Curvilinear Coordinates

Note that we use the convention that the cartesian unit vectors are \( \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \) and \( \hat{z} \), rather than \( \hat{i}, \hat{j}, \) and \( \hat{k} \), using the substitutions \( \hat{x} = \hat{i}, \hat{y} = \hat{j}, \) and \( \hat{z} = \hat{k} \).

Definition of coordinates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cartesian ((x, y, z))</th>
<th>Cylindrical ((R, \varphi, z))</th>
<th>Spherical ((r, \theta, \varphi))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} )</td>
<td>( x = R \cos \varphi )</td>
<td>( x = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varphi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) )</td>
<td>( y = R \sin \varphi )</td>
<td>( y = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( z = z )</td>
<td>( z = z )</td>
<td>( z = r \cos \theta )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \]
\[ \theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{z} \right) \]
\[ \varphi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) \]

**Table 1:** Relationships between coordinates in different systems.†


Definition of unit vectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cartesian ((x, y, z))</th>
<th>Cylindrical ((R, \varphi, z))</th>
<th>Spherical ((r, \theta, \varphi))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{x}{R} \hat{x} + \frac{y}{R} \hat{y} )</td>
<td>( \hat{x} = \cos \varphi \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \sin \varphi \hat{\mathbf{\varphi}} )</td>
<td>( \hat{x} = \sin \theta \cos \varphi \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \cos \theta \cos \varphi \hat{\mathbf{\theta}} - \sin \varphi \hat{\mathbf{\varphi}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{\varphi} = -\frac{y}{R} \hat{x} + \frac{x}{R} \hat{y} )</td>
<td>( \hat{y} = \sin \varphi \hat{\mathbf{R}} + \cos \varphi \hat{\mathbf{\varphi}} )</td>
<td>( \hat{y} = \sin \theta \sin \varphi \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \cos \theta \sin \varphi \hat{\mathbf{\theta}} + \cos \varphi \hat{\mathbf{\varphi}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{z} = \hat{z} )</td>
<td>( \hat{z} = \hat{z} )</td>
<td>( \hat{z} = \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{r}} - \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{\theta}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{r} (x \hat{x} + y \hat{y} + z \hat{z}) \]
\[ \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{r \hat{R}} (xz \hat{x} + yz \hat{y} - R^2 \hat{z}) \]
\[ \hat{\varphi} = \frac{1}{R} (-y \hat{x} + x \hat{y}) \]
Line, surface, and volume elements in different coordinate systems.

**Cartesian**

\[
d\mathbf{l} = \hat{x} \, dx + \hat{y} \, dy + \hat{z} \, dz \\
d\mathbf{S} = \begin{cases} \\
\hat{x} \, dy \, dz & \text{yz-plane} \\
\hat{y} \, dx \, dz & \text{xz-plane} \\
\hat{z} \, dx \, dy & \text{xy-plane} \\
\end{cases} \\
dV = dx \, dy \, dz
\]

**Cylindrical**

\[
d\mathbf{l} = \hat{R} \, dR + \hat{\varphi} \, R \, d\varphi + \hat{z} \, dz \\
d\mathbf{S} = \begin{cases} \\
\hat{R} \, R \, d\varphi \, dz & \text{curved-surface} \\
\hat{\varphi} \, dR \, dz & \text{meridional-plane} \\
\hat{z} \, R \, dR \, d\varphi & \text{top/bottom-plane} \\
\end{cases} \\
dV = R \, dR \, d\varphi \, dz
\]

**Spherical**

\[
d\mathbf{l} = \hat{r} \, dr + \hat{\theta} \, r \, \sin \theta \, d\theta + \hat{\varphi} \, r \, d\varphi \\
d\mathbf{S} = \begin{cases} \\
\hat{r} \, r^2 \, \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\varphi & \text{curved-surface} \\
\hat{\theta} \, r \, \sin \theta \, dr \, d\varphi & \text{meridional-plane} \\
\hat{\varphi} \, r \, dr \, d\theta & \text{xy-plane} \\
\end{cases} \\
dV = r^2 \, \sin \theta \, dr \, d\theta \, d\varphi
\]
Dot products between fundamental unit vectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cartesian</th>
<th>Cylindrical</th>
<th>Spherical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{\hat{x}} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{\hat{y}} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{\hat{z}} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{\hat{r}} )</td>
<td>sin ( \theta ) cos ( \phi )</td>
<td>cos ( \theta ) cos ( \phi )</td>
<td>-sin ( \phi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{\hat{\theta}} )</td>
<td>cos ( \theta ) sin ( \phi )</td>
<td>cos ( \theta ) sin ( \phi )</td>
<td>cos ( \phi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{\hat{\varphi}} )</td>
<td>-sin ( \phi )</td>
<td>cos ( \phi )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{\hat{\Phi}} )</td>
<td>cos ( \varphi )</td>
<td>sin ( \varphi )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{\hat{\Phi}} )</td>
<td>-sin ( \varphi )</td>
<td>cos ( \varphi )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{\hat{z}} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scalar products

