mass times gravity, so the problem gives you the gravitational force
per unit length \( \chi = mg/l \) for some section of wire of length \( l \) and mass \( m \). We can relate the more common mass per unit length \( \lambda = m/l \) and the weight per unit length easily: \( \lambda g = \chi \). Since the force between two parallel current-carrying wires is also expressed in terms of force per unit length, we are nearly done.

Given the currents in the top and bottom wire \( (I_1 \text{ and } I_2, \text{ respectively}) \), the weight per unit length \( (\chi) \), and the separation between the wires \( (d) \), we just have to set the weight per unit length equal to the magnetic force per unit length, and solve for \( d \):

\[
\text{weight per length} = \text{magnetic force per length} \\
\chi \equiv \frac{mg}{l} = F_B \\
\chi = \frac{\mu_0 I_1 I_2}{2\pi d} \\
\Rightarrow d = \frac{\mu_0 I_1 I_2}{2\pi \chi}
\]

Plugging in the numbers we’re given ...

\[
d = \left[ \frac{4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ N/A}^2}{2\pi \cdot 0.10 \text{ N/m}} \right] [30 \text{ A}] [60 \text{ A}] \\
= 2 \cdot 1800 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ m} \\
\approx 3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}
\]

Of course, the units work out much easier if you know that \( \mu_0 \) can be expressed in T\cdot m/N or N/A\(^2\), the two are equivalent: \( \mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ T\cdot m/A} \) and \( \mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ N/A}^2 \). This equivalence makes some sense – the first set of units comes from thinking about the field created by a current-carrying wire, while the second comes from thinking about the force between two current-carrying wires.

5. COMING SOON!

6. There is nothing special to do here, except calculate the field at a given point due to each individual wire, and add the results together to get the field due to all three wires. Of course, you have to add the fields as vectors, which makes this much more fun. In order to do that, let’s define our problem a bit better. Let’s call our \( y \) axis the line connecting point \( B \) with the upper and lower wires, and our \( x \) axis the line connecting points \( A, B, \text{ and } C \). We will label the upper-most wire “1”, the lower-most wire “2”, and the farthest right wire “3.”

We can notice right away that the current is out of the page for all three wires, which means that the field will circulate counterclockwise, and be constant on circles centered around each wire. Further, for any point along the \( x \) axis, the \( x \) components of the fields from wires 1 and 2 are always going to be equal and opposite. This can be deduced from symmetry alone - they are at the same \( x \) position, the same distance away from the \( x \) axis, and carry the same current in the same direction. This means already that the field at all three points will have no \( y \) component. But we get ahead of ourselves ...

**Point A:** First, we should think about the direction of the fields. Around each wire, draw a circle centered on the wire, which goes through point \( A \). Since the wires go out of the page, the
field is constant on this circle, and it circulates counterclockwise. The direction of the field is tangent to the circle for each wire. Since the circles around wires 1 and 2 have the same radius, this means that the fields from wires 1 and 2 have the same magnitude.

From the symmetry of the problem (or basic geometry), one can see that the $x$ components of the fields from wires 1 and 2 are equal and opposite, leaving only a downward component. The field from wire 3 is purely down, and has no $x$ component to begin with. Thus, the total field is purely downward, and we only need to add up the $y$ components of the fields from each wire. The figure below might help you see this:

![Diagram of three wires with magnetic fields]

We also know - again from symmetry or basic geometry - that the $y$ components of the field from wires 1 and 2 must be the same. Both wires are a distance $a\sqrt{2}$ from point $A$. First, let’s calculate the magnitude of the field from wires 1 and 2:

$$|\vec{B}_{1,A}| = |\vec{B}_{2,A}| = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi a \sqrt{2}}$$

The $y$ component of the field is no problem - all the relevant angles are 45 and 90 degrees:

$$B_{1,A,y} = B_{2,A,y} = |\vec{B}_{1,A}| \sin (-45°)$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi a \sqrt{2}} \sin (-45°)$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi a \sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi a \cdot 2}$$

$$= -\frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi a}$$

Here we used the fact that $\sin (-45°) = 1/\sqrt{2}$. For wire 3, since the field is purely in the $-y$ direction, we just need to calculate the magnitude of the field itself. No problem, we just note that wire 3 is actually a distance $3a$ from point $A$.
\[ B_{3,A,y} = |\vec{B}_{3,A}| = \frac{-\mu_0 I}{2\pi a \cdot 3} = \frac{-\mu_0 I}{6\pi a} \]

Finally, to get the total field at point \( A \), which is purely in the \(-y\) direction, we just add all the \( y \) components together:

\[
|\vec{B}_{A,tot}| = B_{1,A,y} + B_{2,A,y} + B_{3,A,y} \\
= \frac{-\mu_0 I}{\pi a} \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} \right] \\
= \frac{-2 \mu_0 I}{3 \pi a} \\
\approx -37 \mu T
\]

Again, the direction is purely downward in the \(-y\) direction. Take care that the distance \( a \) is converted to meters ...

**Point B**: At point \( B \), things are even simpler. Since point \( B \) is perfectly between wires 1 and 2, the fields from wires 1 and two perfectly cancel each other. All we are left with is the field from wire 3, a distance 2\( a \) from point \( B \), which is again purely in the \(-y\) direction:

\[
B_{B,tot} = B_{3,B,y} = \frac{-\mu_0 I}{2\pi \cdot 2a} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mu_0 I}{\pi a} \approx -14 \mu T
\]

**Point C**: This is similar to point \( A \) in fact. Again, the \( x \) components of the fields from wires 1 and 2 must cancel, and again the field from wire 3 has only a \( y \) component. Wires 1 and 2 are a distance \( a\sqrt{2} \) from point \( C \), so the \( y \) components of their fields will be exactly the same as they were for point \( A \), except that now the field points up instead of down:

\[
B_{1,C,y} = B_{2,C,y} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi a}
\]

The field from wire 3 still points down, and has only a \( y \) component as well. Wire 3 is a distance \( a \) from point \( C \), so its field is:

\[
B_{3,C,y} = \frac{-\mu_0 I}{2\pi a}
\]

If we add up the total field, which still has only \( y \) components:

\[
B_{C,tot} = B_{1,C,y} + B_{2,C,y} + B_{3,C,y} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi a} + \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi a} + \frac{-\mu_0 I}{2\pi a} = \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \frac{\mu_0 I}{\pi a} = 0
\]

So all three fields exactly cancel, and the field is precisely zero at point \( C \). Which one could have guessed from symmetry alone ...
Induced Voltages and Inductance

The net flow of charges - or current - leads to a magnetic field as we learned in Chapter 7. Magnetic fields can in turn induce currents, as it turns out, through induction.

Historically, it was first discovered by Oersted that magnetism was produced by current-carrying wires, as we found in Chapter 7. It was not immediately clear, however, that electricity could in turn be produced by magnetism. While steady currents produced constant magnetic fields, experiments showed that steady magnetic fields could not produce currents. It was not until the experiments of Faraday and Henry that it was discovered that only time varying magnetic fields could produce currents.

8.1 Induced Voltages and Magnetic Flux

Both Faraday and Henry discovered that currents could be produced in a coil of wire by simply moving a magnet in and out of the coil, as shown in Figure 8.2. Simply placing the magnet in the coil of wire did nothing. Only when the magnet was moving relative to the coil was a voltage induced in the coil and a current created. Whether the magnet moves and the coil is stationary, Fig. 8.2a, or the coil moves and the magnet is fixed, Fig. 8.2b, is not important, only relative motion matters.

Figure 8.2: (a) When a magnet is pushed through a coil of wire, a voltage is induced in the coil. (b) When the coil moves around the magnet, a voltage is also induced. Whether the loops of wire move or the magnet moves is immaterial, a voltage is induced so long as the magnetic flux through the coil changes in time.

Strictly speaking, it is not a current that is induced in the coil, but a voltage difference between its end points. If the coil is part of a closed electric circuit, a current will flow, but a potential difference will be induced even in a disconnected coil. If there is a voltage present, and the wire is conducting, this means that an electrical current will be induced in any closed circuit when the magnetic flux through a surface changes. Electromagnetic induction underlies the operation of generators, induction motors, transformers, and most other electrical machines.
The induced voltage is produced whenever there is relative motion of the coil and magnet, and it was also discovered that the more loops of wire there are in the coil, the larger the induced voltage, Fig. 8.3. Twice as many loops gives twice as much voltage, everything else remaining the same.

Finally, it was discovered that the induced voltage depends on how fast the magnetic field through the coil changes - which in this simple example just means how fast the magnet moves relative to the coil.

**Induced Voltages:**
The induced voltage in a coil is proportional to the number of loops, and the rate at which the magnetic field through the loop changes.

### 8.2 Faraday’s Law of Induction

More precisely, Faraday found that the *induced voltage produced between the ends of a loop of wire is proportional rate of change of the magnetic flux passing through the surface of the loop*. Magnetic flux, $\Phi_B$, is defined similarly to electric flux (Fig. 8.4):

\[
\Phi_B = B \cdot A = BA \cos \theta_{BA}
\]  

where $\theta_{BA}$ is the angle between the surface normal and the magnetic field. The flux through a closed surface bounding a volume is still zero.

Magnetic flux is the product of area of the loop and the perpendicular component of the magnetic field through it, as shown in Fig. 8.4 and the induced voltage in the coil depends on the rate that the flux changes with time. What this means is that *either* the field can be changing in time, *or* the area facing the magnetic field can be changing in time, or both, and a voltage will be induced. For example, we could either move the magnet back and forth into the loop, or rotate the coil in a constant magnetic field.
8.2 Faraday’s Law of Induction

The result of all of this is Faraday’s law of electromagnetic induction, which relates the change in magnetic flux through a loop per unit time to the induced voltage in the loop:

Faraday’s law of electromagnetic induction If a circuit contains \( N \) closely wound loops and the magnetic flux changes by \( \Delta \Phi_B \) in a time \( \Delta t \), the average voltage induced in the loop is given by:

\[
\Delta V = -N \frac{\Delta \Phi_B}{\Delta t}
\]  \( (8.2) \)

This law covers all the basic phenomena we just discussed - the induced voltage depends on the number of turns in the coil, and how fast the magnetic flux through the coil changes. What about the minus sign though? What the minus sign says is that the induced voltage will try to create a current that opposes the change in magnetic flux. If a current is induced in the coil, it will circulate in such a way to try and stop the change in flux, by creating a magnetic field of its own.

For a minute, think about what would happen if the minus sign weren’t there. In this case, a time-varying flux would create a current in a loop of wire, which would create a field that changes in the same way as the field causing the flux. This field would then add to the field causing the flux, which would increase the current even more, and then further add to the original field. This positive feedback would quickly run amok! Any infinitesimally small change in magnetic field with time would get amplified, and cause a runaway current in the coil (at least until it melted). Since this situation is clearly absurd, it makes some sense that the induced current must oppose the change in flux, rather than add to it. It is precisely this negative feedback of coils which makes them useful circuit elements, which we will come to in following sections.

Incidentally, this does not mean that the magnetic field created by the induced current is always opposite that of the field causing the flux in the first place - it is trying to stop the change in flux, not cancel the flux completely. For example, if the magnetic field causing the flux is increasing, the induced current will create a field in the opposite direction to oppose the increasing flux, but if the flux is decreasing, the induced current will create a field in the same direction to “shore up” the flux and stop it from decreasing. This principle is known as Lenz’s law, and we will return to
its implications in later sections.

8.3 Inductance

8.3.1 Mutual Inductance

As a concrete example, consider the two solenoids in Fig. 8.5. The top solenoid is powered with a time-varying current $I(t) = I_0 \cos \omega t$, which produces a time-varying magnetic field $|\vec{B}(t)| = B_0 \cos \omega t$. This time-varying magnetic field creates a time-varying flux in the lower “pickup” solenoid, which in turn leads to an induced voltage. The current, magnetic field, and induced voltage all vary sinusoidally, though not all with the same phase as we shall see.

What is the phase relationship between the current in the source coil and the voltage in the “pickup” coil? First, we know that the magnetic field created by the source coil is just proportional to the current in the coil, so it will be in phase with the current. When the current is at a maximum, so is the magnetic field.

This in turn means that when the current and magnetic field are maximal, then the flux in the pickup coil is maximal - only the magnet field is changing, the area is constant in this case. The induced voltage in the pickup coil, however, depends on the time rate of change of the flux, not the flux itself. When is the rate of change maximal? The time rate of change $\Delta \Phi_B / \Delta t$ is nothing more than the slope of the flux versus time curve. Since the current and magnetic field are sinusoidal in time, $\cos \omega t$, so too is the flux in the pickup coil. The maximum slope for a sinusoidal curve is where it crosses zero on the $y$ axis, and it has zero slope at peaks and troughs.

What this means is that $\Delta \Phi_B / \Delta t$ for the pickup coil is maximum whenever the field from the source coil, and therefore the current in the source coil, is zero. Whenever the current in the source coil is maximal, the induced voltage is zero, since $\Delta \Phi_B / \Delta t$ is zero. In short, the induced voltage in the pickup coil is still sinusoidal, but a quarter cycle (90°) out of phase with the current in the source coil.
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What this setup essentially does is wirelessly transmit power from the source to the pickup coil through the time-varying magnetic field. This is known as mutual inductance, the basis for electrical transformers. The key thing in designing a transformer is to somehow focus as much of the flux from the source coil as possible and guide it into the pickup coil, such that as much electrical energy from the source coil is transferred into the pickup coil as possible. One relatively easy way to do this is to use a high permeability magnetic material to guide the flux, as in an electromagnet (Section 7.4.2).

8.3.2 Self Inductance

What happens if we have only one coil? A single coil powered by a time-varying voltage creates a time-varying magnetic field around it. Can a coil be affected by its own magnetic field? Yes. The coil doesn’t know where the field comes from, or how it was created, all it sees is a time-varying field, which it will try to oppose. When we power a single coil, some of the flux lines emanating from the coil will pass through the coil itself, and as the current and field change in time, Faraday’s law tells us that there must be an induced voltage, just as if the time-varying field were caused by a second coil. This is known as self inductance.

We know that the induced voltage \( \Delta V \) must be given by Faraday’s law:

\[
\Delta V = -N \frac{\Delta \Phi_B}{\Delta t}
\]

We also know that the change in flux is just due to the current in the coil itself, so:

\[
\frac{\Delta \Phi_B}{\Delta t} \propto \frac{\Delta I}{\Delta t}
\]

Combining these two facts, we see that the induced voltage in the coil \( \Delta V \) must be proportional to the change in current with time:

\[
\text{Self-inductance:} \quad \Delta V \propto \frac{\Delta I}{\Delta t} \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta V = -L \frac{\Delta I}{\Delta t}
\]

(8.5)

where the constant of proportionality \( L \) is called the inductance of the coil.

We can also use our two proportionality equations, Eq. 8.3 and 8.4, to find an expression for \( L \) itself:

\[
L = N \frac{\Delta \Phi_B}{\Delta I} = \frac{N \Phi_B}{I}
\]

(8.6)
The unit of inductance \( L \) are volt-seconds per ampere \([\text{V} \cdot \text{s}/\text{A}]\), or henries \([\text{H}]\).

So the inductance depends on the number of turns in the coil, the current in the coil, and the flux of course. The fact that inductance depends on flux means that it is a function of the coil geometry, and in general is difficult to calculate. For a simple solenoid, we know all of these quantities, however, and one can show \( L = \mu_0 N^2 A/l = \mu_0 n^2 V \), where \( A \), \( l \), and \( V \) are the cross-sectional area, length, and volume of the coil, respectively, and \( n = N/l \) as usual.

The inductance of a coil tells us how dramatically a coil responds to changes in its own current. From Lenz’s law, we know that the induced voltage in the coil will try to stop any changes its flux, which means opposing changes in current in the coil itself. Inductance is therefore a sort of a “resistance to change in current,” which makes inductors are useful in circuits with time-varying signals. This is nothing more than the “negative feedback” implied by Lenz’s law we referred to above, and the negative feedback of inductors can be used in, e.g., audio amplifiers and many other circuits to “smooth out” rapid changes or fluctuations in signals, as we will explore further below.

### 8.3.2.1 Inductors as Circuit Elements

The reluctance of a coil of wire to change current rapidly due to its self inductance can actually be a useful thing in an electronic circuit. Undesired rapid changes in current (due to a power spike, for example) can be smoothed out by putting an inductive element in a circuit, and some GFI outlets are based on this idea. Filters for high-frequency filters (such as those in audio amplifiers) can be built from inductors due to their reluctance to allow rapidly-varying currents through them – a rapidly-varying current is just another description of a high-frequency signal. Combined with capacitors, which like to let rapidly-varying signals through but not slowly-varying (or dc) signals, one can tailor the frequency or time response of all sorts of circuits. A circuit element used primarily for its self-inductance is simply called an inductor.

![Circuit diagram symbol for an inductor L](#)

Owing to the fact that inductors also store energy in their magnetic field, which we will discuss in the following section, inductors can also be used to temporarily store energy, just like capacitors. In fact, capacitors and inductors are closely linked conceptually:

**Inductors and Capacitors:**

An inductor’s behavior toward current is the same as a capacitor’s behavior toward voltage.

This rule of thumb will become more clear when we discuss the inductive version of the \( RC \) circuit, the \( RL \) circuit.
8.3 Inductance

8.3.2.2 RL Circuits

Before we discuss circuits with inductors in them, we should first think about what role inductance might play in some of the simple circuits we have constructed so far. Consider the simple resistive circuit in Fig. 8.6a. This is a circuit we have seen many times before. We know that once the switch $S$ is closed, there will be a current of $I = \Delta V / R$ in the resistor, so the voltmeter across the resistor will read $\Delta V$ (provided the wires have negligible resistance). Now, what happens just after we close the switch $S$? Is there immediately a current $I = \Delta V / R$ in the resistor, or does it take a little while to build up? In either case, why?

![Figure 8.6: Instantaneous current in a resistive circuit. At the instant the switch $S$ is thrown, what is the current in the resistor?](image)

First, remember that any working electric circuit has to create a closed loop – current has to go from the source, around a circuit, and back into the source. Next, remember from the preceding section that any closed loop of wire has a self inductance $L$, which tends to resist rapid changes in current. Putting this together, the closed loop of the circuit itself acts as an inductor, and tries to resist changes in current. Since we have to have a closed loop to make any kind of circuit, this means that all of our other circuits already behave as if they have inductors present!

As soon as the switch $S$ is thrown, $I$ doesn’t immediately change from 0 to $\Delta V / R$. The current beginning to flow in the circuit creates a magnetic field $\vec{B}$ circulating around the wires in the circuit, which in turn increases the flux inside the loop, Fig. 8.6b. Eventually, a steady-state is reached, and the current is constant. The constant current will lead to a voltage drop across the resistor of $\Delta V_R = IR$. The voltage drop across the resistor represents an opposition to the current – the larger the current, the larger the voltage drop across the resistor.

Now, for our first real inductive circuit, an inductor $L$ connected in series with a voltage source $\Delta V$, Fig. 8.7. What happens when we close the switch $S$? At the instant the switch is thrown, the current tries to flow into the inductor. We know from our discussion of self inductance that when the current is changing in time, a voltage is induced in our inductor. Using the loop rule, which says that the sum of voltage drops and sources around a closed loop must sum to zero, we can
readily find the induced voltage in the inductor, $\Delta V_L$:

$$\Delta V_L = -L \frac{\Delta I}{\Delta t} \quad (8.7)$$

This looks a lot like the voltage drop across a resistor, and by analogy we interpret the inductance $L$ as an opposition to the change in current. The faster the current changes, the larger $\Delta I/\Delta t$, and the larger the voltage built up in the inductor – the faster you try to change the current in an inductor, the more readily it “soaks up” the available voltage to confound your efforts. For this reason, inductors can be useful for preventing rapid surges in current. Connecting a point in a circuit to ground via an inductor effectively shunts away rapid current variations to protect sensitive equipment.

We are finally ready for a more useful circuit, the $RL$ series circuit shown in Fig. 8.8. Suppose the switch is closed at $t = 0$. As soon as this happens, the current begins to increase, but the inductor tries to prevent it from increasing too quickly – the maximum voltage drop across the inductor occurs when the current is changing most rapidly, right when the switch is closed. By “stealing” as much of the voltage from the source as possible, the inductor prevents the resistor from taking part of the voltage drop and thereby inhibits current from flowing.

As the current approaches its steady-state value, the changes in current become less and less, and the inductor has a smaller and smaller voltage drop. When the current is finally stabilized at
a constant value, an ideal inductor actually has no voltage drop, since the current isn’t changing at all, $\Delta I/\Delta t = 0$. This is reminiscent of our $RC$ circuits of Sect. 6.6 Only while the capacitor was charging or discharging did a current flow, not in the steady state. Inductors behave toward voltage as capacitors behave toward current – a voltage only develops across an ideal inductor when the current is changing just like a current only flows in a capacitor when the voltage is changing.

In the case of $RC$ circuits, we found that the time it took to charge or discharge the circuit depended on a time constant $\tau = RC$. In the case of an $RL$ circuit, we can define a similar time constant which gives the time required for the voltage to get within $1/e$ of its steady-state value:

\[
\text{Time constant } \tau \text{ of an } RL \text{ circuit:} \\
\tau = \frac{L}{R} \tag{8.8}
\]

This gives $\tau$ in seconds $[s]$ when $R$ is in Ohms $[\Omega]$ and $L$ is in Henries $[H]$.

The equation for the current as a function of time for an $RL$ circuit is also just like the voltage as a function of time for an $RC$ circuit:

\[
I(t) = \frac{\Delta V}{R} \left( 1 - e^{-t/\tau} \right) \tag{8.9}
\]

Just like a capacitor takes time to charge up, an inductor takes time to let a current flow. The larger the inductance $L$, the longer it takes for the current to reach its steady state value, just like varying $C$ in an $RC$ circuit. In contrast to the $RC$ case, however, increasing $R$ decreases the waiting time. In the $RL$ circuit, a larger resistance is able to “steal” more of the voltage from the inductor, lessening its ability to impede current flow. In the $RC$ case, increasing the resistance also “steals” more voltage from the source, which leaves a smaller voltage available to charge the capacitor – hence it takes longer.

We can even take the analogy between inductors and capacitors one step further. Capacitors store electrical energy by separating charges. The induced voltage across an inductor prevents the voltage source from immediately producing a current, which means that the source must do work to achieve current flow. If the source must do work against the inductor, then there must be some source of stored energy inside the inductor. As it turns out, the presence of a magnetic field in the inductor is the source of energy, just like the presence of the electric field between the plates of a capacitor is a source of energy. Following the same derivation as Sect. 4.6.2 we can relate the potential energy stored in an inductor to the current in the inductor:

\[
\text{Energy stored in an inductor:} \\
PE = \frac{1}{2} LI^2 \tag{8.10}
\]

\(^1\)Here again we mean $e$ the base of the natural logarithms, not $e$ the unit of charge.
Again, notice that if you replace $L$ with $C$ and $I$ with $V$, you have exactly the expression for potential energy stored in a capacitor. Now we can make our glib rule of thumb even more succinct:

**Inductors and Capacitors:**
Current in inductors is just like voltage on capacitors.

### 8.4 Transformers

The dual coil setup Section[8.3.1](#) is the most basic form of a transformer. If our source solenoid has $N_1$ turns, and is powered by a voltage $\Delta V_1$, then the magnetic field created by it is proportional to $\Delta V_1 N_1$, since $I$ and $\Delta V$ are proportional by Ohm’s law, and $B$, $I$, and $N_1$ are proportional. Faraday’s law tells us that the induced voltage in the pickup solenoid $\Delta V_2$ is proportional to the rate of change of that field, as well as the number of turns in the pickup coil $N_2$:

$$\Delta V_2 = -N_2 \frac{\Delta \Phi_{B1}}{\Delta t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.11)

On the other hand, we now know that we can relate the change in $\Phi_{B1}$ to the voltage in coil 1 through its self inductance (Eq. 8.6):

$$\Delta V_1 = -N_1 \frac{\Delta \Phi_{B1}}{\Delta t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.12)

Combining these two equations, we can eliminate $\Delta \Phi_{B1}/\Delta t$ completely:

**Voltage relationship between source (1) and pickup (2) coils in a transformer:**

$$\Delta V_2 = \frac{N_2}{N_1} \Delta V_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.13)

here $N_{1(2)}$ is the number of turns in the source (pickup) coil, and $V_{1(2)}$ is the voltage on the source (pickup) coil.

