UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA Department of Physics and Astronomy

PH 125 / LeClair

Spring 2009

Problem Set 1: Solutions 1

Problems due 13 January 2009

1. Water is poured into a container that has a leak. The mass m of the water is as a function of time t is

 $m = 5.00t^{0.8} - 3.00t + 20.00$

with $t \ge 0$, m in grams, and t in seconds. At what time is the water mass greatest?

Given: Water mass versus time m(t).

Find: The time t at which the water mass m is greatest. This can be accomplished by finding the time derivative of m(t) and setting it equal to zero, followed by checking the second derivative to be sure we have found a maximum.

Sketch: It is useful to plot the function m(t) and graphically estimate about where the maximum should be, roughly.ⁱ



Figure 1: Water mass versus time, problem 1. Note the rather expanded vertical axis, with offset origin.

It is clear that there is indeed a maximum water mass, and it occurs just after t=4 s.

ⁱIt is relatively easy to do this on a graphing calculator, which can be found online these days: http://www.coolmath.com/graphit/.

Relevant equations: We need to find the maximum of m(t). Therefore, we need to set the first derivative equal to zero. We must also check that the second derivative is negative to ensure that we have found a maximum, not a minimum. Therefore, only two equations are needed:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[m(t) \right] = 0 \qquad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2m}{dt^2} = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[m(t) \right] < 0 \implies \text{maximum in } m(t)$$

Symbolic solution:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[5t^{0.8} - 3t + 20 \right] = 0.8 \left(5t^{0.8-1} \right) - 3 = 4t^{-0.2} - 3 = 0$$

$$4t^{-0.2} - 3 = 0$$

$$t^{-0.2} = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\implies t = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{-5} = \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{5}$$

Thus, m(t) takes on an extreme value at $t = (4/3)^5$. We did not prove whether it is a maximum or a minimum however! This is important ... so we should apply the second derivative test.

Recall briefly that after finding the extreme point of a function f(x) via $df/dx|_{x=a} = 0$, one should calculate $d^2f/dx^2|_{x=a}$: if $d^2f/dx^2|_{x=a} < 0$, you have a maximum, if $d^2f/dx^2|_{x=a} > 0$ you have a minimum, and if $d^2f/dx^2|_{x=a} = 0$, the test basically wasted your time. Anyway:

$$\frac{d^2m}{dt^2} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{dm}{dt} \right] = \frac{d}{dt} \left[4t^{-0.2} - 3 \right] = -0.2 \left(4t^{-0.2-1} \right) = -0.8t^{-1.2}$$
$$\frac{d^2m}{dt^2} < 0 \quad \forall \quad t > 0$$

 $\frac{d^2m}{dt^2}$ is greater than zeroⁱⁱ t > 0, since $t^{-1.2}$ is always positive in that regime, which means we have indeed found a maximum.

Numeric solution: Evaluating our answer numerically, remembering that t has units of seconds (s):

$$t = \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^5 \approx 4.21399 \xrightarrow[\text{digits}]{\text{sign.}} 4.21 \,\text{s}$$

The problem as stated has only three significant digits, so we round the final answer appropriately.

Double check: From the plot above, we can already graphically estimate that the maximum is somewhere around $4\frac{1}{4}$ s, which is consistent with our numerical solution to 2 significant figures. The dimensions of our answer are given in the problem, so we know that t is in seconds. Since we solved dm/dt(t) for t, the units must be the same as those given, with t still in seconds – our units are correct.

2. Antarctica is roughly semicircular, with a radius of 2000 km. The average thickness of its ice cover is 3000 m. How many cubic centimeters of ice does Antarctica contain? (Ignore the curvature of the earth.)

[&]quot;You can read the symbol \forall above as "for all." Thus, $\forall t > 0$ is read as "for all t greater than zero."

Given: Assumption that Antarctica is a semicircular slab, dimensions of said slab and thickness of overlying ice cover.

Find: The number of cubic centimeters of ice. Cubic centimeters are units of *volume*, so what we are really asked for is the *volume* of ice.

Sketch: We assume that the ice sheet covers the entire continent, such that the ice sheet itself has a semicircular area, as shown below in Fig. 2a. As given, we neglect the curvature of the earth, and assume a flat ice sheet. We will also assume a completely uniform covering of ice, equal to the average ice cover thickness.



Figure 2: (a) Proposed model for the Antarctic ice sheet: a semicircular sheet of radius r and thickness t. (b) The semicircular sheet is just half of a cylinder of radius r and thickness t. Thus, the volume of the semicircular sheet is just half the volume of the corresponding cylinder.