Say you have two vectors, \( \mathbf{\vec{a}} \) and \( \mathbf{\vec{b}} \):

\[
\mathbf{\vec{a}} = a_x \mathbf{\hat{x}} + a_y \mathbf{\hat{y}} + a_z \mathbf{\hat{z}}
\]

\[
\mathbf{\vec{b}} = b_x \mathbf{\hat{x}} + b_y \mathbf{\hat{y}} + b_z \mathbf{\hat{z}}
\]

The ‘dot’ or scalar product of these two vectors results in a scalar, or just a number. We find this number by multiplying like components:

\[
\mathbf{\vec{a}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{b}} = a_x b_x + a_y b_y + a_z b_z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i
\]

where the latter sum runs over the number of dimensions in your problem (so from 1 to 3 for a normal 3-dimensional problem). The magnitude one of these vectors is simply related to the dot product:

\[
|\mathbf{\vec{a}}| = \sqrt{\mathbf{\vec{a}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{a}}} = \sqrt{a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2}
\]

This gives us a geometric interpretation of the dot product: it is the length of a square of side \( \mathbf{\vec{a}} \). We can also write the scalar product in terms of vector magnitudes, and the angle between \( \mathbf{\vec{a}} \) and \( \mathbf{\vec{b}} \):

\[
\mathbf{\vec{a}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{b}} = |\mathbf{\vec{a}}||\mathbf{\vec{b}}| \cos \theta
\]
Put another way, given two vectors, the angle between them can be found readily:

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}}{||\vec{a}||||\vec{b}||}\right)$$

Of course, this implies that if $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{b}$ are orthogonal (at right angles), their dot product is zero:

$$\text{if } \vec{a} \perp \vec{b}, \text{ then } \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = 0$$

Moreover, two vectors are orthogonal (perpendicular) if and only if their dot product is zero, and they have non-zero length, providing a simple way to test for orthogonality. A few other properties are tabulated below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = \vec{b} \cdot \vec{a}$</td>
<td>commutative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} + \vec{c}) = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}$</td>
<td>distributive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\vec{a} \cdot (r\vec{b} + \vec{c}) = r(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) + r(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c})$</td>
<td>bilinear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_1\vec{a}) \cdot (c_2\vec{b}) = (c_1c_2)(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})$</td>
<td>multiplication by scalars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if $\vec{a} \perp \vec{b}$, then $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = 0$</td>
<td>orthogonality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vector products

The ‘cross’ or vector product between these two vectors results in a pseudovector, also known as an ‘axial vector.’ An easy way to remember how to calculate the cross product of these two vectors, $\vec{c} = \vec{a} \times \vec{b}$, is to take the determinant of the following matrix:

$$\begin{vmatrix}
\hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\
a_x & a_y & a_z \\
b_x & b_y & b_z
\end{vmatrix}$$

Or, explicitly:

> Pseudovectors act just like real vectors, except they gain a sign change under improper rotation. See for example, the Wikipedia page “Pseudovector.” An improper rotation is an inversion followed by a normal (proper) rotation, just what we are doing when we switch between right- and left-handed coordinate systems. A proper rotation has no inversion step, just rotation.
\[ \vec{c} = \det \begin{vmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ a_x & a_y & a_z \\ b_x & b_y & b_z \end{vmatrix} = (a_y b_x - a_x b_y) \hat{x} + (a_z b_x - a_x b_z) \hat{y} + (a_x b_y - a_y b_x) \hat{z} \]

Note then that the magnitude of the cross product is

\[ |\vec{a} \times \vec{b}| = |\vec{a}| |\vec{b}| \sin \theta \]

where \( \theta \) is the smallest angle between \( \vec{a} \) and \( \vec{b} \). Geometrically, the cross product is the (signed) volume of a parallelepiped defined by the three vectors given. The pseudovector \( \vec{c} \) resulting from a cross product of \( \vec{a} \) and \( \vec{b} \) is perpendicular to the plane formed by \( \vec{a} \) and \( \vec{b} \), with a direction given by the right-hand rule:

\[ \vec{a} \times \vec{b} = ab \sin \theta \hat{n} \]

where \( \hat{n} \) is a unit vector perpendicular to the plane containing \( \vec{a} \) and \( \vec{b} \). Note of course that if \( \vec{a} \) and \( \vec{b} \) are collinear (i.e., the angle between them is either 0° or 180°), the cross product is zero.