What this tells us is that when $N_2$ is greater than $N_1$ the pickup coil voltage is actually *larger* than that of the source coil, and we call this configuration a “step-up” transformer. Step-up transformers take a given time-varying voltage, and amplify it by a factor $N_2/N_1$. When $N_2$ is smaller than $N_1$ we have a “step-down” transformer, which takes a given time-varying voltage and reduces it by a factor $N_2/N_1$.

Of course, there is no free lunch, and we can’t get power from nowhere. The total power input
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to the source coil has to equal the total power at the pickup coil, or

\[ I_1 \Delta V_1 = I_2 \Delta V_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.14)

This also gives us the relationship between the currents in the source and pickup coil:

**Current relationship between source (1) and pickup (2) coils in a transformer:**

\[ I_2 = \frac{N_1}{N_2} I_1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.15)

here \( N_{1(2)} \) is the number of turns in the source (pickup) coil, and \( I_{1(2)} \) is the current in the source (pickup) coil.

This tells us that if we step up the voltage, we have to step down the current, and vice versa, in order to conserve energy.

### 8.5 Voltage Induced by the Motion of a Conductor in a Field

For now, back to moving charges. What happens when we take a conducting bar of length \( l \), and move it in a magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 8.9? Our straight conductor is moving to the right at a velocity \( \vec{v} \) perpendicularly to a constant magnetic field \( \vec{B} \) directed out of the page. Every electron in the conductor is moving at a velocity \( \vec{v} \), and therefore experiences a magnetic force \( |\vec{F}_m| = q|\vec{v}||\vec{B}| \) directed downward. As a result, electrons tend to “pile up” at the bottom of the conductor, leaving a net charge imbalance in the bar.

![Figure 8.9: A conducting bar of length l moving with velocity \( \vec{v} \) through a uniform magnetic field \( \vec{B} \) perpendicular to both \( \vec{v} \) and the axis of the conductor. A magnetic force \( \vec{F}_m \) acts on electrons in the conductor, giving rise to a voltage of \( \Delta V = \vec{B}||\vec{v}|] \)

This charge imbalance has to give rise to a uniform electric field inside the conductor, \( \vec{E} \), directed downward. Of course, the presence of this charge imbalance and electric field also means the
electrons experience an electric force $q\vec{E}$ \textit{upward}, opposite the magnetic force! The charge imbalance will continue to grow until this electric force balances the magnetic force:

$$\Sigma F = q|\vec{E}| - q|\vec{v}||\vec{B}| = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (8.16)$$

When the forces balance, we have equilibrium, and $|\vec{E}| = q|\vec{v}||\vec{B}|$. A uniform electric field $\vec{E}$ over the length $l$ of the bar is nothing more than a potential difference, $\Delta V = El$. Putting this all together, the movement of the conducting bar in a magnetic field leads to a potential difference across the length of the bar:

**Motional Voltage on a Conducting Bar:**

$$\Delta V = |\vec{v}||\vec{B}|l = |\vec{E}|l$$ \hspace{1cm} (8.17)

where $l$ is the length of the bar, and $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{B}$ are at right angles.

By itself, this is not so useful, but we can make the moving bar part of an electric circuit, as shown in Fig. 8.10a. The bar now slides on conducting rails, and the motional voltage produced in the bar induces a current in the rails. An equivalent circuit is shown in Fig. 8.10b.

![Figure 8.10: Motional Voltage. (a) A conducting bar sliding across two rails creates an induced current. The magnetic force opposes the motion to try and reduce the change in flux through the loop. (b) The equivalent circuit corresponding to (a). The resistor $R$ represents the rails and the conducting bar, $\Delta V$ represents the induced voltage.](image)

In which direction is the induced current, and how big is it? The flux through the closed loop defined by the rails and the moving bar is just $\Phi_B = |\vec{B}_m||A_{\text{loop}}|$, where $A_{\text{loop}}$ is the area of the loop. The area of the loop is changing with time, of course, since the bar is moving, but the magnetic field is not. We can easily write down the magnitude of the induced voltage, $\Delta V$, which along with Ohm’s law will give the current $I = \Delta V/R$:

$$\Delta V = -\frac{\Delta \Phi_B}{\Delta t} = -B\frac{\Delta A}{\Delta t}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8.18)
The movement of the bar at a constant velocity $\vec{v}$ implies that it covers a distance $\Delta x$ in a time $\Delta t$. The area of the loop is just $l\Delta x$ at any particular time, so the rate of change of the area can be found easily:

$$\Delta V = -B \frac{\Delta A}{\Delta t} = -B l \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} = -Blv$$  \hfill (8.19)

As we might have expected, the induced voltage $\Delta V$, and the current $I = \Delta V/R$ depend on how fast the bar moves, how big the field is, and how long the bar is. Further, we see how a constant magnetic field can still give rise to a time-varying magnetic flux - if the field is constant, we have to change the area for there to be an induced voltage. This sort of voltage is called a “motional voltage,” or ”motional EMF” since it results from a conductor moving in a magnetic field.

But, what about the direction of the current? When the bar moves to the right, due to some external force $\vec{F}_{\text{appl}}$, the flux is increasing with time. The induced current wants to oppose the change in flux, which in this case means it wants to slow the motion of the bar. This is consistent with the magnetic force on the bar being to the left, opposing the external force. The induced current wants to stop the increase in flux, so it will circulate in a direction that opposes the constant field in the loop, i.e., counterclockwise.

What if we reverse the direction of the bar’s velocity, as shown in Fig. 8.11b? If the bar were moving to the left instead, the flux would be decreasing. The induced current would circulate in such a way to stop this decrease - it would try to increase the flux in the loop, and would therefore circulate clockwise. Induction always acts in such a way to reduce $\Delta \Phi/\Delta t$, whether this means increasing $\Phi$ or decreasing it.

The most important thing in either case is that the magnetic force and induced current are opposing the motion of the bar, which is causing the change in flux in the first place. And, we have a nice symmetry between electricity and magnetism now.

### 8.5.1 Eddy current brakes

In the end, there is nothing unique about our conducting bar from the previous section. Any time we have a moving conductor intersecting a magnetic field, or vice-versa, there is an induced current and a retarding force. The relative motion of a conductor and a magnetic field causes a circulating current within conductor. These induced currents are also known as “eddy currents,” since they are somewhat analogous to the swirling currents created when you move an oar through the water, for instance.

As we known, these induced eddies of current create magnetic fields that oppose the change in flux through their diameter. As a concrete example, consider the pendulum in Fig. 8.12a, which

---

iiEMF stands for “electromotive force,” a somewhat antiquated term for a source of voltage, originating from earlier times when physicists did not make a hard distinction between force and energy. We have avoided this term wherever possible to avoid confusion, as substituting “voltage” changes no essential physics.
8.5 Voltage Induced by the Motion of a Conductor in a Field

Figure 8.11: (a) As the conducting bar slides to the right, the flux through the loop increases with time. Lenz’s law states that the induced current must be counterclockwise, so that it produces a countering magnetic flux out of the page. (b) When the bar moves to the left, the flux decreases with time, so the induced current will be clockwise. Induction always acts in such a way to reduce \( \Delta \Phi / \Delta t \), whether this means increasing \( \Phi \) or decreasing it.

Figure 8.12: (a) A conducting plate is released from an angle \( \theta \) in the presence of a magnetic field perpendicular to the plane of motion. (b) The motion of the conducting pendulum through the magnetic field creates circulating currents which try to oppose the change in flux through the plate. These currents manifest themselves in a braking force on the pendulum (i.e., \( v > v' \)).

As the conducting pendulum moves through the magnetic field, circulating currents form, which generate a magnetic field opposing the change in flux through the conducting plate. The only way to change the flux through the plate in this case is to slow the pendulum down, so induction results in a strong braking force on the pendulum. In contrast, a non-conducting pendulum will experience no additional force.

In short, a conducting pendulum in a (perpendicular) magnetic field will be dramatically slowed and stopped, hence the name “eddy current brake.” If the field is sufficiently strong, the pendulum will not even complete one cycle, which (hopefully) you have seen demonstrated in class. The stronger the magnetic field the pendulum moves through, or greater the electrical conductivity of the conductor, the greater the currents developed and the greater the opposing force.

Eddy current brakes can be quite useful, and are actually used in the braking mechanism of
some (metallic) train wheels. One advantage is that the eddy current braking effect is stronger when the wheels spin faster, so as the train slows, the braking gradually lets up on its own, and produces a smooth stopping motion.

Eddy currents are also useful for traffic detection systems, detection of coins in vending machines, and metal detectors – in all three of these cases, one can make use of the induced currents and forces when conductors move through magnetic fields. Can you imagine how eddy currents could be used in each case?

**Demonstrating Eddy Currents:** Find a small bar magnet (a strong cylindrical refrigerator magnet should work) and drop it vertically through a length of pipe. Now drop a piece of non-magnetic material of about the same size through the tube. The magnet should take much longer to fall through the tube.

Repeat the experiment with a piece of plastic (e.g., PVC) pipe. Now there should be no difference. Why?

### 8.6 Generators

We found in Chapter 7 that we could make a simple electric motor by utilizing the torque on a current loop in a magnetic field. Electromagnetic induction allows the opposite, a **generator** – we can create an electric current by spinning a loop of wire in a magnetic field. In fact, motors and generators rely on the same underlying principles: moving charges experience a force perpendicular to their motion and the magnetic field present. A motor is more or less a generator run in reverse, and vice versa.

Figure 8.14a-c illustrates the basic operation of an electrical generator, which is nothing more than a device to convert mechanical energy into electrical energy. A loop of wire is rotated at constant angular velocity inside a permanent magnet. As the loop rotates, the area it exposes to the magnetic field changes, Fig. 8.14b. Remember magnetic flux is the product of area of the loop,
and the perpendicular component of the magnetic field through. In this case, the field does not change, but the area exposed to the field does. When the loop is lying parallel to the magnetic field, there is no flux, and when the loop is perfectly flush with the magnet pole faces the flux is maximal.

The rotation of the loop then creates a time-changing magnetic flux through the loop, which varies from maximum to zero and back to maximum. This results in an induced voltage which varies sinusoidally when the loop is rotated at constant angular velocity. One complete revolution of the loop corresponds to one complete cycle of voltage and current, as shown in Fig. 8.14c. Since the current and voltage in the loop varies in time, we call this “alternating current,” which we will cover in slightly more depth in the next chapter.

8.7 A summary of sorts

In the end, we have a nice symmetry between induced electric and magnetic fields. Time changing fields of one sort induce time changing fields of the other sort. First, we have induced electric fields from time-varying magnetic fields:

**Induced electric fields:**

An electric field is induced in any region of space which has a time-changing magnetic field. The induced electric field is proportional to the rate at which the magnetic field changes, and is directed at a right angle to the magnetic field at any instant.

**Induced magnetic fields:**

A magnetic field is induced in any region of space which has a time-changing electric field. The induced magnetic field is proportional to the rate at which the electric field changes, and is directed at right angles to the electric field at any instant.
8.8 Quick Questions

1. A magnetic field of 0.3 T is directed perpendicular to the plane of a circular loop of wire of radius 25 cm. Find the magnetic flux through the area enclosed by this loop.
   □ $2.3 \times 10^{-2}$ T
   □ $7.1 \times 10^{-3}$ T·m²
   □ $4.8 \times 10^{-1}$ T·m²
   □ $5.9 \times 10^{-2}$ T·m²

2. A magnet and a non-magnet of the same mass are dropped into copper tubes of equal length. Which takes longer to come out?
   □ The magnet.
   □ The non-magnet.
   □ It takes the same amount of time.

3. A flat metal plate swings at the end of a bar as a pendulum, as shown. When the pendulum is at position a, what are the directions of the induced currents and (magnetic) force on the bar, respectively?
   □ Counterclockwise; to the left
   □ Clockwise; to the left
   □ Counterclockwise; to the right
   □ Clockwise; to the right

4. Which pendulum experiences the largest (magnetic) force?
   □ a
   □ b
   □ c
   □ they all experience the same force

5. The magnetic flux through a loop can change due to a change in:
   □ The area of the coil
   □ The strength of the magnetic field
   □ The orientation of the loop
   □ All of the above
6. A conducting bar slides on two conducting rails, with a constant magnetic field pointing into the page. What are the directions of the induced current and the force on the bar, respectively?

- Counterclockwise; to the left
- Clockwise; to the left
- Counterclockwise; to the right
- Clockwise; to the right
8.9 Problems

1. Using an electromagnetic flowmeter (see figure), a heart surgeon monitors the flow rate of blood through an artery. Electrodes A and B make contact with the outer surface of the blood vessel, which has inside diameter 3.2 mm. Permanent magnets outside the blood vessel create a magnetic field perpendicular to the blood flow direction. For a magnetic field strength of $|\vec{B}| = 0.037 \, \text{T}$, a potential difference of $\Delta V = 160 \, \mu\text{V}$ appears between the electrodes.

(a) Calculate the speed of the blood.
(b) Does the sign of the potential difference depend on whether the mobile ions in the blood are predominantly positively or negatively charged?

2. In the figure, the rolling axle, 1.50 m long, is pushed along horizontal rails at a constant speed $|\vec{v}| = 4.00 \, \text{m/s}$. A resistor $R = 0.4 \, \Omega$ is connected to the rails at points a and b, directly opposite each other. (The wheels make good electrical contact with the rails, so the axle, rails, and $R$ form a closed-loop circuit. The only significant resistance in the circuit is $R$.) A uniform magnetic field $|\vec{B}| = 0.1500 \, \text{T}$ is directed vertically downwards.

(a) Find the induced current $I$ in the resistor.
(b) What horizontal force (magnitude and direction) is required to keep the axle rolling at constant speed? *Hint: ignore everything but the axle.*

4. During an in-class demonstration, we dropped a magnet and a non-magnet of equal weight and size through a copper tube. The non-magnet fell through the tube at the expected rate, but the non-magnet took many times longer to fall out, due to eddy current braking.

Is it possible to have a magnet strong enough (or a tube conductive enough, *etc*) that it would actually *stop* inside the tube? Explain.

6. A circular coil enclosing an area of 105 cm$^2$ is made of 200 turns of copper wire. The wire making up the coil has resistance of 7.0 $\Omega$, and the ends of the wire are connected to form a closed circuit. Initially, a 2.0 $\text{T}$ uniform magnetic field points perpendicularly upward through the plane of the coil. The direction of the field then reverses so that the final magnetic field has a magnitude of 2.0 $\text{T}$ and points downward through the coil. If the time required for the field to reverse directions is 0.15 s, what average current flows through the coil during that time?
3. A conducting rod of length $l$ moves on two (frictionless) horizontal rails, as shown to the right. A constant force of magnitude $|\vec{F}_{\text{app}}| = 1.0 \, \text{N}$ moves the bar at a uniform speed of $|\vec{v}| = 2.0 \, \text{m/s}$ through a magnetic field $\vec{B}$ directed into the page. The resistor has a value $R = 8.0 \, \Omega$.

(a) What is the current through the resistor $R$?
(b) What is the mechanical power delivered by the constant force?

5. In the figure, a uniform magnetic field decreases at a constant rate $\Delta B/\Delta t = -K$, where $K$ is a positive constant. A circular loop of wire of radius $a$ containing a resistance $R$ and a capacitance $C$ is placed with its plane normal to the field. (a) Find the charge $Q$ on the capacitor when it is fully charged. (b) Is the upper or lower plate of the capacitor at a higher potential?

7. An aluminum ring of radius 5.0 cm and resistance $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \, \Omega$ is placed around the top of a long air-core solenoid with $n = 996$ turns per meter and a smaller radius of 3.0 cm. If the current in the solenoid is increasing at a constant rate of 266 A/s, what is the induced current in the ring?

Assume that the magnetic field produced by the solenoid over the area at the end of the solenoid is one-half as strong as the field at the center of the solenoid. Assume also that the solenoid produces a negligible field outside its cross-sectional area.
8.10 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. $5.9 \times 10^{-2} \text{T} \cdot \text{m}^2$. The area of the circular loop is $\pi r^2$, where $r = 0.25 \text{ m}$ is the radius of the loop (note that we changed it to meters!). The flux is then just $\Phi_B = BA -$ since the field is perpendicular to the area of the loop, $\theta = 0$ and $\cos \theta = 1$.

2. The magnet. The magnet induces eddy currents in the copper tube, which create an opposing magnetic field that slows the magnet.

3. Counterclockwise; to the left. The effect of magnetic induction is to create currents which try to stop the *change* in magnetic flux. In position (a), the magnetic flux is the field $B_m$ going through the metal plate. The flux increases as the plate falls into the magnetic field, since more and more of the plate’s area is exposed to the field. The resulting induction currents will try to stop the flux from increasing, which means slowing the plate’s progress through the magnetic field. This means the force must be to the left. Put another way, the induced currents will try to create a field which will cancel out the existing $B_m$ to stop the increase in flux, so the induced currents will create a field pointing out of the page. For this to be true, the currents must circulate counterclockwise.

In position b, as the pendulum swings out of the region of magnetic field, the flux is *decreasing*. The induced currents will try to increase the flux, and will create a field acting in the same direction as the external field. This implies a *clockwise* current.

Again, the force will act in the direction opposite the velocity, to try to slow the change in flux.

4. a.

5. All of the above. Magnetic flux is $\Phi_B = BA \cos \theta_{BA}$, where $\theta_{BA}$ is the angle between the loop area’s normal and the magnetic field. A change in magnetic field or area clearly changes the flux $\Phi_B$, as does changing the orientation of the loop in the field, which changes $\theta_{BA}$.

6. Counterclockwise; to the left. When the conducting rod moves to the right, this serves to *increase* the flux as time passes ($A$ increases while $B$ stays constant), so any induced current wants to stop this change and *decrease* the flux. Therefore, the induced current will act in such a way to oppose the external field (*i.e.*, the field due to the induced current will be opposite to the external field). This must be a *counterclockwise* current. Consistent with decreasing the overall flux, the force on the bar must be to the left, attempting to impede the bar’s progress and reduce the change in flux.
8.11 Solutions to Problems

1. **1.35 m/s.** The presence of a magnetic field perpendicular to the movement of ions in the blood means that they experience a magnetic force.

   \[ F_m = qvB \]

   The force for positive ions is pointing up, and for negative ions it is pointing down. This serves to separate spatially the positive and negative charges - positive charges move toward electrode A, and negative charges move to point B. This continues until the ions reach the surface of the artery, at which point they are separated by the diameter of the artery \( d \).

   If the charges are separated spatially by a distance \( d \), then this gives rise to an electric field \( E \), and a potential difference \( \Delta V = Ed \). At this point, the electric and magnetic forces are balanced. Set the magnetic and electric fields equal to one another, use the expression for \( \Delta V \), and take care with units:

   \[ F_m = qvB = F_e = qE = q \left( \frac{\Delta V}{d} \right) \]

   \[ \Rightarrow v = \frac{q\Delta V}{qBd} = \frac{\Delta V}{Bd} = 1.35 \text{ m/s} \]

   You can verify that the sign of the potential difference does *not* depend on whether the ions are mostly positive or negative, since the force is in different directions for each ion. No matter what, positive ions go to point A, and negative ions go to point B, and the same potential difference results.

2. **2.25 A, 0.51 N, to the left.** When the axle moves to the left, this serves to decrease the flux as time passes, so any induced current wants to stop this change and increase the flux. Therefore, the induced current will act in such a way to reinforce the external field (i.e., the field due to the induced current will be in the same direction as the external field). This must be a **clockwise** current.

   To find the current, we only need to use motional emf. The axle is just a bar of length \( l \) moving at velocity \( v \) in a magnetic field \( B \). This gives us a voltage \( \Delta V \), and Ohm’s law gives us \( I \):

   \[ \mathcal{E} = Blv \]

   \[ I = \frac{\Delta V}{R} = 2.25 \text{ A} \]

   The magnitude of the force is now found readily - the axle is just a wire carrying a current \( I \) in a magnetic field \( B \):

   \[ |\vec{F}| = BII = 0.51 \text{ N} \]

   What is the direction? For one, if the axle is traveling at constant velocity, then the external force must balance the magnetic force to give zero net force. The magnetic force must be pointing to the right (using the right hand rule), so the external force must be pointing to the left.
Alternatively, we note that the external force is what is pushing the axle in the first place! So it has to be in the same direction as \( \vec{v} \), namely, to the left.

3. 0.5 A, 2.0 W. The first part is exactly like the previous problem.

\[ \mathcal{E} = Blv = IR \]

The problem is now that we don’t know \( B \). We do know that the external and magnetic forces must balance for the rod to have a constant velocity.

\[ F_m = Bll = F_{\text{app}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad B = \frac{F_{\text{app}}}{Il} \]

Plug that into the first equation:

\[ I = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{R} = \frac{Blv}{Il} = \frac{F_{\text{app}}lv}{IlR} = \frac{F_{\text{app}}v}{IR} \quad \Rightarrow \quad I^2 = \frac{Fv}{R} \]

You should get \( I = 0.5 \) A. What about the power? The mechanical power delivered must be the same as the power dissipated in the resistor, \( \mathcal{P}_F = \mathcal{P}_R = I^2R \). You should get 2 W.

Alternatively, you note that power delivered by a force is \( \mathcal{P}_F = Fv \cos \theta \), where \( \theta \) is the angle between the force and velocity. In this case, \( \theta = 0 \), so \( \mathcal{P}_F = Fv = 2 \) W.

**Super sneaky way to do everything at once:** recall in the first place that the power supplied by the force must equal the power dissipated in the resistor: \( \mathcal{P}_F = I^2R = Fv \). You know \( F \), and \( v \), so you can calculate the power, and you also know \( R \), so just solve for \( I \).

4. No. The eddy current braking comes from induced currents in the copper tube due to the falling magnet. The falling magnet represents a time-varying \( B \) field, which creates a time-varying flux through the copper tube. If the magnet actually stopped, there would be no eddy currents at all, and nothing to hold the magnet against gravity.

Once the magnet stops, the very force slowing it down ceases to exist. The flux in the tube is changing only because the magnet has some non-zero velocity. No emf, and therefore no eddy currents result from a stationary magnet giving a constant flux through the tube.

Putting it another way: the force is due to the relative velocity of the magnet and the charges in the copper. The magnetic force is \( F = qvB \), where \( v \) is the relative velocity of the tube and magnet. If \( v = 0 \), there is no force - so if the magnet could actually be stopped, the force holding it up would go to zero, and it would fall again! Clearly, the answer is no.