Relevant equations:

Let our semicircular sheet have a radius r and thickness t. As shown in Fig. 2, the semicircular sheet is just half of a cylinder of radius r and thickness t. Thus, the volume of the semicircular sheet is just half the volume of the corresponding cylinder. The volume of a cylinder of radius r and thickness t is the area of the circular base (A) times the thickness:

$$V_{\text{cvl.}} = A_{\text{ice}}t = \pi r^2 t$$

Symbolic solution:

Our ice sheet has half the volume of the corresponding cylinder, thus

$$V_{\rm ice} = \frac{1}{2}\pi r^2 t$$

Numeric solution: We are given a radius r = 2000 km and thickness t = 3000 m. We require the volume in cm³. It will be easiest (arguably) to first convert all individual dimensions to cm before inserting them into our equation.

$$r = 2000 \,\mathrm{km} = \left(2 \times 10^3 \,\mathrm{km}\right) \left(\frac{10^3 \,\mathrm{m}}{1 \,\mathrm{km}}\right) \left(\frac{10^2 \,\mathrm{cm}}{1 \,\mathrm{m}}\right) = 2 \times 10^8 \,\,\mathrm{(km)} \left(\frac{\mathrm{pr}}{\mathrm{km}}\right) \left(\frac{\mathrm{cm}}{\mathrm{pr}}\right) = 2 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{cm}$$

$$t = 3000 \,\mathrm{m} = \left(3 \times 10^3 \,\mathrm{m}\right) \left(\frac{10^2 \,\mathrm{cm}}{1 \,\mathrm{m}}\right) = 3 \times 10^5 \,\,\mathrm{(pr)} \left(\frac{\mathrm{cm}}{\mathrm{pr}}\right) = 3 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{cm}$$

Now we can use these values in our equation for volume:

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm ice} &= \frac{1}{2} \pi r^2 t \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(3.14 \right) \left(2 \times 10^8 \, {\rm cm} \right)^2 \left(3 \times 10^5 \, {\rm cm} \right) = 1.57 \left(4 \times 10^{16} \right) \left(3 \times 10^5 \right) \, {\rm cm}^3 \\ V_{\rm ice} &= 18.84 \times 10^{21} \, {\rm cm}^3 = 1.884 \times 10^{22} \, {\rm cm}^3 \xrightarrow{\rm sign.} 2 \times 10^{22} \, {\rm cm}^3 \end{split}$$

The problem as stated has only one significant digit, so we round the final answer appropriately. This is of course a very crude model, and it would be silly to claim anything more than order-of-magnitude accuracy anyway.

Double check: Our answer should have units of cubic centimeters, we can verify that our formula gives the correct units by dimensional analysis. Let r and t be given in cm. Then

$$V_{\text{ice}} = \frac{1}{2}\pi r^2 t$$
$$[V_{\text{ice}}] = [\text{cm}]^2 [\text{cm}] = \text{cm}^3$$

As required, our formula does give the correct units for the volume of ice.

We can also simply look up the area of Antarctica: according to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antarctic it is about $1.4 \times 10^7 \text{ km}^2$. Converting this to cm²:

$$1.4 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{km}^2 \left(\frac{10^3 \,\mathrm{m}}{1 \,\mathrm{km}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10^2 \,\mathrm{cm}}{1 \,\mathrm{m}}\right)^2 = 1.4 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{km}^2 \left(\frac{10^6 \,\mathrm{m}^2}{1 \,\mathrm{km}^2}\right) \left(\frac{10^4 \,\mathrm{cm}^2}{1 \,\mathrm{m}^2}\right) = 1.4 \times 10^{17} \,\mathrm{cm}^2$$

The volume of the ice sheet is still area times average thickness, or

$$V_{\rm ice} = A_{\rm ice} t_{\rm ice} = \left(1.4 \times 10^{17} \,{\rm cm}^2\right) \left(3 \times 10^5 \,{\rm cm}\right) \approx 4.2 \times 10^{22} \,{\rm cm}^3 \xrightarrow[\rm digits]{\rm sign.} 4 \times 10^{22} \,{\rm cm}^3$$

Using the actual area of Antarctica and the average ice sheet thickness, our answer is within a factor two.

Finally: we can check against a known estimate of the ice sheet volume. According to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antarctic_ice_sheet, the actual volume is close to 30×10^6 km³, or 3×10^{22} cm³. Our estimate is within 50%; not bad for a model which is clearly only meant as a crude order-of-magnitude estimate. Modeling Antarctica as a half cylinder is perhaps not so silly.