**Right-hand rule**
1. Point the fingers of your right hand along the direction of \( \vec{a} \).
2. Point your thumb in the direction of \( \vec{b} \).
3. The pseudovector \( \vec{c} = \vec{a} \times \vec{b} \) points out from the back of your hand.

The cross product is also *anticommutative*, distributive over addition, and has numerous other algebraic properties:

Finally, note that the unit vectors in a orthogonal coordinate system follow a cyclical permutation:

\[ \hat{x} \times \hat{y} = \hat{z} \]
\[ \hat{y} \times \hat{z} = \hat{x} \]
\[ \hat{z} \times \hat{x} = \hat{y} \]

**Example: Solving systems of linear equations**

Say we have three equations and three unknowns, and we are left with the pesky problem of solving them. There are many ways to do this, we will illustrate two of them. Take, for example, three equations
Table 3: Algebraic properties of the vector product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>formula</th>
<th>relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \vec{a} \times \vec{b} = -\vec{b} \times \vec{a} )</td>
<td>anticommutative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \vec{a} \times (\vec{b} + \vec{c}) )</td>
<td>distributive over addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (r\vec{a}) \times \vec{b} = r(\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) )</td>
<td>compatible with scalar multiplication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) + \vec{b} \times (\vec{c} \times \vec{a}) + \vec{c} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) = 0 )</td>
<td>not associative; obeys Jacobi identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = \vec{b}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) - \vec{c}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) )</td>
<td>triple vector product expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) \times \vec{c} = -\vec{c} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) = -\vec{a}(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{c}) + \vec{b}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) )</td>
<td>triple vector product expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = \vec{b} \cdot (\vec{c} \times \vec{a}) = \vec{c} \cdot (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) )</td>
<td>triple scalar product expansion†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(</td>
<td>\vec{a} \times \vec{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if ( \vec{a} \times \vec{b} = \vec{a} \times \vec{c} ) then ( \vec{b} = \vec{c} ) if ( \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{c} )</td>
<td>lack of cancellation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Note that the parentheses may be omitted without causing ambiguity, since the dot product cannot be evaluated first. If it were, it would leave the cross product of a vector and a scalar, which is not defined.

That result from applying Kirchhoff’s rules to a particular multiple loop dc circuit:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_1 - I_2 - I_3 &= 0 \\
R_1 I_1 + R_3 I_3 &= V_1 \\
R_2 I_2 - R_3 I_3 &= -V_2 
\end{align*}
\]

The first way we can proceed is by substituting the first equation into the second:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_1 &= R_1 I_1 + R_3 I_3 = R_1 (I_2 + I_3) + R_3 I_3 = R_1 I_2 + (R_1 + R_3) I_3 \\
\implies V_1 &= R_1 I_2 + (R_1 + R_3) I_3 
\end{align*}
\]

Now our three equations look like this:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_1 - I_2 - I_3 &= 0 \\
R_1 I_2 + (R_1 + R_3) I_3 &= V_1 \\
R_2 I_2 - R_3 I_3 &= -V_2 
\end{align*}
\]

The last two equations now contain only \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \), so we can solve the third equation for \( I_2 \) ...

\[
I_2 = \frac{I_3 R_3 - V_2}{R_2}
\]
... and plug it in to the second one:

\[
V_1 = R_1 I_2 + (R_1 + R_3) I_3 = R_1 \left( \frac{I_3 R_3 - V_2}{R_2} \right) + (R_1 + R_3) I_3
\]

\[
V_1 + \frac{V_2 R_1}{R_3} - \left( R_1 + R_3 + \frac{R_1 R_3}{R_2} \right) I_3 = 0
\]

\[
I_3 = \frac{V_1 + \frac{V_2 R_1}{R_3}}{R_1 + R_3 + \frac{R_1 R_3}{R_2}}
\]

\[
I_3 = \frac{V_1 R_2 + V_2 R_1}{R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3}
\]

Now that you know \( I_3 \), you can plug it in the expression for \( I_2 \) above.