5. \( Q = \pi Ca^2K \), upper

6. First, we know that the changing magnetic field through the coil will give an induced voltage via Faraday’s law. From the induced voltage, and the given resistance, we can find the current using Ohm’s law. First, since the coil geometry is fixed, the voltage induced in a coil of \( N \) turns of wire of area \( A \) due to a changing \( B \) field is just:
\[ \Delta V = -N \frac{\Delta \Phi_B}{\Delta t} = NA \frac{\Delta B}{\Delta t} \]

So the first question is: what is $\Delta B/\Delta t$? The field changes from +2 to $-2 \text{T}$ in 0.15 s, so we just calculate it. You did take care that the field changes sign, right?

\[ \frac{\Delta B}{\Delta t} = \frac{2 \text{T} - (-2 \text{T})}{0.15 \text{s}} = \frac{4}{0.15} \text{T/s} \]

Now that we have that, we note that Ohm’s law gives us the current from the induced voltage and resistance. You of course remembered to change the area to square meters, not centimeters.

\[ I = \frac{-\Delta V}{R} = \frac{NA}{R} \left( \frac{\Delta B}{\Delta t} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{200 \cdot (0.0105 \text{ m}^2)}{7 \Omega} \left( \frac{4 \text{T}}{0.15 \text{s}} \right) \]
\[ = -8 \text{ A} \]

If you used all SI units, your answer is in amps. In order to verify this, you need to ‘recall’ that $1 \text{ T} \cdot \text{m}^2 = 1 \text{ V} \cdot \text{s} = 1 \text{ Wb}$, and $1 \text{ \Omega} = 1 \text{ V/A}.$

7. Clearly, this is a magnetic induction problem. We know that a current through the solenoid produces a magnetic field, therefore a time-varying current creates a time-varying magnetic field. This time-varying magnetic field is felt by the aluminum ring, and by Faraday’s law, a voltage is induced. First, we can write down Faraday’s law for the voltage induced around the aluminum ring due to the time-varying field of the solenoid, noting that the ring only picks up one half of the solenoid’s field:

\[ \Delta V_{\text{ring}} = \frac{N_{\text{ring}} \Delta \Phi_{\text{ring}}}{\Delta t} \]
\[ = \frac{\Delta \left( \frac{1}{2} B_{\text{sol}} \cdot A_{\text{ring}} \right)}{\Delta t} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} A_{\text{ring}} \frac{\Delta B_{\text{sol}}}{\Delta t} \]

Here we made use of the fact that the area of the aluminum ring is a constant, and being a solid ring, it has only one turn ($N_{\text{ring}} = 1$). How do we find $\frac{\Delta B_{\text{sol}}}{\Delta t}$? We know the rate that the current through the solenoid changes, $\frac{\Delta I_{\text{sol}}}{\Delta t}$, so all we need is the relation between current and $B$ field for a solenoid:

\[ \frac{B_{\text{sol}}}{\Delta t} = \mu_0 n I \]
\[ \Rightarrow \frac{\Delta B_{\text{sol}}}{\Delta t} = \frac{\Delta (\mu_0 n_{\text{sol}} I)}{\Delta t} \]
\[ \frac{\Delta B_{\text{sol}}}{\Delta t} = \mu_0 n_{\text{sol}} \frac{\Delta I_{\text{sol}}}{\Delta t} \]

Here $n_{\text{sol}}$ is the number of turns per unit length of the solenoid. Now we can plug this into our expression for $\Delta V_{\text{ring}}$, and we are nearly done:
\[ \Delta V_{\text{ring}} = \frac{1}{2} A_{\text{ring}} \frac{\Delta B_{\text{sol}}}{\Delta t} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} A_{\text{ring}} \mu_0 n_{\text{sol}} \frac{\Delta I_{\text{sol}}}{\Delta t} \]

The only thing left is to relate the current in the ring to the induced voltage, via Ohm’s law, and plug in the numbers.

\[
I_{\text{ring}} = \frac{\Delta V_{\text{ring}}}{R_{\text{ring}}} \\
= \frac{\mu_0 A_{\text{ring}} n_{\text{sol}} \Delta I_{\text{sol}}}{2R_{\text{ring}}} \frac{\Delta t}{\Omega} \\
= \frac{(4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{T} \cdot \text{m/A}) \cdot \left(\pi (0.03 \text{m})^2\right) \cdot (996 \text{m}^{-1})}{2 \cdot 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \Omega} \frac{1}{(266 \text{A/s})} \\
= \frac{4.7 \text{T} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{A}}{\Omega \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{A}} \\
= \frac{4.7 \text{T} \cdot \text{m}^2}{\text{V} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{A}} \\
I_{\text{ring}} = 4.7 \text{A}
\]

Again, note 1 T\cdot m^2 = 1 V\cdot s.
AC circuits and Electromagnetic waves

Alternating current (ac) is nothing more than current that varies (sinusoidally) in time, and in Section 8.6 we learned how to produce alternating current with a simple rotating loop generator. As it turns out, nearly all appliances around us run on alternating current - the “wall current” you get from an outlet is alternating current at a frequency of 60 Hz. Not only is ac current important for everyday life, even simple circuits behave differently when powered by time-varying currents and voltages.

In this chapter, we will very briefly discuss ac circuits, and move on to electromagnetic waves, which will lead the way to optics and modern physics.

9.1 Resistors in an ac Circuit

An ac circuit is nothing more than various combinations of the components we already know about connected to a sinusoidally varying voltage source, which varies with time as:

$$\Delta V(t) = \Delta V_{\text{max}} \sin \omega t = \Delta V_{\text{max}} \sin 2\pi ft$$

(9.1)

Here $\Delta V(t)$ is the voltage at any instant in time $t$, $\Delta V_{\text{max}}$ is the peak voltage, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, and $f$ the frequency in Hz. The magnitude of an ac not only varies with time, it actually changes sign as well.

What happens when we connect components to an ac source? As the simplest example, we will just hook up a single resistor to an ac voltage source, as shown in Fig. 9.1a. We know the voltage across the resistor varies according to Eq. (9.1). Just because the voltage is changing doesn’t mean that Ohm’s law is not valid, however, so we can immediately find the current through the resistor as a function of time as well:

$$I_R(t) = \frac{\Delta V(t)}{R} = \frac{\Delta V_{\text{max}}}{R} \sin 2\pi ft$$

(9.2)

Well, big deal. The voltage goes up and down, and so does the current. This should not be a surprise. The power in the resistor is more interesting though. We can readily calculate that from Eq. 5.27.
9.1 Resistors in an ac Circuit

\[ V \propto V_0 \sin \omega t \]

**Figure 9.1:** A purely resistive ac circuit. (a) A single resistor powered by an ac voltage source, \( V(t) = V_0 \cos \omega t \). (b) Power \( P = IV \), current \( I \), and voltage \( V \) in the resistor. Current and voltage are in phase for a purely resistive circuit.

\[ P_R(t) = I\Delta V = \frac{\Delta V_{\text{max}}}{R} \sin 2\pi ft \cdot \Delta V_{\text{max}} \sin 2\pi ft \]

\[ = \frac{\Delta V_{\text{max}}^2}{R} \sin^2 2\pi ft \] (9.3)

\[ \text{The power dissipated in the resistor also varies with time. Moreover, its period is only half that of the current and voltage. Since the power dissipation in the resistor depend on the product of voltage and current (or the square of voltage or current), it doesn’t matter if the voltage and current are negative, their product is always positive.}

Even more interestingly, the power dissipated is actually zero whenever the current and voltage go through zero. While the average voltage or current over any integer number of periods is zero, the average power is not. Further, the dissipation produced by a sinusoidal voltage is not the same as just applying a constant dc voltage of \( \Delta V_{\text{max}} \), since the alternating voltage is only at its maximum value for an instant. In ac circuits, it is common to use a special kind of average, the root mean square or rms.

The rms average of a collection of \( n \) numbers \( x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n \) is defined like this:

\[ x_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2} = \sqrt{\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}{n}} \] (9.5)

Basically, the rms average takes the average of the squares of the numbers, and then takes the square root of that. The rms average is useful when dealing with periodic functions, since it does not average to zero over a full cycle, but gives a sort of averaged amplitude independent of whether the function changes sign. We can find the rms value of the current, voltage, and power with a bit of algebra, but it is tedious. We will merely quote the results:
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rms Voltage, Current, and Power in ac Circuits

\[
V_{R,\text{rms}} = \frac{\Delta V_{\text{max}}}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.707V_{\text{max}} \quad (9.6)
\]

\[
I_{R,\text{rms}} = \frac{\Delta I_{\text{max}}}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.707I_{\text{max}} \quad (9.7)
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}_{R,\text{av}} = I_{\text{rms}}^2 R \quad (9.8)
\]

The average power is just calculated from the rms current or voltage as you would expect. To be concrete: this means that an alternating current of 5 A produces the same dissipation in a resistor as a dc current of \(5/\sqrt{2}\) A, about 30% less. The rms voltage, current, and resistance obey Ohm’s law just as the maximum values do:

**Ohm’s law for rms and maximum voltages:**

\[
\Delta V_{R,\text{rms}} = I_{\text{rms}}R \quad (9.9)
\]

\[
\Delta V_{R,\text{max}} = I_{\text{max}}R \quad (9.10)
\]

With these relationships, we can also relate the power dissipated to the maximum current, just for completeness:

\[
\mathcal{P}_{\text{av}} = I_{\text{rms}}^2 R = \frac{1}{2}I_{\text{max}}^2 R \quad (9.11)
\]

When you plug an electrical device into the wall, you are connecting it to an ac voltage source. Normal power in the US uses an rms voltage of 120 V, which means that the actual peak voltage at the wall outlet is \(120 \cdot \sqrt{2}\) V, or about 170 V. It is typically rms values of current and voltage that are quoted for ac circuits, and for the remainder of the chapter, that is what we will quote. One can easily convert between rms and maximum values if desired – it is just a factor \(\sqrt{2}\) in the end.

### 9.2 Capacitors in ac Circuits

Resistors in ac circuits offered only a few surprises. What about capacitors? Understanding how a capacitor responds to a sinusoidally-varying voltage requires reminding ourselves how a capacitor responds to any sort of changing voltage. If we connect a capacitor to a constant voltage source, as soon as the switch to the voltage source is closed the capacitor begins to charge. A large current flows initially as the capacitor charges. As the capacitor gains more and more charge, the voltage drop across it increases, which opposes the change in current. After several time constant’s worth of waiting, the capacitor is fully charged, and current no longer flows. If we turn off the voltage source, a current again flows while the capacitor discharges, but again the current goes to zero after...
a short time. A capacitor therefore restricts current flow to very short time intervals, depending on its time constant \( \tau = RC \).

If we connect a single capacitor to an ac voltage source, Fig. 9.2, what will happen? At \( t = 0 \) on the graph, the voltage (blue curve) starts from zero and quickly increases. Ramping up the voltage on the capacitor means that a large current will flow (black curve), attempting to charge the capacitor. The faster the voltage increases – the larger the slope of the \( V(t) \) curve – the larger the current will be. When \( V(t) \) reaches its plateau one quarter of the way through the cycle, the voltage is nearly constant, and no current flows through the capacitor. Shortly thereafter, the voltage decreases, and the capacitor responds by discharging, again at a rate proportional to the slope of the \( V(t) \) curve. Once the voltage changes sign, the capacitor begins charging up again with the opposite polarity, and the whole cycle repeats itself. What is important to realize is that in ac circuits, current does flow through capacitors – it is just like the \( RC \) circuits we studied earlier, except that now we are effectively turning the voltage on and off continuously.

The current on the capacitor reaches its maximum positive and negative values whenever the voltage is zero. Similarly, the current goes to zero whenever the voltage is at a maximum, as at those points the voltage is momentarily essentially constant. In the end, this leads to the current through the capacitor also being sinusoidal, but with a quarter cycle \( 90^\circ \) phase shift. The usual way of stating this is that the “voltage lags the current by \( 90^\circ \),” a reference to the fact that the current reaches its maximum a quarter cycle after the voltage does. More mathematically, the current response has a +90° phase shift with respect to the driving voltage.

How much current flows through the capacitor? We can qualitatively figure out what it depends on already. As the voltage varies, the capacitor only allows the most current to pass when the voltage is changing the most rapidly. We expect, then, that the current goes up as the frequency of the voltage goes up and the voltage changes faster and faster. As the capacitance gets larger, more and more charge is required, so we should also expect larger current for a larger capacitor.

Making this quantitative involves generalizing Ohm’s law to ac circuits. For resistive elements, this is not necessary, Ohm’s law works just fine. What we need is a way to relate current and
voltage for reactive elements, like capacitors and inductors, that react to changes in current and voltage. Instead of resistance, reactive elements like capacitors and inductors have what is called a reactance \( X \):

\[
\Delta V_{\text{rms}} = I_{\text{rms}} X
\]

where \( X \) is the reactance of the circuit element. Capacitors and inductors are reactive elements, resistors are not.

Units of Reactance \( X \): if \( C \) is in farads [F] and \( f \) in hertz [Hz], reactance is in Ohms [Ω]

For capacitors, the reactance has just about the form we would expect: inversely proportional to frequency and capacitance:

\[
X_C = \frac{1}{2\pi fC}
\]

where \( f \) is the frequency of the ac voltage, and \( C \) is the capacitance.

As the frequency of the voltage increases, the reactance decreases, and the current increases. Similarly, as capacitance increases, the current increases:

\[
I_{\text{rms}} = \frac{\Delta V_{\text{rms}}}{X_C} = 2\pi fC\Delta V_{\text{rms}}
\]

What about the power in a capacitive ac circuit? Figure 9.2 shows the current, voltage, and power for a capacitor connected to an ac voltage source. Since the voltage and current are now 90° out of phase, the maximum power now occurs halfway between the maximum current and voltage. Further, now the power can become negative. What does that mean? Simple, During the charging cycle, the power is positive as it is for a resistor, meaning that the source is supplying energy to the capacitor. During the discharging cycle, the capacitor is pushing charges back to the source, and effectively, the source is draining energy from the capacitor. Charge gets pushed back and forth between the source and capacitor, and the power swings from positive to negative. We get nothing for free, however – during the discharge cycle, the capacitor is just pushing back the charges it stored during the charging cycle, energy is still conserved. In this light, a capacitor is useful as either temporary energy storage device, or as a way of generating a time-delayed response.
9.3 Inductors in ac Circuits

We know now that inductors respond to current the same way capacitors respond to voltage. Indeed, this is no different for ac circuits, and inductors are also reactive elements just like capacitors. When an inductor is connected to an ac source, the alternating voltage attempts to push an alternating current through the inductor. The inductor responds by developing a voltage across its terminals to impede the current flow—the more rapidly the source tries to force a current through the inductor, the larger $\Delta I/\Delta t$, the larger the voltage developed on the inductor and the larger the resistance to current flow. Hence, we would expect that current through the inductor would decrease as the frequency increases. Further, the voltage across the inductor is proportional to the value of the inductance $L$, so for larger $L$ we expect even less current. The reactance of an inductor in fact behaves in just this way:

\[
X_L = 2\pi f L
\]  \hspace{1cm} (9.15)

where $f$ is the frequency of the ac voltage, and $L$ is the inductance.

Now consider an inductor $L$ connected to an ac voltage source, Fig. 9.3. It is a bit easier to begin describing the inductor’s behavior starting at one quarter cycle, when the voltage is at its maximum. At this point, the voltage is momentarily constant, and so is the current in the inductor, so it offers no resistance. As the voltage begins to decrease, its time variation (slope) increases, and the inductor offers more and more resistance to current flow. The voltage across the inductor is opposite that of the source, and it tries to push current back into the source. When the change in voltage with time is maximum, when the voltage crosses zero, the inductor is pushing a maximum current back to the source.

\[ V \propto V_0 \sin \omega t \]

\[ I \propto V_0 \cos \omega t \]

As the source voltage becomes negative and its variation slows, the inductor current decreases, and when the voltage reaches its minimum, the inductor current is zero. Just like in a capacitor,
the maximum current and voltage are one quarter cycle apart, but now the current is increasing ahead of the voltage, and we say that the “voltage across the inductor leads the current by 90°.” Again, more mathematically, the current response has a −90° phase shift with respect to the driving voltage.

The power in an inductive circuit behaves similarly to that in a capacitive circuit – for half of the cycle, while the voltage is decreasing, the inductor is absorbing energy from the source and storing it in its magnetic field, and for the other half, while the voltage is increasing, it is pushing energy back to the source (Fig. 9.3b).

9.4 Filters

What neat things can we do with ac circuits? Already, we know enough to build simple signal filters. Consider the circuit in Fig. 9.4, which we have drawn in a manner closer to what electrical engineers typically use. A voltage $V_{\text{in}}$ is sent in from the left, defined relative to ground. That is, a voltage $V_{\text{in}}$ is applied between a “positive” signal wire (the upper wire), and a ground wire. A resistor $R$ connects the signal wire to the output $V_{\text{out}}$, and a capacitor connects the input to ground. Basically, the resistor and capacitor are in series, and the output voltage is taken across the capacitor. What happens in this circuit?

![Figure 9.4: An RC low-pass filter. Capacitors present a low reactance to high frequency signals, so they are selectively returned to ground before the output.](image)

Resistors present an equal resistance to signals of any frequency, but capacitors present a lower reactance to high frequency signals. High-frequency signals entering from the input see the capacitor as a low reactance path to ground, and thus most of the high-frequency signal takes this path to the ground and never reaches the output. Low-frequency signals see the capacitor as a high reactance and avoid this path, so most of the low-frequency signal reaches the output. What this circuit really does is selectively filter out the high-frequency portions of a mixed frequency signal, and let the low frequency signals pass through – the ratio between the input voltage $V_{\text{in}}$ and the output $V_{\text{out}}$ depends on frequency. For this reason, this circuit is known as a “low-pass” filter. A circuit like this could be used to direct the low-frequency portions of an audio signal to a “woofer” speaker, for instance. The frequency response of this type of filter is also shown in Fig. 9.4.
Why is the resistor there, and what is the range of filtered frequencies? What this circuit can also be thought of is a generalization of the resistive voltage divider (series resistors), where the voltage division factor depends on frequency. When the reactance of the capacitor is equal to the resistance, half of the input power goes through the resistor, and half through the capacitor. Since power goes as voltage squared, when the reactance equals the resistance the output will be reduced by a factor $1/\sqrt{2}$ relative to the input, about 70%. The reactance and resistance will be equal at one particular frequency, the cutoff frequency, whose value is given by:

$$X_C = \frac{1}{2\pi f_{\text{cutoff}} C} = R$$

$$\implies 2\pi f_{\text{cutoff}} = \frac{1}{RC} = \frac{1}{\tau}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \frac{V_{\text{out}}}{V_{\text{in}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{at the cutoff frequency}$$

It should not be too surprising at this point that the cutoff frequency is just the same as one over the time constant. After all, this is precisely the same $RC$ circuit we studied earlier, just viewed in the frequency domain instead of the time domain!

What about the circuit in Fig. 9.5? In this case, an inductor and resistor are in series. The inductor presents a low reactance to low-frequency signals, and they will be preferentially sent to ground before reaching the output. High-frequency signals will avoid the inductor, and pass easily to the output. Thus, this circuit is a “high-pass” filter, selectively filtering out the low-frequency portions of a mixed frequency signal, and letting high-frequency portions pass through. A high pass filter like this one could be used to send high frequency audio signals to a “tweeter” speaker, and block lower frequency bass signals that may damage it. More complicated (but still recognizable) high-pass and low-pass filters are used in audio equipment in exactly this way.

![Figure 9.5: An RL high-pass filter. Inductors present a low reactance to low frequency signals, so they are selectively returned to ground before the output.](image)

The cutoff frequency of the $RL$ filter can be determined just like we did above for the $RC$ filter – when the reactance of the inductor equals the resistance, half the power goes to each component.
The frequency response of this filter is shown in Fig. 9.5 Once again, the cutoff frequency is just the inverse of the time constant, since the frequency- and time-domain descriptions are inverse points of view.

**Question:** What if we switch the position of the $R$ and $C$ in Fig. 9.4?

The circuit becomes a high-pass filter instead of a low-pass filter. The cutoff frequency is the same.

**Question:** What if we switch the position of the $R$ and $L$ in Fig. 9.5?

The circuit becomes a low-pass filter instead of a high-pass filter. The cutoff frequency is the same.

There is much, much more we can do with ac circuits, we have only just scratched the surface. Now it is time to move on once again, and work our way away from electricity and magnetism toward optics. Of course, optics is also electricity and magnetism, as we shall see!

### 9.5 Electromagnetic Waves

#### 9.5.1 Electromagnetic fields of accelerating charges

We have so far discussed charges moving at constant velocity (electric currents), and stationary charges. The former gave rise to magnetic fields, while the later give rise to electric fields. Oscillating charges (or more generally accelerating charges), on the other hand, give rise to both electric and magnetic fields.

To see how this might be, think of a charge moving in a sinusoidal pattern, like a charged mass hanging from a spring. While the charge is at the center of its motion, it is moving at constant velocity, and it creates a magnetic field. When the charge is at the top or bottom of its motion, it is stationary for an instant, and gives rise to an electric field. What happens in between? When charges accelerate, and Maxwell first predicted that both electric and magnetic fields are created.

When the electric and magnetic fields change in time, and create electromagnetic disturbances that travel through space as waves, like ripples in a pond. The waves created by accelerating charges are spatially and temporally fluctuating magnetic and electric fields, and are called electromagnetic waves, or EM waves.

Electromagnetic waves travel at the speed of light, $c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$ - in fact, electromagnetic waves are light, and vice versa. Whenever a charged particle accelerates, it radiates EM waves.
Since electric and magnetic fields in a volume of space represent energy, *whenever a charged particle accelerates, it radiates energy.*

### 9.5.2 Production of Electromagnetic Waves by an Antenna

In order to get an idea of how electromagnetic waves work, we will consider a simple antenna connected to an alternating voltage source, Fig. 9.6. The alternating (sinusoidal) voltage source applied to the two antenna wires causes electric charges in the wires to oscillate (this is basically how a broadcast antenna works). For the sake of argument, the alternating voltage source has a period $T$, and a frequency of $f = 1/T$.

At time $t = 0$, Fig. 9.6a, the upper rod is at a maximum positive voltage, and the lower a maximum negative voltage. Thus the upper rod is given a maximal positive charge, and the lower rod a maximal negative charge. The electric field at this instant is pointing downward. As the voltage source oscillates, the voltage and amount of charge on each rod decreases, reaching zero at one quarter of the source’s period $T$ ($t = T/4$) as shown in Fig. 9.6b. At this point, $E = 0$ at the antenna. The maximum $E$ field created $T/4$ seconds earlier, however, has not disappeared! It has travelled at a velocity $c$ for $T/4$ seconds, so it is $cT/4$ meters away from the antenna. Remember, time-varying $E$ field travels from the antenna at the speed of light $c$.

At a still later time $T/2$, Fig. 9.6c, the voltage source has completed one half cycle, and has reversed polarity. Now the $E$ field at the antenna is reversed in direction, and again at a maximal value. This continues on, Fig. 9.6d, and the $E$ field at the antenna oscillates in phase with the induced charge distribution as we would expect. At any instant, the $E$ field at the antenna depends on the charge on the rods at that instant, and therefore the voltage applied by the source.

Basically, we have set up a charge distribution which oscillates in time, just like a mass on a spring oscillates. Since the motion is oscillatory, we known that the charges are accelerating, and therefore, radiating energy. One part of the radiation is just the $E$ field traveling out from the source at a velocity $c$. 

---

*Figure 9.6: An electric field set up by oscillating charges in an antenna. The field moves away from the antenna at the speed of light. At a given point in space, the electric field intensity oscillates as a function of time, and at a given time, the electric field intensity oscillates spatially. Note that this is true whether the antenna is producing the radiation or receiving it!*

---
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While the charges oscillate, they also constitute a time-varying current in the rods. The current is maximal when the voltage and $E$ field are maximal, since that is the moment when the most charge is moving in the smallest amount of time. Likewise, when $E = 0$, the current is zero. The presence of a current means there is also a magnetic field created, as shown in Fig. 9.7.

![Diagram of magnetic fields around an antenna carrying an alternating current for two different times in the current cycle: (a) $t = T/2$, and (b) $t = 0, T$. The current is in phase with the voltage source. Note that this is true whether the antenna is producing the radiation or receiving it!](image)

The magnetic field oscillates in time, in phase with the current and the $E$ field. By the right hand rule, $\vec{B}$ is always perpendicular to $\vec{E}$. This is the other part of the radiation of the accelerating charges, the $B$ field traveling out from the source at a velocity $c$.

The basic result of this is that changing magnetic fields produce an electric field, and changing electric fields produce a magnetic field. These induced electric and magnetic fields are always in phase (they reach maximum and minimum values at the same point), and the fields are at right angles.

### 9.5.3 Properties of Electromagnetic Waves

What more can we say about EM waves? First, many everyday EM can be described as plane waves, in particular when the EM wave is far from its original source. Figure 9.8 shows a plane wave at an instant in time traveling along the $x$-axis. The oscillations of the $E$ and $B$ fields occur in planes perpendicular to the $x$-axis, or perpendicular to the direction the wave is traveling. Even though $E$ and $B$ oscillate spatially, they are always perpendicular, and along with the direction of travel, obey a “handedness” rule ... which leads us to yet another right-hand rule.

**Right-hand rule #3 for plane EM waves:**

1. Point your right-hand fingers along $\vec{E}$.
2. Curl them along the direction of $\vec{B}$.
3. Your right thumb points along the direction the wave is traveling.

Electromagnetic waves travel with the speed of light, which in fact relates the permeability $\epsilon$ of a medium ($1/\epsilon$ relates to the strength of $E$), the permittivity $\mu$ of a medium ($\mu$ relates to the
9.5 Electromagnetic Waves

Figure 9.8: An electromagnetic wave at one instant of time, moving in the positive x-direction with speed \( c \). The electric field points along the y axis, and is perpendicular to the magnetic field at every point. Both \( \vec{E} \) and \( \vec{B} \) are perpendicular to the direction of wave propagation.

strength of \( B \) and the speed of light \( c \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed of light in free space:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}} = 2.99792 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it turns out, this also implies a relation between \( E \) and \( B \) themselves:

| Relationship between \( |\vec{E}| \) and \( |\vec{B}| \) in an EM wave: |
|----------------------------------|
| \( c = \frac{|\vec{E}|}{|\vec{B}|} \) |

Just like in the mass spectrometer (Sect. 7.3.1.1), perpendicular \( \vec{E} \) and \( \vec{B} \) fields imply a particular velocity, and given that EM waves travel at \( c \), this implies a fixed ratio \( |\vec{E}|/|\vec{B}| \).

9.5.4 Energy transferred by EM waves

Accelerating charges radiate EM waves, which really means they radiate energy. How much energy? For a given EM wave, we can define an intensity of radiation \( I \) which is the amount of energy absorbed per unit time, per unit (surface) area. Since the intensity of \( E \) and \( B \) (\( |\vec{E}| \) and \( |\vec{B}| \)) vary in time, and clearly the amount of radiation absorbed depends on how big the surface area is, this is the best we can do. Of course, energy per unit time is just power, so really \( I \) is power per unit area [W/m\(^2\)].
### Intensity of EM radiation

\[
I = \frac{\text{energy}}{\text{time} \cdot \text{area}} = \frac{E_{\text{max}} B_{\text{max}}}{2\mu_0} = \frac{\text{power}}{\text{area}} = \frac{E_{\text{max}}^2}{2\mu_0 c} = \frac{c B_{\text{max}}^2}{2\mu_0} \quad (9.24)
\]

Here \(E_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum of the \(E\) field in the wave (the amplitude), and \(B_{\text{max}}\) is the amplitude for \(B\). The units of \(I\) are then Watts per square meter \([W/m^2]\).

The energy \(U\) transferred to an area \(A\) in a time interval \(\Delta t\) is then just

### Energy transferred by an EM wave in a time \(\Delta t\):

\[
U = (\text{energy per unit time per unit area}) \cdot (\text{area}) \cdot (\text{time}) = I \cdot A \cdot \Delta t \quad (9.25)
\]

So the larger the area, and the longer the time of exposure, the more energy that is transmitted by radiation. This much we already know first-hand during the Alabama summer.

If energy is transferred, then a momentum \(p\) must also be transferred. Though this may not seem intuitive, incident EM radiation (light) imparts momentum on anything it strikes and transfers energy to. Clearly this is a small effect, since we do not notice it being harder to walk toward or away from the sun! If we take a perfectly black surface, which absorbs all incident energy, it turns out the momentum transfer is:

\[
|\vec{p}| = \frac{U}{c} = \frac{I \cdot A \cdot \Delta t}{c} \quad \text{complete absorption} \quad (9.26)
\]

This is the analogy of a perfectly inelastic collision (like one mass striking another and sticking to it). If all radiation is reflected, the analogy of a perfectly elastic collision, then the momentum transfer is twice as big. Rather than the incident EM wave simply being absorbed, which changes its velocity from \(c\) to zero, now it is reversing direction, which changes its velocity from \(+c\) to \(-c\).

\[
|\vec{p}| = \frac{2U}{c} = \frac{2I \cdot A \cdot \Delta t}{c} \quad \text{complete reflection} \quad (9.27)
\]

We will come back to the subject of radiation pressure and the momentum of light in later chapters, and we will be able to more carefully explain why these formulas must be the way they are.

The momentum imparted by EM radiation is known as radiation pressure. If we remember that force can be defined as a change of momentum \((F = \Delta p/\Delta t)\), and pressure is force per unit area \((P = F/A)\):
EM Radiation Pressure:

\[
P_{\text{radiation}} = \frac{I}{c} = \frac{E_{\text{max}}^2}{2 \mu_0 c^2} = \frac{B_{\text{max}}^2}{2 \mu_0}
\]

complete absorption

\[
P_{\text{radiation}} = \frac{2I}{c} = \frac{E_{\text{max}}^2}{\mu_0 c^2} = \frac{B_{\text{max}}^2}{\mu_0}
\]

complete reflection \hspace{1cm} (9.28)

Direct sunlight on Earth only imparts a momentum of about \(5 \mu\text{N/m}^2\), so these effects are very small, but measurable. In the solar system, radiation pressure is an important effect, it tends to push particles smaller than \(\sim 0.1 \mu\text{m}\) outward from the sun.

9.5.5 The EM spectra

All electromagnetic waves travel in vacuum at the speed of light \(c\). As with any other waves, the velocity, frequency, and wavelength are related:

\[
c = \lambda f = 2.99792 \times 10^8 \text{m/s} \hspace{1cm} (9.29)
\]

Electromagnetic waves cover many orders of magnitude in frequency and wavelength, but always obey this relationship. Since the velocity of light in vacuum \(c\) is fixed, this means if you know either \(f\) or \(\lambda\), you automatically know the other. Figure 9.9 shows the frequency and wavelength ranges for some types of EM waves. Note that our common definitions of wave types are not precise, and overlap (e.g., X-rays and UV). Section 21.12 in Serway has a nice discussion of different sorts of EM waves.

One final question: Why do microwave ovens have a screen over the door with small holes in it? How does the screen protect us from microwave exposure, yet allow us to see inside? Hint: look at Figure 9.9. The holes in a typical microwave screen are of order \(\sim 1 \text{mm}\) diameter.
Figure 9.9: The electromagnetic spectrum. Note that our common definitions of wave types are not precise, and overlap (e.g., X-rays and UV). Note the expanded views of the visible spectrum and common communications frequencies. At the smallest wavelengths, Ångström units are commonly used, $1 \text{Å} = 10^{-10} \text{m}$. Image from L. Keiner, [http://www.keiner.us](http://www.keiner.us).
9.6 Quick Questions

9.7 Problems

1. A variable-frequency ac voltage source (circles with sine waves inside) is hooked up to (a) a resistor $R$ and an inductor $L$, and (b) a resistor $R$ and a capacitor $C$. The resistor is the same in both cases. A voltmeter monitors the voltage on the inductor in circuit (a), and on the capacitor in circuit (b).

Make a rough sketch of the relative voltage read by the meter as a function of the source frequency in each case ($V$ versus $f$). Identify which one of these circuits the voltmeter preferentially reads low frequencies (“low-pass filter”), and which one the voltmeter preferentially reads high frequencies (“high-pass filter”).

Hint: how does each component respond to high and low frequencies? Which one(s) dislike fast changes in voltage, which one(s) like it, and which one(s) don’t care?

2. Assume that the Sun delivers an average power ($\mathcal{P}$) per unit area ($A$) of about $I \equiv \mathcal{P}/A = 1.00 \times 10^3 \text{W/m}^2$ to Earth’s surface.

(a) Calculate the total power incident on a flat tin roof 7.17 m by 21.1 m. Assume that the radiation is incident normal (perpendicular) to the roof.

(b) Calculate the peak electric and magnetic fields of the light.

3. A helium-neon laser delivers $1.05 \times 10^{18}$ photons/sec in a beam diameter of 1.75 mm. Each photon has a wavelength of 601 nm.

(a) Calculate the amplitudes of the electric and magnetic fields inside the beam.

(b) If the beam shines perpendicularly onto a perfectly reflecting surface, what force does it exert?

(c) If the perfectly reflecting surface is a block of aluminum with mass $m = 1 \text{g}$, how long will it take for the incident photons to accelerate it to a velocity of 1 m/s? Assume the beam does not diverge, air resistance and gravity can be neglected.

4. You are given 2 resistors, 1 capacitor, and 1 inductor.
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9.9 Solutions to Problems

1. (a) is the high-pass filter. At high frequencies, the inductor represents a large resistance path, so high frequencies want to go to the voltmeter. At low frequencies, the inductor has very low resistance, so low frequencies want to go back to the source and not to the voltmeter.

(b) is the low-pass filter. A capacitor has a very high resistance at low frequencies, so low frequencies want to go to the voltmeter. At high frequencies, the capacitor has a low resistance, so the high frequencies want to go back to the source.

Figures 9.4 and 9.5 show the frequency response for high-pass and low-pass filters.

2. If $I$ is just power per unit area, then the first part is easy:

$$\mathcal{P} = IA = \left(1.00 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^2\right) \left(7.17 \times 21.1 \text{ m}^2\right) = 1.51 \times 10^5 \text{ W}$$

For the second part, we note the following relationship:

$$I = \frac{E_{\text{max}}^2}{2\mu_0 c}$$

Use the above to get $E_{\text{max}}$, then use the fact that $c = E_{\text{max}}/B_{\text{max}}$. You should get $E_{\text{max}} = 868 \text{ V/m}$, $B_{\text{max}} = 2.89 \mu\text{T}$.

3. (a) This is a multi-step problem, and requires a bit of thought - there is no one formula to start with. First, we know we can relate the amplitudes of the $E$ and $B$ fields to the intensity of light $I$. Second, we know that the the ratio of the $E$ and $B$ field has to give the speed of light:

$$I = \frac{E_{\text{max}}^2}{2\mu_0 c} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{|\vec{E}|}{|\vec{B}|}$$

Now, how does this help us? We first have to think about what intensity $I$ is - energy per unit time per unit area. Since light energy of a single wavelength - like we have here - comes as individual photons, the energy delivered per second has to be the number of photons per second times the energy per photon:
\[ I = \frac{\text{photons}}{\text{time}} \cdot \frac{\text{energy}}{\text{photon}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{Area}} \]

Now we know the energy per photon has to be:

\[ E_{\text{photon}} = hf = \frac{hc}{\lambda} \]

Here we used the relation between wavelength \( \lambda \), frequency \( f \), and the speed of light \( c \): \( \lambda f = c \).

We are given the number of photons per second, let’s call this \( N \). Putting together our formulas:

\[ I = N \cdot \left( \frac{hc}{\lambda} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\text{Area}} \]

\[ = \frac{E_{\text{max}}^2}{2 \mu_0 c} \]

Here \( A \) is just the area of the beam, which is easily found from the diameter given. Now we know everything above except \( E_{\text{max}} \), so we can solve for that:

\[ E_{\text{max}}^2 = \frac{2 \mu_0 hc^2}{\lambda} \cdot N \cdot \frac{1}{\pi (d/2)^2} \]

\[ = \left( \frac{4 \pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ N/A}^2}{601 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}} \right) \cdot \left( 6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s} \right) \cdot \left( 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \right)^2 \cdot \left( 1.05 \times 10^{18} \text{ sec}^{-1} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\pi (8.75 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m})^2} \]

\[ = 1.09 \times 10^8 \frac{\text{N} \cdot \text{J}}{\text{A}^2 \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^2} = 1.09 \times 10^8 \frac{\text{N} \cdot \text{J}}{\text{C}^2 \cdot \text{m}} = 1.09 \times 10^8 \frac{\text{N}^2}{\text{C}^2 \cdot \text{m}} \]

\[ \implies E_{\text{max}} = 1.0 \times 10^4 \text{ N/C} = 1.0 \times 10^4 \text{ V/m} \]

Here we used the conversions 1 J = 1 N · m, 1 C = 1 A · s, and 1 N/C = 1 V/m. We can now find \( B_{\text{max}} \) easily, once again noting that \( V \cdot s = T \cdot \text{m}^2 \):

\[ B_{\text{max}} = \frac{E_{\text{max}}}{c} \]

\[ = \frac{1.0 \times 10^4 \text{ V/m}}{(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})^2} \]

\[ = 3.5 \times 10^{-6} \frac{\text{V} \cdot \text{s}}{\text{m}^2} \]

\[ B_{\text{max}} = 35 \mu \text{T} \]

\( \text{(b)} \) So. How do we get from intensity to pressure? Photons have momentum, remember from relativity that \( E = |\vec{p}|c \). Using this and the formula for intensity above, we figured out that for a perfectly reflecting surface, the radiation pressure can be found via: 

\[ \text{PH 102 / General Physics II Dr. LeClair} \]
\[ P_{\text{refl}} = \frac{2I}{c} = \frac{E_{\text{max}}^2}{\mu_0 c^2} \]

From the known pressure \( P \), we can easily get the force, since pressure is force per unit area:

\[ F = P \cdot A = \frac{E_{\text{max}}^2}{\mu_0 c^2} \cdot \frac{(1.0 \times 10^4 \text{ N/C})^2}{4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ N/A}^2 \cdot (3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})^2} \cdot \left( \pi (8.75 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m})^2 \right) \]
\[ = 2.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ N} \]

Once again, we need the conversion \( C = A/s \).

(c) Given a (constant) net force, we have a (constant) net acceleration. Given a constant acceleration, we can find the velocity at any later time. First, the acceleration:

\[ a = \frac{F}{m} = \frac{2.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ N}}{10^{-3} \text{ kg}} = 2.32 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m/s}^2 \]

Remember that 1 N = 1 kg·m/s^2. Assuming the block to be initially at rest \( (v_0 = 0) \), ignoring air resistance, gravity, friction, etc., we now find the time it takes the block to reach a final velocity of \( v_f = 1 \text{ m/s} \).

\[ v_f = v_0 + a \cdot \Delta t \]
\[ 1 \text{ m/s} = 0 + a \cdot \Delta t \]
\[ \Rightarrow \Delta t = \frac{v_f}{a} = \frac{1 \text{ m/s}}{2.32 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m/s}^2} \]
\[ \Delta t = 4.3 \times 10^5 \text{ s} \approx 5 \text{ days} \]

4. Have a look at the figures below. Remember that an inductor presents a high resistance to high frequency signals, and lets low frequency signals through easily. Therefore, for the high-pass filter, we use the inductor to “short out” low frequency signals to the ground, and let high frequency signals through to the output.

Capacitors, on the other hand, present a high resistance to low frequency signals, and let high frequency signals through easily. Therefore, for the low-pass filter, we use the capacitor to “short out” high frequency signals to ground, and let low frequency signals through to the output. The frequency response is as illustrated schematically.

See the course notes packet for further details, including how to select the actual component values to determine the range of frequencies filtered.
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\[ V_{\text{out}} = f(C, R) \]

\[ V_{\text{out}} = f(L, R) \]

Low-pass filter:

\[ V_{\text{in}} \rightarrow R \rightarrow V_{\text{out}} \]

\[ C \]

High-pass filter:

\[ V_{\text{in}} \rightarrow R \rightarrow V_{\text{out}} \]

\[ L \]

\[ V_{\text{out}} \]
Part III

Optics
Reflection and Refraction of Light

LIGHT as we know it is nothing more than a particular sort of electromagnetic wave, defined by a rough range in frequency or frequency. Visible light covers wavelengths of $\sim 400 - 700 \text{ nm}$, while ultraviolet (UV) and infrared push this definition to $\sim 10 \text{ nm} - 10 \mu\text{m}$.

In the next chapters when we discuss optics, we will focus on applications to visible light, though everything we discuss will be applicable to the whole electromagnetic spectrum, from radio waves to gamma-rays.

10.1 The Nature of Light

Until early in the 19th century, light was modeled as a steady stream of particles, which entered and stimulated the eye in analogy to the sense of touch. This model (primarily due to Newton) was very successful in describing most everyday properties of light, like reflection and refraction. As an added advantage, it seemed to explain the sense of sight by rough analogy with the sense of touch.

Now we know that light is just a form of electromagnetic radiation, a wave phenomena. Despite clear experimental evidence for the wave behavior of light, such as interference of light waves and diffraction, this point of view was slow to gain acceptance.

As it turns out, light behaves as both a wave and a stream of particles, depending on how you observe it. In this and the following chapters, we will try to understand when to use each point of view, and why they are both valid. For example, classical EM wave theory describes interference very well, whereas photoelectric effects (ket to solar cells) require the particle point of view. In general, when we worry about light interacting with individual electrons or other particles, the “stream of particles” picture is useful. In the end, light is neither one nor the other: light has a number of demonstrable properties, some of which are best modeled as waves, others which are better modeled as particles. The reality of the situation is strange, we model it as best we can!

For the moment, however, we will treat light as a steady stream of particles, as Newton did, and explore the phenomena that fall under this description. Einstein provided the first definitive theory of light as being made up of individual particles, known as photons. According to Einstein’s theory\textsuperscript{1} light particles, or photons each carry an energy proportional to their frequency:

\textsuperscript{1}He won his Nobel prize for this, not relativity.
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Photon energy:

\[ E = hf = \frac{hc}{\lambda} \]  

(10.1)

where \( h = 6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s} \) is Planck’s constant.

Here we have used Eq. [9.29] the relationship between frequency, wavelength, and speed for light waves:

Frequency \( f \), wavelength \( \lambda \), and the speed of light \( c \) in vacuum

\[ c = \lambda f \]  

(10.2)

10.1.1 Wave Packets and Wave-Particle Duality

Now wait a minute: we are modeling light as particles, but just used the wave relationship between \( c, \lambda \), and \( f \)! Are we getting away with something? No, both wave and particle viewpoints are valid, light really behaves as both. One way you can view this duality is to imagine light as a modulated wave, or “wave packet” as shown below:

Almost all of the intensity is within the central region, hence the term wave packet. Light waves of this sort mimics the behavior of single particles. If you used a light detector to measure such a wave, you would observe discrete “ticks” corresponding to the wave packets, and nothing in between. So this isn’t so weird and mysterious at all - light is a wave, and it can behave like particles just because the waves aren’t simple sin’s and cos’s! The waves have regions of localized intensity, which can be thought of as particles. As it turns out, when we discuss Quantum Mechanics, this is also the appropriate point of view for any other particle, such as electrons.
For the rest of this chapter, we will view light as a steady stream of particles.

## 10.2 Reflection of Light

When light traveling in one medium encounters a boundary leading into another medium, reflection and refraction can result. **Reflection** means that part of the light encountering the second medium bounces off of it, and **refraction** means that part of the light enters the second medium, but bends during the process. More often than not, both processes occur when light travels between two media.

### 10.2.1 The Ray Approximation

If we view light as a steady stream of particles, we can consider these streams of light particles to be “rays” which travel in straight-line paths - the so-called **ray approximation**. Since the speed of light is constant, light particles can have no acceleration, and therefore (by Newton’s first law) must travel in straight-line paths. Our “light rays” are the paths of individual photons (or wave packets) if you like, or the “wave front” connecting the points of all EM waves with the same amplitude and phase. But more on that later.

In the ‘ray approximation’, light travels in a straight-line in a (homogeneous) medium, until it encounters a boundary between two different materials. At this boundary, light is either reflected from it, passes into the second medium on the other side of the boundary, or does a bit of both.

### 10.2.2 The Law of Reflection

When a light ray traveling in a (transparent) medium encounters a boundary into a second medium, part of the ray is reflected back into the first medium. Figure [10.3a](#) illustrates several light rays “bouncing” off of a perfectly flat surface (or an interface between two media). The rays are all parallel as they are incident on the surface, they all reflect at the same angle known, and leave parallel. This is known as **specular reflection**.

On the other hand, if the surface (or the interface between two media) is rough, the reflected light comes out in many directions. This is known as **diffuse reflection**, and is shown in Fig. [10.3b](#). A surface is considered “smooth” and behaves as such so long as the roughness is small compared to the wavelength of the light. If the roughness is small compared to the wavelength, the light cannot “see” it.

**Law of Reflection**

When a light ray is reflected off of a surface, the angle of incidence $\theta_i$ is equal to the angle of reflection $\theta_r$. 
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What happens when light is not reflected, and actually goes from one medium to another, say from bare vacuum into a piece of glass? In a vacuum, there is no matter for the light to interact with or scatter off of. The same is roughly true for air. When light enters some dense medium, however, this is no longer true. Physically, what happens is that the light, being electromagnetic radiation, interacts with the electrons and nuclei that make up the medium. The $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ fields making up the EM wave are affected by the electrons and nuclei, just like field lines from a point charge are affected by another point charge, with the result that its velocity is reduced. In some sense, having to interact with atoms retards the light.

For a single ray, the angle of reflection equals the angle of incidence, as illustrated in Fig. 10.4. Experimentally, this is true, and it also follows from the boundary conditions on the $E$ and $B$ fields (Appendix B). Reflective optics is pure geometry - no matter how many media you consider in sequence, it all boils down to geometry.
When light encounters a new medium in which its velocity is changed, adjusts its direction in such a way to spend more time in the medium with the higher velocity. The result of this is that when a light ray encounters a boundary between two transparent media, part of the ray is reflected, and part of it is bent as it enters the second medium, as shown in Fig. 10.5. The “bent” beam is said to be refracted. The incident, reflected, and refracted beams all lie in the same geometric plane along with the interface normal at the point of incidence.

If we first consider the case $v_2 < v_1$, as shown in Fig. 10.5, the light ray is going slower in the second medium, so it would like to minimize the distance through that media it has to cover. That is accomplished by traveling through the second media more closely to normal incidence than before - the light ray bends toward the surface normal to get out of the second medium more quickly.

A slightly more formal way to state this is through Fermat’s principle, or the “principle of least time”:

**Fermat’s principle of least time:**
The path taken between two points by a ray of light is the path that can be traversed in the least time.