What is the second way to solve this? We can start with our original equations, but in a different order:

\[
I_1 - I_2 - I_3 = 0
\]

\[
R_2 I_2 - R_3 I_3 = -V_2
\]

\[
R_1 I_1 + R_3 I_3 = V_1
\]

The trick we want to use is formally known as ‘Gaussian elimination,’ but it just involves adding these three equations together in different ways to eliminate terms. First, take the first equation above, multiply it by \(-R_1\), and add it to the third:

\[
[-R_1 I_1 + R_1 I_2 + R_1 I_3] = 0
\]

\[
+ R_1 I_1 + R_3 I_3 = V_1
\]

\[
\implies R_1 I_2 + (R_1 + R_3) I_3 = V_1
\]

Now take the second equation, multiply it by \(-R_1/R_2\), and add it to the new equation above:

\[
-\frac{R_1}{R_2} [R_2 I_2 - R_3 I_3] = -\frac{R_1}{R_2} [-V_2]
\]

\[
+ \quad R_1 I_2 + (R_1 + R_3) I_3 = V_1
\]

\[
\implies \left( \frac{R_1 R_3}{R_2} + R_1 + R_3 \right) I_3 = \frac{R_1}{R_2} V_2 + V_1
\]

Now the resulting equation has only \( I_3 \) in it. Solve this for \( I_3 \), and proceed as above.
Optional: There is one more way to solve this set of equations using matrices and Cramer’s rule if you are familiar with this technique. If you are not familiar with matrices, you can skip to the next problem - you are not required or necessarily expected to know how to do this. First, write the three equations in matrix form:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R_1 & 0 & R_3 \\
0 & R_2 & -R_3 \\
1 & -1 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
I_1 \\
I_2 \\
I_3
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
V_1 \\
-V_2 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[aI = V\]

The matrix \(a\) times the column vector \(I\) gives the column vector \(V\), and we can use the determinant of the matrix \(a\) with Cramer’s rule to find the currents. For each current, we construct a new matrix, which is the same as the matrix \(a\) except that the the corresponding column is replaced the column vector \(V\). Thus, for \(I_1\), we replace column 1 in \(a\) with \(V\), and for \(I_2\), we replace column 2 in \(a\) with \(V\). We find the current then by taking the new matrix, calculating its determinant, and dividing that by the determinant of \(a\). Below, we have highlighted the columns in \(a\) which have been replaced to make this more clear:

\[
I_1 = \frac{\begin{vmatrix}
V_1 & 0 & R_3 \\
-V_2 & R_2 & -R_3 \\
0 & -1 & -1
\end{vmatrix}}{\det a}
\]

\[
I_2 = \frac{\begin{vmatrix}
R_1 & V_1 & R_3 \\
0 & -V_2 & -R_3 \\
1 & 0 & -1
\end{vmatrix}}{\det a}
\]

\[
I_3 = \frac{\begin{vmatrix}
R_1 & 0 & V_1 \\
0 & R_2 & -V_2 \\
1 & -1 & 0
\end{vmatrix}}{\det a}
\]

Now we need to calculate the determinant of each new matrix, and divide that by the determinant of \(a\).

First, the determinant of \(a\):

\[
\det a = -R_1R_2 - R_1R_3 + 0 - 0 + 0 - R_2R_3 = -(R_1R_2 + R_2R_3 + R_1R_3)
\]

We can now find the currents readily from the determinants of the modified matrices above and that of \(a\) we just found:

---

**ii** See ‘Cramer’s rule’ in the Wikipedia to see how this works.

**iii** Again, the Wikipedia entry for ‘determinant’ is quite instructive.
\[ I_1 = \frac{-V_1 R_2 - V_1 R_3 + 0 - 0 + V_2 R_3 - 0}{- (R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3)} = \frac{V_1 (R_2 + R_3) - V_2 R_3}{R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3} \]

\[ I_2 = \frac{R_1 V_2 - 0 - V_1 R_3 - 0 + 0 + R_3 V_2}{- (R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3)} = \frac{R_3 V_1 - V_2 (R_1 + R_3)}{R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3} \]

\[ I_3 = \frac{0 - R_1 V_2 + 0 - 0 + 0 - V_1 R_2}{- (R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3)} = \frac{R_1 V_2 + R_2 V_1}{R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3} \]

These are the same results you would get by continuing on with either of the two previous methods. Both numerically and symbolically, we can see from the above that \( I_1 = I_2 + I_3 \):

\[ I_2 + I_3 = \frac{R_3 V_1 - V_2 (R_1 + R_3) + R_1 V_2 + R_2 V_1}{R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3} \]

\[ = \frac{V_1 (R_2 + R_3) + V_2 (R_1 - R_1 - R_3)}{R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3} \]

\[ = \frac{V_1 (R_2 + R_2) - V_2 R_3}{R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_3} = I_1 \]