This principle is sometimes taken as the definition of a ray of light. Using calculus, one can show that the angle $\theta_2$ “chosen” by the ray of light depends on the angle of incidence and the velocities of light in the two media:

**Refraction and velocity of light in media:**

\[
\frac{\sin \theta_2}{\sin \theta_1} = \frac{v_2}{v_1} = \text{constant} \quad (10.3)
\]

The slower the velocity of light in the second medium, the more sharply the light ray bends toward the normal - the light minimizes its time spent in the slower medium by shortening its path.

Figure 10.5: Light rays incident on an air-glass interface. The refracted ray is bent toward the interface normal because $v_2 < v_1$. 

On the other hand, what if \( v_1 > v_2 \)? We could say that the light ray is going more quickly in the second medium, and can afford to spend a bit more time after going so slowly in medium 1. An easier way to think about it is that *for refraction we can run the light rays forwards or backwards, and we have to get the same result*. That is, the path of a light ray through a refracting surface is reversible. If light travels through the air and bends into the glass as shown, then light coming from the glass into the air has to behave the same way.

In any case, the light rays bend closer to the normal if they enter a region where they have lower velocity, and away from the normal in a region where they have higher velocity, as shown below. Light wants to get out of regions of low velocity by traveling more normal, and spend more time in regions of high velocity by making a more shallow angle.

![Diagram showing refraction](image)

**Figure 10.6:** (a) When light moves from air into glass, its path is bent toward the normal since the velocity of light is reduced in glass compared to air. (b) When light moves from glass into air, its path is bent away from the normal, since it has now entered a region of lower velocity.

### 10.3.1 Snell’s Law

For convenience, we often define a material constant which represents the ratio between the speed of light in vacuum and in a given material, the *index of refraction* \( n \):

**Index of refraction** \( n \):

\[
 n = \frac{\text{speed of light in vacuum}}{\text{speed of light in a medium}} = \frac{c}{v} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 \mu_r \mu_0} = \sqrt{\kappa \varepsilon_0 \mu_r \mu_0}
\]  

(10.4)

The last two relationships come from Eq. (9.22) relating the speed of light to the permeability \( (\mu_r) \) and permittivity \( (\varepsilon_r) \) or dielectric constant \( (\kappa) \) in a material. The index of refraction is dimensionless (it has no units), and of course \( n = 1 \) for vacuum itself. If we use this definition, we can rewrite Eq. (10.3):

\[
\frac{\sin \theta_2}{\sin \theta_1} = \frac{v_2}{v_1} = \frac{n_1}{n_2}
\]  

(10.5)
The index of refraction for many common conducting materials is listed in Table 10.1 compiled from several sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum</td>
<td>1 (exactly)</td>
<td>Helium</td>
<td>1.000036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air (STP)</td>
<td>1.0002926</td>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
<td>1.00045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water ice</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>Liquid water (20°)</td>
<td>1.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>Teflon</td>
<td>1.35-1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>1.4729</td>
<td>Acrylic glass</td>
<td>1.490-1.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock salt</td>
<td>1.516</td>
<td>Crown glass (pure)</td>
<td>1.50-1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt (NaCl)</td>
<td>1.544</td>
<td>Polycarbonate</td>
<td>1.584-1.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flint glass (pure)</td>
<td>1.60-1.62</td>
<td>Crown glass (impure)</td>
<td>1.485-1.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromine</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>Flint glass (impure)</td>
<td>1.523-1.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic Zirconia</td>
<td>2.15-2.18</td>
<td>Diamond</td>
<td>2.419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When light travels from one medium to another, its speed changes, but its frequency does not. Examine Fig. 10.7. When a wave passes from material 1 to material 2, the frequency at which waves arrive at the boundary from 1 must equal the rate at which waves leave the boundary into 2. If they were not equal, since EM waves carry energy, we would have to create or destroy energy at the boundary. This is clearly not OK. If the energy has to be conserved across the boundary, then by Eq. 10.1, the frequency must be conserved too. So \( f_1 = f_2 \equiv f \).
On the other hand, we know from Eq. [9.29] that the speed of light must be related to frequency and wavelength:

\[ v_1 = f\lambda_1 \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = f\lambda_2 \]  

(10.6)

Since we know \( v_1 \neq v_2 \), the only way out is if \( \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \)!

**Light passing from one medium into another different medium:**

1. Velocity changes.
2. Frequency does not change.
3. Wavelength changes.

Putting together what we know, we can relate the changes in frequency and speed to the index of refraction in the two media:

\[
\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} = \frac{v_1}{v_2} = \frac{c/n_1}{c/n_2} = \frac{n_2}{n_1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_1 n_1 = \lambda_2 n_2
\]  

(10.7)

Put another way, \( \lambda \) times \( n \) is a *conserved quantity* for light. Really, this is just a restatement of Eq. [10.1] plus conservation of energy - \( \mathcal{E} = hc/\lambda = hnv/\lambda \). If we say medium 1 is vacuum, such that \( n_1 = 1 \), we can relate the index of refraction to the change in wavelength when entering a medium:

\[ n = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_n} \]  

(10.8)

where \( \lambda_0 \) is the wavelength of light in vacuum, and \( \lambda_n \) is the wavelength of light in the medium whose refractive index is \( n \). In the end, our most important conclusion is the following. When light leaves one medium, of refractive index \( n_1 \), and enters another, of refractive index \( n_2 \), then:

**Law of Refraction (Snell’s Law):**

\[ n_1 \sin \theta_1 = n_2 \sin \theta_2 \]  

(10.9)

where the angles \( \theta_{1,2} \) are measured with respect to a line normal to the boundary.

### 10.3.2 Dispersion and Prisms

Table [10.1] listed the values of the index of refraction \( n \) for various materials, at a particular frequency \( f = 5.09 \times 10^{14} \) Hz. Why just at \( f = 5.09 \times 10^{14} \) Hz? As it turns out, the index of refraction for most anything other than vacuum depends on frequency, as shown in Fig. [10.8]. This phenomena...
is called dispersion.

Dispersión leads to a number of interesting effects. Since \( n \) is actually a function of wavelength, \( n(\lambda) \), the Law of Refraction (Eq. 10.5) tells us that the angle of refraction depends on the wavelength of the light. For example, violet light (\( \lambda \approx 400 \text{ nm} \)) bends much more than red light (\( \lambda \approx 650 \text{ nm} \)) when going from air into glass.

The phenomena of dispersion is nicely illustrated by prism, as shown in Fig. 10.9. A light ray of a single wavelength will pass through the prism, but it will be slightly bent on entering and leaving the prism, Fig. 10.9a. The angle of the exit ray compared to the incident ray is known as the angle of deviation \( \delta \).

If we shine a ray of white light on the prism, something interesting happens. White light is nothing more than a combination of all the visible colors of light in equal proportions. When the white light passes through the prism, the blue light will be bent more than the red ones, and the colors of light become spatially separated, Fig. 10.9. The result is a display of all the colors of the visible spectrum, Fig. 10.9. The colors, in order of decreasing wavelength, are red, orange, yellow, green, blue, and violet (Roy G. Biv in mnemonic form). Violet light deviates the most, red the least, and the rest fall in between. Of course, other non-visible wavelengths of light are bent too - ultraviolet (UV) rays would be bent still more than violet, and infrared even less than red - we just can’t see them with the naked eye.
10.3.2.1 White Light

Before Newton’s studies of optics, most scientists believed that white was the true color of light, and other colors were formed only by adding something to it. Newton demonstrated this was not true by the use of prisms. His experiment was to pass white light through a prism, then direct the individual colored beams through another prism. If light were really white, and the colors were just added by the prism, the second prism should have added further colors to the single-colored beams. Since the single-colored beam remained a single color, Newton concluded that the prism actually separated the colors already present in the light. White light is the effect of combining the visible colors of light in equal proportions.\footnote{en.wikipedia.org/wiki/White}

10.3.3 Rainbows

Rainbows are a natural form of light dispersion, in which water droplets in the atmosphere act as tiny prisms. A ray of white light in the atmosphere strikes a (quasi-circular) water droplet, and is refracted and reflected as shown in Fig. 10.10.

When the light ray reaches the drop, it is first refracted at the front surface of the drop, which causes dispersion. Violet light deviates the most, red light the least. The separated rays then reflect off of the back surface (all wavelengths reflect at the same angle), and again reach the back surface of the drop. The individual rays undergo refraction as they leave the drop, and overall the red rays are bent by about $42^\circ$ relative to the incident rays, and the violet are bent by about $40^\circ$. The dispersion is small, but this is what results in a rainbow - incoming sunlight is reflected back over a range of angles.

Incidentally, the light at the back of the raindrop does not undergo total internal reflection, as you might think, and some light does emerge from the back. This transmitted light doesn’t create

\footnote{en.wikipedia.org/wiki/White}
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a rainbow, all the

How to we end up observing a rainbow from this small dispersion? Under the right conditions, rain drops are present in the atmosphere. A raindrop high in the sky appears red, because red light is deviated the most and actually reaches the observer. Other colors of light pass over the observer’s head. For slightly lower drops, only the yellow rays are deflected at just the right angle to reach the observer. Finally, the lowest observable drops direct violet light to the observer, and disperse other wavelengths below the observer - the red light would just strike the ground and not be observed. So when we observe a rainbow, we are really seeing the $\approx 2^\circ$ angular dispersion created by tiny water drops in the sky.

As it turns out, the rainbow formation process is independent of how big the drops are, but does depend on the refractive index of the drops. For instance, seawater has a higher refractive index than rain water, so the radius of a rainbow in sea spray is smaller. If you observe a rainbow on a rainy near sea spray, this effect is visible as a misalignment of the ‘rain’ and ‘sea’ bows. A good example of this, and many other interesting atmospheric optical phenomena, can be found here: [http://www.atoptics.co.uk/](http://www.atoptics.co.uk/).

Of course, rainbows don’t actually exist at some point in the sky, they are an optical phenomena whose apparent position depends on the observer’s location and the sun’s position in the sky. All raindrops reflect and refract light in the same way, but the only under the right circumstances to the dispersed rays reach the observer’s eye. The position of a rainbow in the sky is always in the opposite direction of the Sun for the observer - that is, you need the sun at your back. The bow will be centered on the shadow of your head, and appears at an angle of 40-42° above the line between your head and its shadow. As a result, if the sun is higher than 42° in the sky, the rainbow would be formed below the horizon, and would not be visible. This is not strictly true if you are high above the ground, however.

Figure 10.12 shows a “double” rainbow - a primary rainbow, along with a weaker secondary with
its colors reversed. Secondary rainbows are caused by sunlight reflecting twice inside the raindrops, instead of just once, and the dispersion is roughly twice as large, appearing at $\approx 50 - 53^\circ$. As a result of the second reflection, the colors of a secondary rainbow are reversed compared to the primary. The dark area of unlit sky between the primary and secondary bows is called Alexander’s band, after Alexander of Aphrodisias who first described it.

![Secondary Rainbow](image)

### 10.4 Total Internal Reflection

When light encounters a boundary between a medium of higher index of refraction and one with a lower index of refraction, a phenomena called “total internal reflection” can occur. For certain angles of incidence greater than some critical angle $\theta_c$, the refracted beam actually does not enter the second medium - it is entirely reflected at the boundary. This is shown schematically in Fig. 10.13.

![Total Internal Reflection](image)

The critical incidence angle is when the refracted beam would make an angle of 90° with the
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normal, which we can find using Eq. (10.5)

\[ n_1 \sin \theta_c = n_2 \sin 90^\circ = n_2 \quad (10.10) \]

We can easily solve for the critical angle of incidence, above which total internal reflection occurs:

Critical angle for total internal reflection:

\[ \sin \theta_c = \frac{n_2}{n_1} \quad \text{for} \quad n_1 \geq n_2 \quad (10.11) \]

This is only valid when \( n_1 > n_2 \), because total internal reflection only occurs when light tries to move from a medium of higher refractive index to one of lower refractive index. If \( n_1 < n_2 \), the formula would give \( \sin \theta_c > 1 \), which is impossible. In that case, the math tells us that what we are proposing is physically not possible.

Internal reflection in prisms is a very useful way to “guide” light to where you want it, as in a periscope. Figure 10.14 shows a few uses of prisms.

![Figure 10.14](image)

**Figure 10.14:** Internal reflection in 45°-45°-90° prisms. (a) Changing a ray’s direction by 90°, (b) reversing the ray’s direction, (c) translating the ray - a periscope.

### 10.4.1 Fiber optics

Total internal reflection is the principle on which fiber optic technology is based. An optical fiber is a glass or plastic fiber designed to guide light along its length by total internal reflection. The fiber consists of a core material, surrounded by a cladding layer, as shown in Fig. 10.15. The light (say, an optical signal) is confined in the core due to the fact that the refractive index of the core is higher than that of the cladding. This is why some refer to optical fibers as “light pipes.”

Optical fibers are widely used in communications, as they allow digital data transmission over longer distances and at higher rates than most other forms of wired and wireless communications. They are also used to form sensors, and in a variety of other applications (including those tacky table-top Christmas trees that light up).
10.4 Total Internal Reflection

10.4.2 Multi-Touch screens

“Frustrated” total internal reflection allows multiple-touch sensing for advanced displays. By frustrated, we mean that the condition for total internal reflection is broken by the presence of a third medium, as shown in Fig. 10.16.

In a (simplified) touch-screen based on total internal reflection, a light emitting diode shines light into an acrylic plane. The light is confined by total internal reflection, due to the differing values of $n$ for acrylic ($n \sim 1.5$) and air ($n \sim 1$). When a user touches the screen with a non-transparent finger, there is no longer total internal reflection. Light is scattered away at non-critical angles by the finger, and can be detected.

The advantages of this scheme over others (e.g., capacitive, resistive, infrared ...) is that it easily senses more than one touch at a time in touch screens or touch tablets / touchpads. One can recognize multiple simultaneous touch points, including the pressure or degree of each, as well as position of each touch point. This allows gestures and interaction with multiple fingers or hands - such as zooming or chording.

This is the technology will power the display of the impending iPhone. Some interesting images and movies can be found here: [http://cs.nyu.edu/~jhan/ftirtouch/](http://cs.nyu.edu/~jhan/ftirtouch/).
10.5 Quick Questions

1. In experimenting with a beam of white light and an acrylic prism, you found that the critical angle for total internal reflection for red light was less than that for blue light. What does this imply about the difference between the index of refraction for red and blue light ($n_r$ and $n_b$, respectively) in the acrylic?

- $n_r < n_b$
- $n_b < n_r$
- $n_r = n_b$
- nothing, one also needs the wavelengths

2. As light travels from a vacuum ($n = 1$) to a medium such as glass ($n > 1$), which of the following properties remains the same?

- wavelength
- wave speed
- frequency
- none of the above

4. If $n_1 = 1.0$ and $n_2 = 1.923$ in the figure above, what is $\theta_2$ if $\theta_1 = 28^\circ$?

- $14^\circ$
- $28^\circ$
- $16^\circ$
- $42^\circ$

---

Figure 10.16: Frustrated total internal reflection allows multiple-touch sensing for advanced displays. A light emitting diode shines light into an acrylic plane, where it is confined by total internal reflection due to the differing values of $n$ for acrylic ($n \sim 1.5$) and air ($n \sim 1$). When a user touches the screen with a non-transparent finger, there is no longer total internal reflection. Light is scattered away at non-critical angles by the finger, and can be detected.
3. A light beam traveling through a transparent medium of index of refraction $n_1$ passes through a thick transparent slab with parallel faces and an index of refraction $n_2$. Which expression correctly gives the angle $\theta_3$?

- $\sin^{-1}(n_1 \sin \theta_2)$
- $\theta_2$
- $\sin^{-1}(n_2 \sin \theta_2)$
- $\theta_1$

5. If the thickness of the middle layer in the figure above is 2 cm (0.02 m), how long does it take for the light to pass through the transparent medium?

- $7.2 \times 10^{-11}$ s
- $2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ s
- $1.3 \times 10^{-10}$ s
- $5.8 \times 10^{-8}$ s

6. An FM radio transmitter has a power output of 130 kW and operates at a frequency of 98.3 MHz. How many photons per second does the transmitter emit?

- $2 \times 10^{30}$
- $5 \times 10^{-29}$
- $1 \times 10^{15}$
- $7 \times 10^{18}$

7. A pulsed ruby laser emits light at 694.3 nm. For a 13.6 ps pulse containing 3.40 J of energy, how many photons are in the pulse? 1 ps is $10^{-12}$s.

- $2 \times 10^{20}$
- $1 \times 10^{19}$
- $3 \times 10^{21}$
- $5 \times 10^{17}$
1. A narrow beam of ultrasonic waves reflects off the liver tumor in the figure at left.

If the speed of the wave is 15.0% less in the liver than in the surrounding medium, determine the depth of the tumor.

2. A light beam traveling through a transparent medium of index of refraction \( n_1 \) passes through a thick transparent slab with parallel faces and an index of refraction \( n_2 \).

Find the angle \( \theta_3 \) in terms of (at most) \( \theta_1 \), \( n_1 \), and \( n_2 \). Detailed calculation is not necessary if you have a solid physical argument.

3. The index of refraction for violet light in silica flint glass is \( n_{\text{violet}} = 1.66 \), and for red light it is \( n_{\text{red}} = 1.62 \). In air, \( n = 1 \) for both colors of light.

What is the angular dispersion of visible light (the angle between red and violet) passing through an equilateral triangle prism of silica flint glass, if the angle of incidence is 50°? Recall that all angles in an equilateral triangle are 60°.

4. As light from the Sun enters the atmosphere, it refracts due to the small difference between the speeds of light in air and in vacuum. The optical length of the day is defined as the time interval between the instant when the top of the Sun is just visibly observed above the horizon, to the instant at which the top of the Sun just disappears below the horizon. The geometric length of the day is defined as the time interval between the instant when a geometric straight line drawn from the observer to the top of the Sun just clears the horizon, to the instant at which this line just dips below the horizon. The day’s optical length is slightly larger than its geometric length.
By how much does the duration of an optical day exceed that of a geometric day? Model the Earth’s atmosphere as uniform, with index of refraction $n = 1.000293$, a sharply defined upper surface, and depth 8767 m. Assume that the observer is at the Earth’s equator so that the apparent path of the rising and setting Sun is perpendicular to the horizon. Express your answer to the nearest hundredth of a second.

5. A cylindrical cistern, constructed below ground level, is 2.9 m in diameter and 2.0 m deep and is filled to the brim with a liquid whose index of refraction is 1.5. A small object rests on the bottom of the cistern at its center. How far from the edge of the cistern can a girl whose eyes are 1.2 m from the ground stand and still see the object?

6. Refer to the figure at right. Red and blue light are incident on a glass-air interface, from the glass side, at an angle of incidence $\theta_i$. The index of refraction for red light is $n_{\text{red}} = 1.50$ and $n_{\text{blue}} = 1.52$ for blue light. If $\theta_i$ is greater than some critical angle $\theta_c$, the transmitted beam contains only red light.

(a) What is the minimum angle of incidence $\theta_c$ such that only red light emerges?
(b) What is the corresponding minimum refracted angle $\theta_r$?

7. Use the figure at right to give a geometrical proof that the virtual image formed by a flat mirror is the same distance behind the mirror as the object is in front of it, and of the same height as the object.
10.7 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. \( n_r < n_B \). The critical angle for total internal reflection is given by Snell's law: \( n_{\text{prism}} \sin \theta_C = n_{\text{air}} \sin 90^\circ \). Since the right side of this equation is the same for both red and blue light, we know that \( n_{\text{prism, red}} \sin \theta_{C, \text{red}} = n_{\text{prism, blue}} \sin \theta_{C, \text{blue}} \), or the product \( n_{\text{prism}} \sin \theta_C \) must be constant. Therefore, if the critical angle is greater for red light than for blue, then the sin of its angle must be also be greater, and the index of refraction for red light must be smaller for the product \( n_{\text{prism}} \sin \theta_C \) to be the same for red and blue light.

2. **Frequency.** Re-read Sect. 10.3.1

3. \( \theta_1 \). Apply the law of refraction twice, once at each interface. At the top interface, \( n_1 \sin \theta_1 = n_2 \sin \theta_2 \). At the bottom interface, \( n_2 \sin \theta_2 = n_1 \sin \theta_3 \). Therefore, \( \sin \theta_1 = \sin \theta_3 \) or \( \theta_1 = \theta_3 \).

4. 14°. Using the equations from the previous answer ... \( \sin \theta_2 = \frac{n_2}{n_2} \sin \theta_1 \). Plugging in the numbers given, one should get 14°.

5. 1.3 × 10^{-10} s The time taken is simply the distance traveled in the middle layer divided by the speed of light in medium 2. Let the thickness of the middle layer be \( d \). Geometry tells us that the distance the light travels in medium 2 is \( l = d / \cos \theta_2 \approx 0.021 \) m. The speed of light in the medium is \( v_2 = c/n_2 \approx 1.56 \times 10^8 \) m/s, so the time taken is \( l/v_2 \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-10} \) s.

6. 2 × 10^{30} photons/sec.

7. 1 × 10^{19} photons.
10.8 Solutions to Problems

1. Note: Ultrasonic waves are **NOT** light. But! They are waves, so we can apply our optics knowledge without problem. More on this in class.

Reference the modified figure below. We can use Snell’s law at the air-liver interface. Let \( n_1 \) be the refractive index for the surrounding medium, and \( n_2 \) be the refractive index for the liver.

\[
\begin{align*}
    n_1 \sin 50^\circ &= n_2 \sin \theta_1 \\
    \Rightarrow \quad \sin \theta_1 &= \frac{n_1}{n_2} \sin 50^\circ
\end{align*}
\]

We can relate \( \theta_1 \) and \( d \) with geometry:

\[
\tan \theta_1 = \frac{6}{d} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d = \frac{6}{\tan \theta_1}
\]

Next, we need \( n_1/n_2 \). Recall the definition of the index of refraction - it is just proportional to \( 1/v \), where \( v \) is the velocity in the media. Therefore, since we are told \( v_2 = 0.85v_1 \):

\[
\frac{n_1}{n_2} = \frac{v_2}{v_1} = 0.85 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta_1 = \sin^{-1} [0.85 \sin 50] \approx 40.6
\]

Put what we have together ...

\[
d = \frac{6}{\tan \theta_1} = \frac{6}{\tan 40.6} \approx 7 \text{ cm}
\]

2. The simplest way is just to apply Snell’s law to each interface:

\[
n_1 \sin \theta_1 = n_2 \sin \theta_2 = n_1 \sin \theta_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sin \theta_1 = \sin \theta_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta_1 = \theta_3
\]

3. One ray at a time. If we calculate the angle of deviation for red light, and then for violet, we can just subtract those two extremal angles to find the angular dispersion. First, we will need quite a bit of plane geometry. Reference the figure below.
The first deviation the light ray experiences is the angle $a$ on entering the prism, and then the angle $b$ on exiting. The total deviation is then $a + b$. Now look at the triangle formed by the red line inside the prism and the top part of the prism. For this triangle, the angles are $90 - \theta_2$, $90 - \theta_3$, and $60^\circ$. All the angles in a triangle must sum to $180^\circ$:

\[ 90 - \theta_2 + 90 - \theta_3 + 60 = 180 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 60 \]

Now, note that $\theta_1 = a + \theta_2$, and $\theta_4 = b + \theta_3$. We can now combine all our relationships and write down the angular deviation for a single ray:

\[
\text{deviation} = a + b = \theta_1 - \theta_2 + \theta_4 - \theta_3 = \theta_1 + \theta_4 - 60
\]

We are given $\theta_1$. We can find the other $\theta$'s with Snell’s law at the two air-prism interfaces. Let $n_1 = 1$ be the air, and $n_2$ be the index of refraction for either red or violet light in the prism:

\[
\begin{align*}
n_1 \sin \theta_1 &= n_2 \sin \theta_2 \\
n_2 \sin \theta_3 &= n_1 \sin \theta_4
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \Rightarrow \theta_2 = \sin^{-1} \left[ \frac{n_1}{n_2} \sin \theta_1 \right] \]
\[ \theta_3 = 60 - \theta_2 \]

Now a bit more algebra gives us $\theta_4$. We don’t want to plug in numbers until the very end, since we have to do this calculation twice - once for red light and once for violet. So we will keep everything in symbols until the bitter end.

\[ \sin \theta_4 = \frac{n_2}{n_1} \sin \theta_3 = \frac{n_2}{n_1} \sin 60 - \theta_2 \]

\[ \Rightarrow \sin \theta_4 = \frac{n_2}{n_1} \sin \left[ 60 - \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{n_1}{n_2} \sin \theta_1 \right) \right] \]

The deviation angle we want is just $a + b = \theta_1 + \theta_4 - 60$. We are given $\theta_1 = 50^\circ$, so we have $a + b = \theta_4 - 10$. Plug in the values of $n_2$ corresponding to red and violet light to find the deviation for red and violet light, noting $n_1 = 1$:
Finally, the angular dispersion is the difference in deviation angles between violet and red light.

\[
\text{dispersion} = (\text{dev. violet} - \text{dev. red}) = 53.17 - 48.55 = 4.62^\circ
\]

4. about 163 sec.

5. First thing you need to do on a problem like this: draw a little picture to figure out what’s going on. Really, it helps. Below is my attempt.

Now the problem is a bit more clear. The light from the bottom of the cistern goes up through the water, and at the water-air interface, is refracted away from the normal. Using the angle and distance definitions in the figure, we can first use geometry to find expressions for the two angles \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \):

\[
\tan \theta_1 = \frac{l}{x}
\]
\[
\tan \theta_2 = \frac{d/2}{h} = \frac{d}{2h} = \frac{2.9}{4}
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \theta_2 = 35.9^\circ
\]

Next, we can use the law of refraction to find another relation between the two angles \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \). The index of refraction of the liquid in the cistern is \( n_{\text{liquid}} = 1.5 \) and the index of refraction of air is \( n_{\text{air}} = 1 \):
\[ n_{\text{air}} \sin (90 - \theta_1) = n_{\text{liquid}} \sin \theta_2 \]

Now we can solve for \( \theta_1 \):

\[
\theta_1 = 90 - \sin^{-1} (n_{\text{liquid}} \sin \theta_2)
\]

\[
\theta_1 = 90 - 61.7^\circ
\]

\[
\theta_1 = 28.3^\circ
\]

Given \( \theta_1 \), we can readily find \( x \) using our very first equation:

\[
x = \frac{l}{\tan \theta_1}
\]

\[
= \frac{1.2 \text{ m}}{0.538}
\]

\[
= 2.23 \text{ m}
\]

6. In order for only red light to come out, we have to have the blue light totally internally reflected within the glass, but not the red. This is indeed possible for some range of angles, since the index of refraction for blue light is higher. Total internal reflection for blue light takes place when:

\[
n_{\text{blue}} \sin \theta_c = n_{\text{air}} \sin 90^\circ = 1 \quad \text{or}
\]

\[
\sin \theta_c = 1/n_{\text{blue}} = 1/1.52
\]

\[
\theta_c = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n_{\text{blue}}} \right) = \sin^{-1} 0.658
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \theta_c = 41.1^\circ
\]

Since this \( \theta_c \) is now also the same minimum angle of incidence for the red light, the minimum refracted angle \( \theta_r \) of red light is given by:

\[
n_{\text{red}} \sin \theta_c = n_{\text{air}} \sin \theta_r = \sin \theta_r
\]

\[
\sin \theta_c = 1/n_{\text{blue}} \quad \text{from above}
\]

\[
\sin \theta_r = n_{\text{red}} \cdot 1/n_{\text{blue}} = 1.50/1.52 = 0.987
\]

\[
\theta_r = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{n_{\text{red}}}{n_{\text{blue}}} \right) = \sin^{-1} 0.987
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \theta_r = 80.7^\circ
\]

One does not necessarily need the substitution \( \sin \theta_c = 1/n_{\text{blue}} \) above – in practice at that point you already know \( \theta_c \), so you can just calculate \( \sin \theta_c \) directly. It does make the result much more elegant and comprehensible though – one sees that the two angles calculated above are not really independent, but both simply determined by the refractive indices. So yes, there are style points in physics too.

7. There would be a lot of leeway given on this on sort of problem on an actual exam - a
bulletproof, strict geometrical proof would not be necessary to get most of the credit, so long as your logic is correct and you make a reasonable case. I’ll sketch how one may go about a proof below, just to give you an idea.

The two angles on the left side of the mirror labeled \( \theta \) are equal based on the law of reflection. Using wave optics, we can prove that this must be so, but you were given the law of reflection, and may take it as fact. The angle \( \theta \) on the right side of the mirror must also be the same, since it is an alternate interior angle of the lower left \( \theta \). You were given this much, and could assume that all the \( \theta \) angles are identical and start from there.

Now, the line \( \text{PQP'} \) connecting the tip of the image and object arrows is, by construction, perpendicular to the mirror itself, and therefore parallel to the horizontal line connecting the bases of the arrows as well. At this point, it is already obvious that \( h = h' \) in fact.

Given that \( \text{PQP'} \) and the mirror are perpendicular by construction, then \( \angle \text{PQR} \) and \( \angle \text{P'QR} \) are right angles. Further, since \( \text{PQP'} \) and the axis connecting the bases of the arrows are parallel, then the angle \( \theta \) at point R and \( \angle \text{PRQ} \) must sum to a right angle: \( \theta + \angle \text{PRQ} = 90 \). The same must be true for \( \angle \text{P'QR} \) and \( \theta \): \( \theta + \angle \text{P'QR} = 90 \). Therefore, \( \theta + \angle \text{PRQ} = \theta + \angle \text{P'QR} \) or \( \angle \text{PRQ} + \angle \text{P'QR} \).

Now consider the triangles \( \triangle \text{PQR} \) and \( \triangle \text{P'QR} \). These two triangles have two equivalent angles (\( \angle \text{PRQ} = \angle \text{P'QR} \) and \( \angle \text{PQR} = \angle \text{P'QR} \)) which bound a shared side (QR), and by the angle-side-angle (ASA) theorem, the two triangles are congruent. Therefore, \( h = h' \), the image height is the same as the object height, and \( \text{PQ} = \text{P'Q} \), the image is as far behind the mirror as the object is in front of it.
Mirrors

The behavior of reflected light within the ray approximation follows from one simple principle – the angle of incidence is equal to the angle of reflection. Everything else we need to know about reflected light just boils down to plane geometry – so far as the physics goes, reflection is from our point of view a solved problem! Nonetheless, we can use the law of reflection along with some carefully applied geometry to derive the behavior of reflected light for a number of important and often-encountered cases.

In this chapter, we will deal with the perfect reflection of light from mirrors. Given an object and a particular sort of mirror, we will learn how to deduce what the nature of the image formed by the mirror will be. If we can first learn how to do this for a single point source of light, we can then build up any more complicated object out many point sources. Our most important example mirrors will be a simple flat mirror, a convex spherical mirror, and a concave spherical mirror. In passing, we will also investigate other technologically important geometries, such as the parabolic reflectors used in satellite dishes.

More broadly, by treating the problem of reflection in various specific geometries, we will begin to learn about the projection, focusing, and manipulation of light. Combined with what we will learn about refraction in lenses in the next chapter, we will be able to understand in detail a great number of optical instruments, such as microscopes, telescopes, and projectors.

11.1 Flat Mirrors

The most simple reflecting object is just a flat mirror, as shown in Fig. [11.2] What happens if we take a point source of light at position $O$, a distance $p$ in front of the mirror? A point source of light is just what it sounds like – a single point from which light rays leave radially in straight lines. When the light rays exiting the source (blue) reach the surface of the mirror, we apply the law of reflection to determine where the reflected rays go (orange). Only a few of the rays leaving the source are drawn here.

11.1.1 Image formation

Some rays leaving the point source source are reflected off of the surface of the mirror, and reach an observer. The rays reflected off of the mirror in this case appear to come from a point $I$ behind
the mirror, if we extrapolate where these diverging rays appear to come from (dotted orange lines). Any time we have an intersection of light rays, or a point where light rays appear to originate from, an image of the object which was the source of the rays is formed. From the observer’s point of view, the rays reflected off of the source object at $O$ appear to come from a point $I$ behind the mirror, so we would say that the view sees an image of the object at point $I$, a distance $q$ behind the mirror.

Remember, for reflection and refraction, we have to be able to run the rays forwards or backwards and get the same result. If we trace the light rays from the object to the observers eyes, this is of course the real path the rays take. Tracing the orange rays backward through the mirror to find their point of convergence tells us where we would need a second point source to reproduce the image observed. All real and virtual light rays fall into two categories – ones that converge onto a point (either the image or the object), and ones that diverge.

**Image formation:**

Images are formed where light rays converge to a point (intersect), or where they appear to originate from.

If the original point source is a distance $p$ from the mirror, straightforward geometry tells us that the image distance $q$ must be the same, $p = q$. The image observed is exactly as far behind the mirror as the object is in front of it. The image in this case is what is known as a virtual image – light doesn’t actually pass through the point where the image is created, but only appears to come from that point. A real image is formed when light actually passes through some point. Real images can be projected onto a screen, for example, since they result from real light sources, while virtual images cannot (hence the term “virtual”).

---

1Since this is not a real light ray anyway, we do not worry about refraction in the glass making up the mirror. We further assume the mirrors to be negligibly thin in any case.
Virtual image: Light rays don’t actually pass through an image point, but appear to originate from there.

Real image: Light rays actually pass through a point. Only real images can be projected onto a screen.

Our flat mirror forms a virtual image, since the image an observer sees is behind the mirror, and does not result from real light rays coming from the point of the image. The virtual image is just where the actual object appears to be after the mirror reflects light rays coming from it. Images from flat mirrors are always virtual. Can we determine anything else about the image? Is the image of the same size and shape as the object? Can we more rigorously prove our assertion that \( p = q \). Sure. How do we deal with more complicated objects, as opposed to simple point sources?

### 11.1.2 Ray Diagrams

If we know how to handle single light rays and point sources, we can handle any more complicated object by building it out of point sources. We can consider any object to be made up of a series of points (or pixels, if you like), and trace the light rays from each point on the object. Usually it is not necessary to trace rays from every point on the object, it is enough to trace rays from a few crucial points and fill in the blanks by symmetry and common sense. As an example, consider the upright blue arrow in front of a flat mirror in Fig. 11.4. Our usual example object will be an arrow, since it is a simple shape that lets us easily determine whether images formed are inverted or magnified. As we shall see, another advantage is that all we need to do is trace out the rays from the very tip of the arrow, and the rest fills in naturally.

We place the arrow of height \( h \) at point \( P \), a distance \( p \) from the mirror. Simple geometric techniques will let us figure out exactly what the image is like. First, we trace a ray outward from the tip of the arrow which intersects the mirror at a perfect 90°, intersecting the mirror at point \( Q \). This ray will just be reflected right back – if the angle of incidence is 90°, then so must be the angle of reflection. For an observer sitting directly behind the object, this ray would appear to
come from behind the mirror, so we continue tracing a virtual ray (dotted orange line) behind the mirror.

Now, we need to trace at least one more ray to uniquely determine what the image looks like. We need to find an intersection of real or virtual rays in order to have an image, so we have to have at least two, and in general three is safer. For the second ray, we will trace a line from the tip of the arrow to a point on the mirror at the same vertical position as the bottom of the arrow. The use of two extremal rays gives us more confidence in the position of the resulting image – if two such extreme rays find an intersecting point, we are fairly sure we have found the image location. If we chose two rays at similar angles, small inaccuracies in our drawing become more important, and we have a harder time discerning the image position and size with any accuracy. Try tracing some ray diagrams for yourself, you will quickly find this to be true.

This second ray is reflected downward from point $R$ on the mirror at the same angle $\theta$ at which it impinges on the mirror. Extrapolating the reflected ray back through the mirror as a virtual ray (dotted orange line), we see that it converges with the first virtual ray at point $P'$. This point of convergence, then, must be the location of the image. Furthermore, since we are tracing out rays from the tip of the arrow, this must be the tip of the image’s arrow. Symmetry alone tells us that the image arrow must be upright, like the real one. If you are not convinced, trace out the same two types of rays from the bottom of the arrow, and you will see!

We have established, then, that the image is virtual, and upright (not inverted). What about its size? The virtual ray from $R$ to $P'$, $\overrightarrow{RP'}$ clearly must make an angle $\theta$ with the horizontal axis, since it is just a continuation of the reflected ray at point $R$. The lines $\overrightarrow{PQ}$ and $\overrightarrow{QP'}$ are horizontal, so the angles $\angle RPQ$ and $\angle RP'Q$ must also be $\theta$, since they are alternate interior angles to the $\theta$ drawn in the figure. The triangles $\triangle RPQ$ and $\triangle RP'Q$ must therefore be equivalent, since they share $\overrightarrow{RQ}$ as a side. If these two triangles are equivalent, it clear that $h = h'$, and $p = q$. Now we have proved our assertion that the image formed by an object placed in front of a flat mirror is as far behind the mirror as the object is in front of it. We have further proved that the image is the same size as the object. The images formed by flat mirrors faithfully reproduce objects.
11.1 Flat Mirrors

**Flat Mirrors:**
1. The image is as far behind the mirror as the object is in front of it.
2. The image is the same size as the object.
3. The image is upright and virtual.

### 11.1.3 Conventions for Ray Diagrams

For flat mirrors, we now know almost everything we need to. Other types of mirrors will not always give images that are the same size as the object, however, and will not always be the same distance away. If the image is not the same size as the object, we say that it is *magnified*. Magnified can mean either larger or smaller. The degree of magnification is nothing more than the ratio of the image height to the object height – how much larger or smaller is the image compared to the object?

**Lateral Magnification of a Mirror:**

\[
M \equiv \frac{\text{image height}}{\text{object height}} = \frac{h'}{h} \tag{11.1}
\]

where \(h\) is the object height and \(h'\) the image height. For a flat mirror, \(M = 1\).

For future convenience, we should also lay down some conventions for our ray diagrams. First, we will always treat the mirror as the ‘zero’ for our horizontal axis. Distance is positive in front of the mirror, and negative behind it. Real images are formed in front of the mirror, while virtual images are formed behind the mirror (since no light goes through the mirror). The distance from the mirror to the object will always be \(p\), the distance to the image always \(q\). The height of the image will be \(h\), the height of the object \(h'\).

**Conventions for Mirror Ray Diagrams:**

1. The distance between the object and the mirror is \(p\).
2. The distance between the image and the mirror is \(q\).
3. The object’s height is \(h\), the image’s height is \(h'\).
4. In front of the mirror, \(p\) and \(q\) are positive.
5. The front of the mirror is where real rays propagate, the back is where virtual rays are formed.
6. Behind the mirror, \(p\) and \(q\) are negative.
7. Real light rays are solid lines, virtual rays are dotted.

### 11.1.4 Handedness

Before we move on to different mirror geometries, one last word about mirrors and handedness. You may remember that we discussed the difference between left- and right-handed coordinate systems in Sect. 7.1.4. You already know of course that when you look in a mirror your sense of left and
right are reversed. If you wave your right hand in the mirror, the image seems to wave its left. Similarly, a mirror reflection is what relates left-handed and right-handed coordinate systems, or right-handed and left-handed corkscrews. Examine Fig. 11.5 and convince yourself once again that there is an intrinsic handedness or chirality to certain things. Only a mirror reflection can change a left-handed to a right-handed coordinate system, no number of simple rotations will do it.

11.2 Spherical Mirrors

Spherical mirrors are just what they sound like: the reflective surface has the shape of an arc of a circle. Spherical mirrors can be uniquely described by the radius of the circle $R$ making up the arc, and whether they are concave or convex. Concave mirrors are made by putting a reflective coating on the inside surface of the circle, while convex mirrors are made by putting a reflective coating on the outside surface of the circle.

11.2.1 Concave Mirrors

An example of a concave mirror is shown in Fig. 11.6a. The point $C$ is the center of curvature of the mirror (the center of the circular arc), and is a distance $R$ from any point on the mirror’s surface. The line drawn through the center of curvature $C$ and a point $V$ at the center of the arc defines the principle axis of the mirror. How do we figure out what images look like using such a mirror? Just like before, we trace light rays and apply the law of reflection and geometry.

Figure 11.5: Reflected images have reversed handedness. Clockwise, from upper left: a right-handed corkscrew becomes a left-handed one in reflection, a left hand becomes a right, and more generally a right-handed coordinate system transforms to a left-handed one.

Figure 11.6: (a) Reflection from a concave spherical mirror. The center of curvature $C$ is the center of the spherical arc of radius $R$ making up the mirror. The principle axis passes through the center of curvature as well as the middle of the mirror, $V$. (b) If we place an object $O$ anywhere on the principle axis farther away from the mirror than $C$, a real image is formed at $I$. If the distance from $O$ to the mirror is relatively large compared to $R$ (such that the rays come off of the principle axis at small angles), all rays reflect through the same point.
11.2 Spherical Mirrors

Figure 11.6b shows a point source \( O \) placed relatively far from a spherical mirror, outside the center of curvature. Rays leaving point \( O \) with a sufficiently small angle intersect the mirror, and are all reflected back through a common convergence point \( I \). The point \( I \) is the image point, and the convergence of rays indicates that an image will form there, as though there were a copy of the source at that point. Since real light rays are passing through the point \( I \), the image formed is real.

For spherical mirrors in particular, we will usually assume that the light rays from the source make a small angle with the principle axis. When this condition is met, all incident rays will reflect back through the image point. On the other hand, when some rays reaching the mirror make a relatively large angle with the principle axis – when the object is relatively close to the spherical mirror – this is no longer true, as shown in Fig. 11.7. When the object is too close to the mirror, some of the rays making a large angle with the principle axis no longer reflect back through the image point, and no single point of convergence exists. This means that the image formed is not clearly focused on one point, but spread out – the image is blurry. This phenomena is known as spherical aberration. It is quite important for, e.g., telescopes and cameras – since spherical shapes the easiest to produce, most lenses have spherical shapes and will suffer from this phenomena, as we will see in more detail in the following chapter.

If we ensure that the object is sufficiently far from the mirror to avoid spherical aberration, what will the image look like? Just like with flat mirrors, we will trace the rays coming from the tip of an arrow placed in front of the mirror, as shown in Fig. 11.8. Again the arrow of height \( h \) is placed a distance \( p \) from the mirror, at point \( O \). The center of curvature for the mirror is \( C \), and the center of the mirror is at \( V \).

First, we trace a ray from the tip of the arrow through the center of curvature at \( C \). Since the mirror is the arc of a circle, any line passing through the center of curvature must be normal to the surface of the arc – that is, it must intersect the surface of the arc at a 90° angle. Therefore, the ray drawn through the center of curvature reflects back along the same path. We will call the angle this ray makes with the principle axis \( \alpha \).

Next, we draw a second ray from the tip of the arrow through the center of the mirror at \( V \). This ray makes an angle \( \theta \) with the principle axis, and will reflect off the mirror at \( V \) with the same angle. This ray intersects the first at the point \( I \), and defines the tip of the image arrow. Since the intersection point lies below the principle axis, the image is inverted. Further, we can already see

\[ \text{Figure 11.7: Rays at large angles from the principle axis do not all reflect back to intersect the principle axis at the same point. As a result, when objects are too close to a spherical mirror, the image formed is “fuzzy” since the convergence of rays is now spread out. This effect is known as spherical aberration.} \]
that it is not the same size as the original arrow, so the image is also magnified. Finally, it is real light rays that are intersecting in front of the mirror, so the image formed is real.

**Concave spherical mirrors:**
Images are real, inverted, and magnified.

Still, it would be nice to know exactly how big the image is, and where it is. This much we can figure out with a bit of geometry. First, we can use the two $\theta$ angles and relate the object height $h$ and the image height $h'$. From the triangle formed by the object arrow and the uppermost ray:

$$\tan \theta = \frac{h}{p} \quad (11.2)$$

Similarly, from the triangle formed by the reflection of that ray and the image arrow:

$$\tan \theta = \frac{-h'}{q} \quad (11.3)$$

Note that since the image arrow points downward below the principle axis, the height of the image is negative. Some simple algebra yields the magnification of the mirror:

$$\tan \theta = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h'}{q} \quad (11.4)$$

$$\Rightarrow M = \frac{h'}{h} = -\frac{q}{p} \quad (11.5)$$
Magnification for a concave spherical mirror:

\[ M = \frac{h'}{h} = -\frac{q}{p} \]  

Here \( h \) is the height of the object, \( h' \) is the height of the image, \( p \) is the object distance, \( q \) is the image distance. Negative \( M \) means the image is inverted.

Assuming we know \( h \) and \( p \) to begin with, we still need one more equation in order to uniquely determine \( h' \) and \( q \), the height and position of the image. For that, we can use the \( \alpha \) angles. From the triangle defined by the left-most \( \alpha \) and the object,

\[ \tan \alpha = \frac{h}{p - R} \]  

(11.7)

Using the triangle defined by the right-most \( \alpha \) and the image,

\[ \tan \alpha = -\frac{h'}{R - q} \]  

(11.8)

We can now use the above equations for \( \tan \alpha \) along with Eq. 11.6 to find another useful equation relating \( p \) and \( q \) alone:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tan \alpha &= \frac{h}{p - R} = -\frac{h'}{R - q} \\
\frac{h'}{h} &= \frac{R - q}{p - R} = -\frac{q}{p} \quad \text{(using Eq. 11.6)} \\
p(R - q) &= q(p - R) \\
pR - pq &= qp - qR \\
pR + qR &= 2qp \\
R(p + q) &= 2qp \\
R &= \frac{qp}{p + q} = \frac{1}{\frac{q}{p} + \frac{1}{p}} \\
\frac{2}{R} &= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}
\end{align*}
\]

This last expression is known as the mirror equation, relates the image and object distances to the physical radius of curvature of the mirror alone. As we shall find out shortly, this equation is far more general than our simple derivation of it would imply. Coupled with the expression for magnification, we can now deduce the behavior of any object with any concave spherical mirror . . . so long as the object isn’t too close to the mirror.
Mirror equation:
\[
\frac{2}{R} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}
\]
where \(p\) is the object distance, \(q\) is the image distance, and \(R\) is the radius of curvature of the mirror.

### 11.2.1.1 Concave spherical mirrors and distant objects

We have already seen that forming sharp images from a concave spherical mirror requires the object to be relatively far from the mirror (at least outside the radius of curvature). What happens if the object is really, really far away? Say, far enough compared to \(R\) that \(p\) is essentially infinite? When the object is very, very far away, the incident rays are all very nearly parallel to the principle axis. For very distant sources, any small angle away from the principle axis will result in the rays diverging too far to hit the mirror, only those rays at tiny angles relative to the principle axis will hit the mirror. For all intents and purposes, we can assume all rays from a very distant object impinge on the mirror parallel to the principle axis, as shown in Fig. 11.9.

![Figure 11.9: For very distant objects (p—\(\infty\)), incident light rays are essentially parallel, and all reflect through the focal point of the mirror \(F\). For very distant objects, the image distance is \(q\approx f\approx R/2\), where \(f\) is the focal length of the mirror (the position of \(F\)).](image)

The mirror equation gives us yet more insight. If we let \(p\) tend toward infinity, then \(1/p\) tends toward zero. In this case, \(q \approx R/2\) – the image is formed exactly half way between the center of curvature and the mirror when the object is very far away compared to \(R\). In this special case of a distant object, all the incident rays converge at the same point \(F\) (Fig. [11.9]), which we call the focal point of the mirror. The focal length \(f\) of a mirror is just the distance between the mirror and the focal point on the principle axis where light from a distant object would converge. Put another way, it is the image distance \(q\) when we allow \(p\) to tend toward infinity. Thus, for our concave spherical mirror, \(f = \frac{R}{2}\).

Though the focal length and radius of curvature are simply related, it is the former that you will hear more often in optics. The focal length of a mirror is where light would focus if we had a point source infinitely far away, and is one way of comparing the properties of different mirrors (or lenses, as we shall see). Even though we can’t actually realize this situation, we can get far enough
away from a mirror to approximate it, and in fact, this is the regime in which we try to operate most optical instruments. If you have any experience with photography, you are no doubt already familiar with focal lengths. In any case: the focal length is a characteristic of a spherical mirror, just half its radius of curvature, and it allows us to re-write the mirror equation in an ostensibly more useful way:

\[
\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}
\]  

(11.10)

where \( p \) is the image distance, \( q \) is the object distance, and \( f \) is the focal length. For a concave spherical mirror, the focal length is half the radius of curvature, \( 2f = R \).

The fact that spherical mirrors focus all distant light onto a single point makes them potentially useful for, e.g., solar heating or focusing antennas. As we shall see in subsequent sections, however, there is a still more clever geometry which is much better for light harvesting applications.

11.2.2 Convex Spherical Mirrors

A convex spherical mirror is shown in Fig. 11.10, in which the outer surface of the spherical arc has a reflective coating. While a concave spherical mirror tends to focus distant light on to a single point, a convex spherical mirror tends to diverge incident rays. Nearly all incident rays on the surface of the convex spherical mirror diverge after reflection, as if they are coming from behind the mirror itself. Analyzing the image formed by this type of mirror is not much more difficult than the other cases we have dealt with, we just have to construct a ray diagram.

For the moment, two rays are enough to grasp the nature of image formation for a convex mirror. First, we draw a ray horizontally from the tip of our object arrow in Fig. 11.10. This ray is reflected upward away from the object and mirror. If we trace the reflected ray backward through the mirror, it intersects the principle axis exactly at the focal point of the mirror. Next, we draw a
ray from the tip of the arrow through the center of curvature of the mirror. In front of the mirror, it is a real ray, while in back of the mirror it is a virtual ray. The intersection of our two virtual rays behind the mirror gives the image location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Front</th>
<th>Back</th>
<th>Upright</th>
<th>Inverted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Object location</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image location</td>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal length</td>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object height</td>
<td>$h'$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image height</td>
<td>$h'$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$+$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>$M$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case, we can see that the image is upright, virtual, and magnified. What is the actual image position and magnification factor? As it turns out, if we work through the geometry, the same mirror equation is valid for convex spherical mirrors, if we keep in mind that $p$ and $q$ are negative when we are behind the mirror. In this particular case for convex spherical mirrors, $h$ and $h'$ are positive, $p$ is positive, and $q$ is negative. Table 11.1 is a reminder of the sign conventions we use for mirrors. Parenthetically, we note that the mirror equation also works for flat mirrors! The radius of curvature of a flat plane is infinite, and applying this to Eq. 11.9 readily gives $p = q$.

### 11.3 Ray Diagrams for Mirrors

So far, we have constructed ad hoc ray diagrams for the different mirrors under consideration. The ray diagrams are nothing more than graphical constructions to give us an overall impression of the image formed. We tried to choose rays that gave extremal cases, in the hopes that this would give a more accurate image. In fact, we can come up with a set of general rules for constructing a ray diagram for any simple mirror, so long as we know the object location and the mirror’s center of curvature. In the end, we need only three rays. So far we have used only two, and that has worked fine. In some sense the third ray is a ‘sanity check.’ With only two rays, it is almost certain that we will have an intersection somewhere, even if make some small mistakes in our ray tracing. The odds of a third ray spuriously intersecting the other two at the same point is tiny, so if all three rays intersect at the same point, we can be sure that our diagram is reasonably correct.

**How to construct ray diagrams:**
- **Ray 1** is drawn parallel to the principle axis, and reflects back through the focal point.
- **Ray 2** is drawn through the focal point, and reflects back parallel to the principle axis.
- **Ray 3** is drawn through the center of curvature, and reflects back on itself.
11.4 Parabolic Mirrors

In using these rules and analyzing different situations for spherical mirrors, we can make some generalizations to serve as rules-of-thumb:

Images from Spherical Mirrors:

1. Concave Mirrors (Fig. 11.11):
   (a) $p > R$: object outside center of curvature,
       gives a real, inverted, and reduced image
   (b) $R > p > f$: object outside focal point and inside center of curvature,
       gives a real, inverted, enlarged image
   (c) $p < f$: object inside focal length
       gives virtual, upright image

2. Convex Mirrors:
   (a) image is always virtual and upright

Figure 11.11: The type of image formed by a spherical mirror depends on the location of the object relative to the center of curvature and the focus of the mirror. For objects outside the center of curvature, the image is real, inverted, and reduced. For objects between the center of curvature and focus, the image is real, inverted, enlarged. For objects inside the focus, the images are virtual, upright, and enlarged.

Figure 11.12 shows these three rules applied to concave and convex spherical mirrors. The first rule just follows from our discussion of very distant rays incident on a spherical mirror – the definition of the focal point is the point at which rays parallel to the principle axis reflect through (virtual rays in the case of convex mirrors). The second rule follows in the same way. The third rule is essentially the definition of the radius of curvature – any line passing through the radius of curvature is incident normal on the surface of the mirror, and must reflect back on itself.

11.4 Parabolic Mirrors

Circular mirrors are just fine, but isn’t there something more efficient? Is there a shape of mirror we could make such that all distant rays are focused onto a single point, not just those close to the central axis? Indeed, there is just such a curve, and you are already familiar with it: the parabola. In fact, the parabola is unique in this regard. It is the only curve such that all incident parallel rays will be reflected and focused on to a single point, the focus of the parabola.

This is illustrated in Fig. 11.13. If a series of parallel rays is incident downward on the parabola, they will all converge at the focus $F$. Equivalently, since we can always run our ray diagrams
‘forward’ or ‘backward,’ a point source of light placed at $F$ will produce a parallel beam of light. Incidentally, this works in three dimensions too. A circular paraboloid, made by rotating a parabola about its axis, is the only 3D surface for which all rays parallel to a given ray pass through the same point after reflection by the surface. What good is this property? Well, this is how modern car headlights use a single bulb to produce a beam of light, and it is how satellite antennas (‘dishes’) manage to focus an extremely tiny amount of radiation into a usable signal. Make the parabola as large as possible, collecting radiation from as large an area as possible, and it all gets focused to a single point, enormously amplifying the intensity. The same principle is used for radio astronomy and solar ovens.

How does this work? Geometrically, a parabola is a conic section defined as the locus of points equidistant from a single point (the focus) and a straight line (the directrix). This is shown in Fig. 11.14 Without loss of generality, we will take the parabola centered on the origin of an $x−y$ coordinate system. Let the focus $F$ be at the point $(0, f)$, and the directrix be the line $y = −f$.

\[ \text{Figure 11.12: Ray diagrams for spherical mirrors. top left: An object outside the center of curvature for a concave spherical mirror. The image formed is real, inverted, and smaller. bottom left: An object inside the center of curvature of a concave spherical mirror gives a virtual image which is upright and larger than the object. above: A convex spherical mirror always gives an image which is upright, virtual, and smaller than the object.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 11.13: Focusing of light by a parabolic mirror. A distant light source providing incident rays which are parallel will be reflected by the parabola and focused onto a single point } F. \text{ Conversely, a point source located at the focus } F \text{ will produce a beam of parallel rays. Parabolic mirrors offer some advantages over spherical mirrors for focusing – the parallel rays can come at an angle to the parabola and still be focused, and spherical aberrations can be significantly reduced.} \]
This is still perfectly general - an arbitrary point and line, since we can make $f$ whatever we want. Our parabola is ‘between’ the focus and directrix.

Construct a line connecting $F$ with an arbitrary point $P(x_0, y_0)$ on the parabola, and a vertical line intersecting the directrix at point $D(x_0, -f)$. A parabola is, as stated above, geometrically defined as the locus of all points for which $FP = PD$. If we didn’t already know that, could we figure out what curve satisfies this relationship? We can, simply calculate the lengths $FP$ and $PD$ with the distance formula:

$$FP = PD$$

$$\sqrt{(x_0 - 0)^2 + (y_0 - f)^2} = \sqrt{(x_0 - x_0)^2 + (y_0 + f)^2}$$

$$x_0^2 + y_0^2 - 2fy_0 + f^2 = y_0^2 + 2fy_0 + f^2$$

$$x_0^2 = 4fy_0$$

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{4f}x_0^2$$

Lo and behold, the curve is a parabola. One can easily repeat this calculation for a parabola centered on an arbitrary point, the same conclusion holds: a parabola is the only curve for which all points are equidistant from a single line and a single point. For a parabola centered on $(x_0, y_0)$ symmetric about the $y$ axis (i.e., pointing upward or downward), one finds $(y - y_0) = \frac{1}{4f} (x - x_0)^2$.

**Figure 11.14:** left: Construction of a parabola. A parabola is the locus of points equidistant from the focus $F(0, f)$ and the directrix line $y = -f$. right: Any ray directed along the parabola’s axis of symmetry is reflected and passes through the focus.

So what? Now we can sketch a proof of the unique focal property of the parabola as well, using the second portion of Fig. 11.14. If we can prove that a tangent line to the parabola at point $P$ will make equal angles with $PF$ and $PD$, this is enough to prove the focal property. First, we must figure out how to construct a tangent to the parabola at any point.$^\text{ii}$

$^\text{ii}$Many of you probably realize how much easier this task would be with a bit of calculus - in fact, it is a trivial problem if we use calculus. The geometric problem is not trivial, but worth working through if for no other reason to emphasize the fact that parabolas are simple geometric constructions, not just abstract quadratic equations. In our studies of optics, good geometrical insight will serve you well.
By definition, triangle $\triangle FPD$ is isosceles - for a parabola, $\overline{PF}$ and $\overline{PD}$ are equal. Let point $T$ be the midpoint of the line connecting $F$ and $D$, $\overline{FD}$. Now the triangles $\triangle FPT$ and $\triangle TPD$ have two equal sides, since $\overline{FP} = \overline{PD}$ and by construction $\overline{FT} = \overline{TD}$. The perpendicular bisector $\overline{FD}$ divides the $x - y$ pane into two sections: all points which are nearer to $F$ than to $D$, and all points that are nearer to $D$ than to $F$. Except for point $P$, every point on the parabola itself lies closer to $F$ than to $D$ by virtue of being above the line $\overline{PT}$.

Let $B$ be any other point on the parabola, and $B'$ the point nearest to it lying on the directrix. The line segment $\overline{BB'}$ is the shortest possible segment connecting the point $B$ on the parabola to the directrix. The segment $\overline{BB'}$ must be vertical and perpendicular to the directrix for this to be true. By construction, then, $\overline{BB'} = \overline{FB} < \overline{BD}$ - a vertical line segment from $B$ to the directrix must be the same length as the line segment from $B$ to $F$. Since $\overline{BB'}$ is the shortest distance from $B$ to the directrix, it must be shorter than $\overline{BD}$. If this is true, then $\overline{PT}$ can not pass through $B$, or it would be closer to the directrix than the focus, a contradiction. Thus $P$ is the only point of intersection of the line $\overline{PT}$ and the parabola. Thus, $\overline{PT}$ must be tangent to the parabola at point $P$.

Whew! Now, if $\overline{PT}$ is tangent to the parabola at $P$, the angles $\angle FPT$ and $\angle TPD$ must be equal. Further, $\angle TPD$ is equal to angle $\angle D'PT'$. If we imagine $\overline{DP}$ to be a light ray incident on a parabolic surface reflected toward $F$, this establishes that the incident and reflected angles are equal. Since the point $P$ was completely arbitrary, this means that any incident vertical ray must be reflected through the focus $F$, and that any light originating at $F$ will be reflected as a vertical ray.

Other conic sections have reflective properties similar to the parabola. For instance, if a light source is placed at one focus of an ellipse, the rays will converge onto the other focus after being reflected. Any wave, including sound waves, may be substituted for light. A nice trick is to make an elliptically-shaped room, known as a ‘whispering gallery.’ If a sound is created at one focus - even a very quiet one - it will be heard clearly at the second focus. It is a dramatic demonstration. You can stand at one focus and whisper so quietly someone standing next to you cannot hear, and yet be clearly heard at the other focus. Some famous examples of rooms like this are listed in the Wikipedia: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Whispering_gallery](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Whispering_gallery).
11.5 Quick Questions

1. A concave makeup mirror has a focal length of 15 cm. If an object is placed 25 cm in front of the mirror, determine the signs of the focal length, object distance, and image distance.
   - □ +, −, +
   - □ +, −, −
   - □ +, +, −
   - □ +, +, +

2. An inverted image of an object is viewed on a screen from the side facing a converging lens. An opaque card is then introduced covering only the upper half of the lens. What happens to the image on the screen?
   - □ Half the image would disappear.
   - □ Half the image would disappear and be dimmer.
   - □ The entire image would appear and remain unchanged.
   - □ The entire image would appear, but would be dimmer.

3. A concave makeup mirror is designed so that a person 26 cm in front of it sees an upright image magnified by a factor of two. What is the radius of curvature of the mirror?
   - □ 1.04 m
   - □ 3.78 m
   - □ 0.52 m
   - □ 2.08 m
11.6 Problems

1. While looking at her image in a cosmetic mirror, Dina notes that her face is highly magnified when she is close to the mirror, but as she backs away from the mirror, her image first becomes blurry, then disappears when she is about 38.0 cm from the mirror, and then inverts when she is beyond 38.0 cm.

(a) What type of mirror does Dina have?
(b) What is the focal length of the mirror?
(c) What is the radius of curvature of the mirror?
11.7 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. +, +, +.

2. The entire image would appear, but would be dimmer.

3. 1.04 m.

11.8 Solutions to Problems

1. The fact that the image changes from upright to inverted immediately tells us that Dina has a concave spherical mirror. A convex mirror always gives an upright image, as does a flat mirror. The point at which the image (briefly) disappears and inverts is the focal length, so $f = 38$ cm. For spherical mirrors, we know that $f = 2R$, the radius of curvature is just twice as big: $R = 76$ cm.

Figure 11.11 may jog your memory a bit. Right at the focal point, when the image goes from upright and enlarged to inverted and enlarged, the image disappears.
Lenses

Figure 12.1: Spherical lenses can also be either concave or convex, and their surfaces are defined by the surfaces of two spheres. (a) Biconvex lenses are formed by the intersection of two spheres, and (b) biconcave lenses are formed by the region between two spheres. When $R_1 = R_2$, the lens is spherically symmetric.

Figure 12.2: There are a variety of common lens shapes, all essentially based on the intersection of two spheres or the space between two spheres. (a) Double convex, (b) plano-convex, (c) convex meniscus, (d) double concave, (e) plano-concave, (f) and concave meniscus lenses.
12.1 Quick Questions

1. An object is placed to the left of a converging lens. Which of the following statements are true and which are false?
   
   1. The image is always to the right of the lens
   2. The image can be upright or inverted
   3. The image is always smaller or the same size as the object

   □ 1 and 2 are true, 3 is true
   □ 2 and 3 are false, 1 is true
   □ 1 and 3 are false, 2 is true
   □ 2 and 3 are true, 1 is false

12.2 Problems
12.3 Solutions to Quick Questions

1. 1 and 3 are false, 2 is true.

12.4 Solutions to Problems
BamaLab

A low-cost system for introducing students to circuits and electrical properties

P. LeClair, Mike Wofsey, J. Kuykendall, and D. Whitcomb

The authors have developed a simple and inexpensive hands-on computerized tutorial aimed at introducing beginning students to basic circuits and electrical properties. The system is capable of a wide variety of electrical measurements, including $V(I)$ and $I(V)$ characteristics, voltage step function response (e.g., charging and discharging capacitors), and time-dependent behavior using a low-frequency oscilloscope. The hardware is based on an inexpensive USB-data acquisition device. Freely-available custom software developed by the authors provides numerous experiment modules, and is designed to be highly extensible. The project can be implemented for $< 200 per seat, and has recently been successfully utilized in an introductory general physics course at the University of Alabama.

A.1 Introduction and Motivation

Electronic devices have become ubiquitous in modern society. No matter how complex these devices, the electrical properties of their component materials and the basic principles behind them remain the same. It is becoming increasingly crucial that students have a detailed, hands-on understanding of the basic principles of electric circuits and the electronic properties of materials. More importantly, the proper training of the next generation of scientists and engineers compels us, as instructors, to introduce these concepts in a manner commensurate with what they will encounter in advanced laboratory courses and research settings. This represents a significant challenge in terms of overall cost and flexibility to address changing needs. In this article, we present an example system we believe meets these criteria at a minimum of cost, the details of which we make freely available.

Within many disciplines, students are exposed to the basic concepts of electronic devices and electrical properties of materials. However, providing students with a modern, hands-on approach to basic circuits and electrical property measurements resembling what they would find in a research laboratory is often lacking. We believe this is due in no small part to the depth and breadth of knowledge required and the significant cost involved in equipping a teaching laboratory with modern data-acquisition-based software and hardware. Not only is this a major gap in students’ education, it is a serious impediment in many cases to their introduction to laboratory or industrial research. Far too often, teaching labs seem hopelessly out of date for those of us working daily in related fields. Prior to this project, this was often the case for the authors, but cost alone prohibited a commercial solution to the problem. Our goal with the present system is to give students at least a glimpse of how electrical property measurements are performed in a modern research lab, at the minimum of cost.
Many physics courses do currently employ a computerized hands-on approach to electronics and electronic properties. Unfortunately, the cost can be prohibitive. Comparable systems to what we present here can cost thousands of dollars per seat. Further, proprietary commercial systems are rarely sufficiently open to extend or repair as instructional needs change – hardware is proprietary, software is closed-source, and electronic devices change rapidly. Finally, many commercial systems are not sufficiently transparent for students to grasp the inner-workings of the underlying software and hardware – in the end, closed systems are in danger of being ‘black boxes’ to the students, hiding many of the fundamental aspects of electrical property measurement. The present project aims to provide a completely open and low-cost solution for students to perform experiments similarly to how they are actually performed in research laboratories, and alleviate one barrier for promising students to begin research.

In the hopes of addressing some of the issues outlined above, the authors have developed a simple and inexpensive hands-on computerized tutorial aimed at introducing students to basic circuits and electrical properties of materials. Keeping hardware cost at a minimum, and freely distributing software will allow, we hope, rapid uptake of the system by others. The project provides a complete hands-on system for modern data-aquisition-based electrical transport measurements, for <$200/seat. The software to run the data acquisition hardware, laboratory procedures, complete hardware schematics, assembly instructions – everything needed to build the hardware and install the software – is freely available online. The hardware itself is based on the LabJack U3 data acquisition device ($90 with educational discount), augmented only by a few passive components and a single op-amp.

The software allows control over sourcing and measuring current and voltage, time-dependent behavior of RC circuits, and a simple oscilloscope. $I(V)$, $V(I)$, and $V(t)$ curves can be measured and saved to simple ASCII files for post-analysis. The hardware is designed to be as inexpensive as possible, transparent, and easily assembled; the software, easily installed and rapidly parsed. Currently, the prototype system is complete, and has been classroom tested (20 units for 48 students) in Spring 2007 in an introductory physics course.

In the spirit of keeping the system as simple as transparent as possible, as well as working within the hardware limitations inherent with our desire for minimal cost, we initially created a list of working assumptions to guide the effort. First, for an introductory laboratory class, we accept an accuracy of $5−10\%$ for teaching fundamental concepts. Second, components can be pre-selected to avoid hardware and software limitations – components available to the students will not fall outside the measurable range. Third, the system must be portable, simple, and easily reproducible by colleagues without access to technical support. Fourth, minimal cost and maximal simplicity override minor performance and accuracy gains. Finally, the system must be as far as possible ‘student-proof’ – so long as no external hardware is interfaced, the system must not be capable of destroying itself!
A.2 Hardware

A.2.1 LabJack U3

The heart of the system is the LabJack U3 USB-based data acquisition and control device. The U3 provides 16 software-configurable “flexible I/O” (FIO) terminals, which can be configured as digital input, digital output, and analog input, along with two timers, two counters, and four additional digital I/O connections. When configured as analog inputs, the FIOs provide 12-bit resolution (0–2.4V single-ended, ±2.4V differential). Analog input reads typically take 0.6–4.0 msec. One dedicated 8-bit analog output (DAC0, 0–5 V) is available, with a second analog output (DAC1) available depending on the software configuration. The U3 is USB driven and powered, requiring no external supply connection. The primary advantages of the U3 from our point of view are extremely low cost ($90, with educational discount; volume discounts available), flexibility, and an fairly open driver interface. The U3 has several limitations which must be taken into consideration, however, which are relatively minor and easily worked around.

One primary limitation of the LabJack U3 is that the analog inputs are pseudo-bipolar – essentially, one can only measure positive voltages. The 12-bit FIOs yield only \(\sim 1\) mV voltage resolution, limiting accuracy on voltage and current measurements. The FIOs also have a rather low input impedance (20 kΩ), making meter loading a potential problem. The refresh rate (20 msec) and output frequency cutoff (3 dB at 16 Hz), to an extent restrict time-dependent measurements. Output voltages are essentially limited to 3.6 V, and the fact that the U3 is USB-powered severely limits overall current draw.

Given these limitations, we employed a number of ‘workarounds.’ In particular, the low refresh rate, low input impedance, and output frequency cutoff require forethought in designing experiments, particularly where circuit time constants play a role. The simplest and most effective is carefully choosing the components for each laboratory ahead of time, such that the students will not immediately be aware of many limitations. When limitations are discovered, they can be used as an important pedagogical tool for further instruction. We have found that students readily understand and accept hardware limitations, so long they can be explained.

Other limitations are also not so serious on further reflection. The lack of true bipolar I/O requires manually reversing polarity and performing ‘positive’ and ‘negative’ measurements, which in some cases can provide an instructional advantage. For example, measuring the forward \(I(V)\) characteristic for a diode requires the student to carefully observe polarity, rather than being able to rely on simply changing the software parameters. The input voltage limitation is circumvented by the simple addition of a 2:1 voltage divider on voltage measuring inputs (see below), giving us a measurement range sufficient for most experiments.

The first four FIOs are configured (in software) as differential analog inputs, which are used for current and voltage measurements. The first analog output (DAC0) is used to drive a simple voltage-current converter for current sourcing, and the second analog output (DAC1) provides
A.2 Hardware

voltage sourcing. Figure A.1 shows the interfacing between the U3 and the I/O connections on the student boxes.

![Schematics of the voltage input, voltage output, and current input connections between the LabJack U3 and the laboratory system. A 2:1 voltage divider increases the voltage input range of the LabJack, current measurements are performed with a resistive shunt. Voltage is sourced directly from the DAC.](image)

A.2.2 Measuring Voltage

Input voltage is measured between the FIO1 and FIO0 terminals (Fig. A.1). This gives a rather limited input voltage range \((0 - 3.6 \text{ V})\), and we therefore connected the voltage input terminals \(\pm V_{\text{in}}\) on the student box through a simple 2:1 voltage divider to extend the measurement range.

A.2.3 Measuring Current

Naturally the U3 measures only voltages, necessitating the need for a current to voltage converter. A simple resistive shunt is sufficient for this purpose, and current measurements are performed with a shunt \((150 \Omega)\) between FIO3 and FIO2 analog inputs. So far as the students are concerned, this acts like a classic ammeter - it must be in series with the load. Nominally, this gives us a maximum measurable current of 24 mA (due to the maximum FIO input voltage), and a minimum resolvable current change of 6 \(\mu\text{A}\) (due to the FIO resolution). The output current of the student boxes is limited to \(\sim 10 \text{ mA}\), and the output voltage to \(\sim 5 \text{ V}\), thus for judicious choice of loads, the 0–24 mA input current limit does not present a serious obstacle. As mentioned above, we design laboratory procedures with limitation in mind, and limit the selection of loads the students may use to work within the hardware limitations.

Naturally, due to the rather large value of the shunt resistor, its non-negligible voltage drop must be taken into account when doing, e.g. \(I(V)\) characteristics. We take this as an opportunity to introduce the students to a true four-point measurement (see Fig. A.5) and working around non-ideal meters and sources. By recognizing the hardware limitations and making them explicit, the students quickly learn to work within them and understand proper four-point measurements.
A.2 Hardware

A.2.4 Sourcing Voltage

The voltage output simply uses the built-in analog output DAC1 referenced to ground (the first output, DAC0, is used for current output, see below). This limits the voltage range to 3.6 V, which again is adequate with careful component choice.

A.2.5 Sourcing Current

Sourcing current represented the most difficult challenge within the constraints decided upon. The U3, unfortunately, is not capable on its own of driving sufficient currents, necessitating an additional power supply. The primary factor above all others is minimum cost, which eliminates a great many far more elegant solutions. Portability was another prime issue in addition to cost and simplicity. Ideally, the system should not be tethered to a wall outlet, which precludes the use of separate ac supplies to drive active elements.

Figure A.2: Voltage-current converter circuit. The resistor \( R \) selects the ratio between the input voltage and the output current. For portability, the op-amp is powered from 2-9 V batteries.

For this reason, the programmable current output is a very simple battery-powered voltage-to-current converter, driven by batteries, as shown in Fig. A.2. The voltage-current converter essentially consists of one general-purpose op-amp, and one programming resistor. The op-amp itself is supplied with two 9 V batteries. We added a DPST switch to open-circuit the batteries when not in use, and battery test points on the outside of the project box. Anecdotally, we did not replace a single battery in the 20 units over the course of a semester.

The desired current level is programmed with the DAC0 output (0 – 3.6 V when using both analog outputs) on the U3, referenced to ground. The single programming resistor governs the ratio between input voltage and output current. In our case, \( R_{\text{prog}} = 310 \Omega \) yields 3.2 mA/V in, for a maximum of about 10 mA output. This circuit allows only unipolar output, but as the LabJack itself is only pseudobipolar this is not an additional limitation.
A.2.6 Finished Product

Figure A.3 shows a completed system, housed in an 8x6x3 in project box. The total estimated cost per completed box was $160. We added transparent plexiglass covers for the boxes (not included in cost estimate), in order to make the simplicity of the underlying hardware as transparent as possible to the students. The U3 is (upper center) held in place with Velcro to allow for rapid replacement. More curious students can easily recognize the voltage divider and current-measuring shunt resistor. Standard female ‘banana’ plugs are used for current and voltage input/output, while two female mini-banana plugs serve as battery test points. The USB control cable and battery switch are also visible in the Fig. A.3

Figure A.3: A finished student laboratory box.

A.3 Software

The software was developed entirely by one of the authors (P.L.), using the LabWindows/CVI development package from National Instruments. All of the software for this project, excepting the LabJack driver and its interface, has been made freely available online under the GNU General Public License. An emphasis has been made on simplicity of the user interface, and consistency across the modules as much as possible. For example, all measurement modules present identical parameter input fields and graphing capabilities as far as possible, and additional help is available through ‘tooltips’ at any time by right-clicking on elements within windows. A simple ASCII configuration file or a GUI interface within the software (“Settings” menu) allows field-tuning of hardware and software behavior by instructors (e.g., calibration factors, altering I/O settings). Most students appeared to find the software intuitive, with few questions regarding usage. A usability study is underway to fine-tune the user interface, as is a comparative study with more traditional approaches to the same laboratory procedures.

The simplicity and modularity is reflected in the underlying code as well – the infrastructure
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Figure A.4: Left: A screenshot of the main application window. Right: A screenshot of the software, showing the ‘multimeter’ panel. Current or voltage can be sourced or measured in any combination, both source and measurement are updated in real time. Active text areas at the bottom of the panel give the user instructions for the selected source and measurement.

allows new or modified experimental modules to be coded and implemented in a minimum amount of time. Thus, as new ideas develop they can be quickly realized. Extensive feedback was solicited from students at all levels, faculty, and a software usability expert. In order to facilitate uptake of the system, a “demo mode” is automatically entered when no hardware is present. Potential users can download the software, and explore the functionality of the system free of cost. All software functionality is present to ‘test-drive’ the system, with actual measurements replaced by randomly-generated numbers.

A.3.1 Multimeter

Different tutorial modules can be selected from the “main” application window, Fig. [A.4]. The first software module the students typically encounter is a ‘multimeter’ panel, Fig. [A.4] chosen from the ‘dc circuits’ menu. From this panel, the student can source current or voltage, and simultaneously measure current or voltage, in any combination. Currents from 0−10 mA can be sourced, and measured from 0−20 mA, while voltages can be sourced from 0−3.5 V, and measured from 0−7 V.

The multimeter panel is not meant to mimic the behavior of a hand-held multimeter precisely. Rather, its goal is to familiarize students with the basic practices of sourcing and measuring currents and voltages. When the multimeter module is selected from the “dc circuits” menu (Fig. [A.4]), the source and measurement regions of the module are blank until the user selects a source and measurement function. The two text areas at the bottom of the window give various instructions, which change as the user interacts with the module. Initially, they prompt the user to select a
source and measurement function. Once functionality has been chosen, the uppermost text area relates to the chosen source (e.g., reminding the user that the current source also has a switch), the lowermost to the chosen measurement. In the case shown in Fig. A.4 the user selected to source voltage, and measure current.

Selecting voltage sourcing activates a dial, on/off button, indicator LED, and numerical readout (middle left). The user can either dial in the current or type a number in the text box, and turn the source on or off with the labeled buttons. The ‘LED’ turns green when the source is active, red when it is off. The current can be changed in real-time (all sourcing and measuring is real-time, with \( \sim 50 \text{msec} \) update time).

Selecting current measurement activates a needle gauge, on/off button, indicator LED, and numerical readout (middle right). The on/off button starts and stops the readout, the status of which is also indicated by an ‘LED.’ Both the numerical readout and needle gauge read the current in real-time while active. Though strictly speaking only unipolar measurements are performed, the readout is bipolar to help the student troubleshoot incorrect wiring (polarity reversal). Further, this panel allows a quick ‘field calibration’ – e.g., by connecting the current input to the current output and electing to source and measure current. Similar behavior occurs when the user, e.g., sources voltage and measures current - the contents of the window reflect the chosen source and measurement.

Usually this panel is used for the very first dc circuits experiment, which simply has the students attempt to source and measure current and voltage for three types of components: resistors, diodes, and capacitors. This short activity gives the students an introduction to key electrical components and basic wiring concepts as well as an overview of the software they will utilize in later lab sessions. Subsequently, the multimeter panel can be used to measure the equivalent resistance for series and parallel resistors, and directly verify that the current and voltage, respectively, are the same for both resistors.

### A.3.2 Current vs. Voltage

The next level of complexity for the students is to perform current vs. voltage sweeps, \( I(V) \), or voltage vs. current sweeps, \( V(I) \). Both sweeps are supported, and the software functionality is essentially identical. Both types of sweeps are provided for two reasons: first, for non-linear components (e.g., diodes) the characteristics appear different to students at first sight, and secondly, a true four-terminal measurement is qualitatively different in each case. We limit our discussion to the \( I(V) \) functionality below.

The \( I(V) \) function is selected from the “dc circuits” menu on the main window (Fig. A.4). A screenshot of this panel is shown in Fig. A.5. The “Control” region of the window (upper right) asks the user to specify the start and end voltages, and how many steps to take in between. The output is unipolar, and limited from 0–3.5 V as discussed above (the user will be coerced if values outside this range are specified). Sweeps can run “up” or “down” as desired.
Once the desired values are chosen, clicking the blue “Start I(V)” button performs the measurement and updates the graph in real time. The ‘LED’ in the upper right corner when the measurement is active. At any time, the red “Halt” button can be pressed to immediately stop the measurement. After taking data, the two red crosshairs can be used to select a region of data, and the ”zoom” button will rescale the plot to show only that region. “Restore” will auto-scale the plot to its original state. Data will remain on the plot (and in memory) until the “Clear” button is pressed. The small white crosshair is a plot read-out, and when dragged to a data point, the “Data display” in the lower right will indicate the current, voltage, and resistance ($R = \frac{V}{I}$) at that data point. A text field in the lower left gives interactive status and instructions, and, as in any panel, ‘tooltips’ are available by right-clicking on objects within the panel.

Measuring $I(V)$ characteristics quickly introduces the students to proper four-terminal measurements and the effects of, e.g., finite wire and ammeter resistances. In order to perform a four-terminal measurement (Fig. A.5), the student must connect the current measurement in series with the load. Further, they must simultaneously measure the actual voltage drop on the device under test, as a non-negligible voltage drop occurs across the 150 Ω shunt resistor in our ‘ammeter.’

In any of the measurement panels (excepting the multimeter panel), any data currently on screen can be saved through the “File” menu. If multiple curves are taken without clearing the plot, all data currently on-screen is saved to the data file, not just the most recent data. The data itself is saved in a tab-delimited ASCII file, with a one-line text header for column labels, readily imported by e.g., Excel or OriginLab.

In one example, students performed $V(I)$ measurements for series and parallel resistor combinations, and performed linear regression to find the effective resistance. Once simple resistor
circuits have been mastered, students can be introduced to non-linear elements (such as diodes). In particular, light-emitting diodes are an excellent. Not only is non-linear behavior observed (and thus $I(V)$ and $V(I)$ on first sight appear to be different), students can clearly see the device light up only for a single voltage polarity. This also allows a brief introduction to semiconductor physics and non-linear regression. For example, comparing the threshold voltage for light-emitting diodes of various colors to the output wavelength (as measured with a diffraction grating), students were able to estimate Planck’s constant to within $\sim 10\%$.\textsuperscript{44}

A.3.3 Step Response

The next level of complexity is mastering $RC$ circuits and time-dependent phenomena. Figure\ A.6 shows a module which allows characterization of step function responses. In one case, the student observes the response of a circuit to a downward voltage step (from $V$ to 0), in the other case, the response to an upward step is observed (from 0 to $V$). This lets students monitor in real-time the charging and discharging of a parallel $RC$ circuit, for example.

Measuring the discharge curve of an $RC$ circuit, for example, a user-selected constant voltage is applied for a specified wait time, and subsequently (at $t=0$ on the plot) the voltage is reduced to zero. This functionality is selected by choosing “initially” from the “Apply Voltage” drop-down menu. The actual voltage on the resistor or capacitor can be monitored during this time, and the $RC$ time constant directly observed. Again, data can be easily exported for subsequent analysis, a good introduction to exponential and logarithmic behavior.

The charging curve can be just as easily measured by selecting “After Delay” from the pull-down menu.
menu. In this case, the measurement proceeds for the specified time with zero voltage, and at $t=0$ the specified voltage is applied. This allows one to observe the corresponding charging curve for an RC circuit, which is shown in Fig. A.6. Also shown is the step response itself, measured by simply connecting voltage output to input. Given the $20\,\text{k}\Omega$ input impedance of the LabJack FIOs, and the time resolution of $\sim 10\,\text{msec}$, components giving a fairly large time constant ($\gtrsim 0.1\,\text{sec}$) should be selected.

### A.3.4 Oscilloscope

The last module completed at the moment mimics the behavior of an oscilloscope and function generator. A variety of waveforms can be applied and plotted in real time, and the response of a circuit element plotted at the same time. The waveforms are generated in software, and limited by the refresh rate of the U3 DAC and its output filters. A dc offset voltage is necessary to keep the output voltage at or above ground at all times, due to the pseudobipolar nature of the LabJack U3. This offset is automatically included without user intervention. Square, triangle, sawtooth, and sinusoidal waveforms are currently supported and generated in software. In principle, arbitrarily complex waveforms can be added in software as needed. Figure A.7 shows the result of applying a sinusoidal voltage to a resistive circuit, and Fig. A.8 to a parallel $RC$ circuit. This allows direct observation of the phase-shifted response of a capacitor in an $RC$ circuit, and an introduction to the frequency-domain description of ac circuits. In more advanced classes, one can use, e.g., triangular or square waveforms to illustrate integrating and differentiating $RC$ circuits, or the effects of 'stray capacitance.'

Peak to peak amplitude can be specified, up to $4\,\text{V}$, and a ‘Response Gain’ can be used to magnify the on-screen signal for easier comparison (only ‘raw’ data is saved). Triggering is done in software after a specified number of cycles – the default is to trigger every 3 cycles, but this value is user configurable in the ‘Settings’ menu. Output frequency is limited primarily by the output filters on the LabJack DAC outputs ($3\,\text{dB}$ at $16\,\text{Hz}$), in practice $\lesssim 5\,\text{Hz}$ provides reasonable waveforms. Once again, by careful choice of components, this rather low frequency limitation need not be a problem. Induced voltages due to time-varying magnetic fields, and $RLC$ resonant behavior are rather inaccessible given the available frequency range, however.

### A.3.5 Example measurements

Figure A.8 shows two additional measurements performed with the present system. In the first case, the initial response to a sinusoidal excitation on a $2200\,\mu\text{F}$ capacitor in parallel with a $200\,\Omega$ resistor was measured. Clearly observable are the initial charging behavior of the capacitor, and its phase-shifted response. In the second case, the $I(V)$ characteristic for red, green, and yellow LEDs were measured, and the threshold voltages ($V_{th}$) extrapolated. Students measured the output wavelengths ($\lambda$) of the LEDs using a diffraction grating, and estimated Planck’s constant using the averaged values of $\frac{\hbar c}{\lambda} = eV_{th}$ for each LED. Though this is certainly a ‘rough’ experiment, $\sim 10\%$
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In the inaugural semester, seven roughly hour-long laboratory procedures were developed by P.L. for a non-calculus-based introductory physics course: a ‘components’ lab, introducing students to voltage and current sourcing with resistors, capacitors, and diodes; a ‘resistors’ lab involving the measurement of $I(V)$ characteristics for series and parallel resistors to find equivalent resistances; a ‘sourcing’ lab comparing true four-terminal resistance measurements with voltage and current sourcing ($I(V)$ and $V(I)$ characteristics of resistors and diodes); an ‘rc circuits’ lab, measuring the charging/discharging characteristics of $RC$ circuits to find time constants; an ‘ac circuits’ lab, measuring the phase-shifted response of a capacitor in real-time; and a procedure to measure Planck’s constant using LEDs of various colors (described above).

Future modules in development include magnetic field mapping using a simple and inexpensive Giant Magnetoresistive field sensor powered and measured with the existing hardware, basic optoelectronics using photocells and light-emitting diodes, and temperature-dependent resistivity using liquid nitrogen and a thermocouple temperature sensor. We believe that the flexibility of the hardware and modularity of the software will allow a wide variety of additional modules to be developed.

We do not envision that the present apparatus will completely replace traditional experiments.
using, e.g., function generators and oscilloscopes – these are instruments with which students must be familiarized. In particular, higher frequency phenomena and resonance behavior are essentially inaccessible with the current hardware, as are most induction phenomena. We envision the present system as a way to augment existing courses and add flexibility, particularly in introductory electricity and magnetism courses where simple transparent experiments are often lacking. In particular, we hope this system can be a viable alternative where laboratory budgets are severely limited, or where funds could be better spent on other laboratory endeavors. Further, we have made every effort to make the system as open as possible, such that even more industrious students could reproduce the hardware and software if they desire. The authors are happy to assist anyone interested in implementing the system outlined here.
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Boundary Conditions for the Fields

From Gauss’ laws, we can actually come up with some general rules about how \( \vec{E} \) and \( \vec{B} \) fields have to behave when going from one material to another. Recall from Section 7.2.1:

**Gauss’ laws:**

- The electric flux \( \Phi_E \) through any closed surface is equal to the net charge inside the surface, \( Q_{\text{inside}} \), divided by \( \epsilon_0 \):
  \[
  \Phi_{E,\text{closed surface}} = \frac{Q_{\text{inside}}}{\epsilon_0}
  \]
  (B.1)

- The magnetic flux \( \Phi_M \) through any closed surface is zero:
  \[
  \Phi_{B,\text{closed surface}} = 0
  \]
  (B.2)

A mathematical proof of the boundary conditions on \( \vec{E} \) and \( \vec{B} \) implied by Gauss’ laws (and a few other things) are beyond the scope of this course. We can still use the results, however.

### B.1 Boundary conditions for electric fields

We will consider an electric field present in a region of space that has two different materials in it, 1 and 2, which are next to each other. What happens when the electric field passes from material 1 into material 2 (or vice versa)?

We know that for any surface enclosing a volume (“closed surface”), the net difference between field lines entering and leaving must be proportional to the number of charges inside. If no charges are located inside the volume, the number of field lines entering and leaving the surface is the same - all field lines entering from outside the volume come back out again. If positive charges are located inside the volume, then there are a net number of field lines leaving the surface. This is just Gauss’ law.

More specifically, now we will consider the *parallel and perpendicular components* of the electric field lines leaving the surface. For any tiny patch of area on the surface, small enough that it is nearly flat, we define a direction perpendicular to the surface (a “surface normal”). The component of the electric field in this direction is the “normal” component of the field through the surface, and the components of the field perpendicular to this direction are the “tangential” components (because these components are tangent lines to the surface).

From Gauss’ law, we can show that the *normal* components of the electric field have to obey the following rule:

Example problems are coming in the next revision.
B.1 Boundary conditions for electric fields

Normal components of $\vec{E}$ through a surface:

$$E^{(2)}_\perp - E^{(1)}_\perp = \frac{\sigma_{\text{surface}}}{\epsilon_0} = \frac{Q_{\text{surface}}/A_{\text{surface}}}{\epsilon_0} \quad (B.3)$$

The difference in the normal (perpendicular) components of the electric field when crossing a surface gives the surface charge density - the number of charges per unit area on the surface between the materials. What this implies is that if we have two different materials stuck together, and apply an electric field perpendicular to the interface between the materials, we will build up a charge on the interface. This effect is crucial in semiconductor electronics, for example.

What about the components of the electric field parallel (tangential) to the surface? This is a bit more complex, but still useful. First, the result:

Tangential components of $\vec{E}$ through a surface:

$$E^{(2)}_\parallel - E^{(1)}_\parallel = 0 \quad (B.4)$$

The parallel components of $\vec{E}$ are conserved (do not change) when we cross the boundary. As it turns out, this is just another expression of the fact that single magnetic charges do not exist, though proving that is beyond our present discussion. It is also an expression of the fact that $\vec{E}$ fields tend not to circulate in the way that $\vec{B}$ fields do.

What do the parallel components of $\vec{E}$ really mean? If the difference in parallel components of $\vec{E}$ were not zero, what does that mean? It means that if we draw an arbitrary closed path on our surface, and add up the tangential components of $\vec{E}$ at every point on the path, we would get a non-zero answer, and have a net rotation of $\vec{E}$. A net rotation of $\vec{E}$ would mean that around a closed loop, we would have a net electric field. If that is true, then the work done in going around the closed path would not be zero! We know that cannot be true for electric fields. If this is true for an arbitrary closed path, it is true all over the surface. So the difference in tangential components on a surface must be zero for $\vec{E}$.

Also: if the circulation of $\vec{E}$ were not zero, it would mean electric field lines would close back on themselves - and we know this is not true either. What is important, though, is that we can determine the change in electric field components when we move from one material to another.

B.1.1 Example: a charged conducting sphere

Take a charged conducting sphere of radius $r$, with a total charge $Q$ sitting in vacuum. Since it is conducting, all the charge resides on the surface. The surface area of a sphere is $4\pi r^2$, so $\sigma_{\text{surface}} = Q/(4\pi r^2)$. From this we know that the difference in the components of the electric field
perpendicular to the sphere’s surface must be:

\[ E_{\perp}^{(2)} - E_{\perp}^{(1)} = \frac{Q_{\text{surface}}/A_{\text{surface}}}{\epsilon_0} = \frac{Q}{4\epsilon_0 \pi r^2} \] (B.5)

We also know that the field inside a conducting sphere is zero, so \( E_{\perp}^{(1)} = 0 \). The net result of all of this is:

\[ E_{\perp}^{(2)} = \frac{Q}{4\epsilon_0 \pi r^2} \] (B.6)

What we have now shown, from boundary conditions alone we have proven that a charged sphere looks just like a point charge when viewed from outside the sphere!

We also know that the electric field is always oriented perpendicularly to the surface of a conductor - the parallel component at any point on the surface is zero. Therefore the sum of the parallel components is zero as well, and the field lines must be radially symmetric.

### B.2 Boundary conditions for magnetic fields

The normal component of a magnetic field is easy. You can imagine that the reasoning used is the same as for the normal components of electric fields. Since there are no single magnetic charges, however, we can’t have a surface charge, and the difference in normal components must be zero:

**Normal components of \( \vec{B} \) through a surface:**

\[ B_{\perp}^{(2)} - B_{\perp}^{(1)} = 0 \] (B.7)

So the normal components of \( \vec{B} \) through a surface are conserved, i.e., the perpendicular component of \( \vec{B} \) cannot change when passing from one material to another. This is a good thing, or electromagnets (Section [7.4.2]) wouldn’t work at all! We wouldn’t get any gain in magnetic field by using a high permeability core material if this were not true.

The parallel components of \( \vec{B} \) are related to the current on the surface. If the difference in parallel components of \( \vec{B} \) is not zero, what does that mean? It means that if we draw a circle on the surface, and add up the tangential components of \( \vec{B} \) at every point on the circle, we get a non-zero answer, and have a net *rotation* of \( \vec{B} \).

What do the parallel components of \( \vec{B} \) really mean? Unlike the case of electric fields, the difference in parallel components of \( \vec{B} \) are not zero. That means that if we draw an arbitrary closed path on our surface, and add up the tangential components at every point, we do get a non-zero answer. In other words, \( \vec{B} \) has a tendency to rotate or circulate on a surface. Since that is true, then the work done in going around the closed path is not zero! Magnetic forces are fundamentally
nonconservative, unlike electric or gravitational forces. This is a bad thing for generators, motors, or transformers, which all operate in some way by cycling a magnetic field back and forth!

We already know that there is a tendency for “circulation” of $\vec{B}$ whenever we have a current present - the magnetic field from a long, straight wire circulates around the wire, for example. As it turns out, the tangential components of $\vec{B}$ are just related to the current flowing across the surface per unit area:

\[
\vec{B}_{\parallel}^{(2)} - \vec{B}_{\parallel}^{(1)} = \frac{I_{\text{surface}}}{A_{\text{surface}}} \equiv J_{\text{surface}} \quad (B.8)
\]

Here $J$ is the current density, or current per unit cross-sectional area.
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